

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
2	ES.1 Introduction.....	ES-1
3	ES.2 Purpose of this Draft EIS/EIR	ES-2
4	ES.2.1 NEPA (USACE) Introduction	ES-2
5	ES.2.2 CEQA (LAHD) Introduction.....	ES-2
6	ES.2.3 Project Purpose.....	ES-3
7	ES.2.3.1 CEQA Purpose.....	ES-3
8	ES.2.3.2 NEPA Purpose	ES-4
9	ES.2.4 Baselines.....	ES-5
10	ES.2.4.1 CEQA Baseline.....	ES-5
11	ES.2.4.2 NEPA Baseline	ES-5
12	ES.3 Proposed Project	ES-6
13	ES.3.1 Overview	ES-6
14	ES.3.2 Project Description	ES-13
15	ES.3.2.1 Expanded Terminal Backlands	ES-13
16	ES.3.2.2 Berths and Wharf Facilities	ES-14
17	ES.3.2.3 New and Relocated Rail Facilities	ES-15
18	ES.3.2.4 Harry Bridges Boulevard and Buffer Area	ES-15
19	ES.3.2.5 Project Operations.....	ES-16
20	ES.4 Alternatives to the Project.....	ES-16
21	ES.4.1 Basis of Alternatives.....	ES-16
22	ES.4.2 Alternatives Considered	ES-17
23	ES.4.3 Alternatives Analyzed in This EIS/EIR.....	ES-17
24	ES.4.3.1 Alternative 1 – No Project Alternative	ES-17
25	ES.4.3.2 Alternative 2 – Reduced Project: The Project	
26	Without the 10-Acre Fill	ES-18
27	ES.4.3.3 Alternative 3 – Reduced Wharf	ES-21
28	ES.4.3.4 Alternative 4 – Omni Terminal.....	ES-22
29	ES.4.3.5 Alternative 5 – Landside Terminal	
30	Improvements/CEQA No Project Variant	ES-23
31	ES.4.4 Alternatives Eliminated from Further Consideration	ES-24
32	ES.5 Environmental Impacts	ES-25

1	ES.5.1	Impacts Not Considered in this Draft EIS/EIR.....	ES-25
2	ES.5.2	Impacts of the Proposed Project and Alternatives.....	ES-26
3	ES.5.2.1	Unavoidable Significant Impacts.....	ES-52
4	ES.5.2.2	Summary of Significant Impacts that Can Be	
5		Mitigated, Avoided, or Substantially Lessened.....	ES-53
6	ES.5.2.3	Summary of Less than Significant Impacts.....	ES-53
7	ES.5.2.4	Cumulative Impacts.....	ES-54
8	ES.5.2.5	Environmental Justice.....	ES-54
9	ES.5.2.6	Socioeconomic and Growth Inducing Impacts.....	ES-55
10	ES.5.2.7	Significant Irreversible Changes to the	
11		Environment.....	ES-56
12	ES.5.3	Environmentally Preferred and Environmentally Superior	
13		Alternative.....	ES-56
14	ES.6	Public Comment.....	ES-57
15	ES.6.1	Issues Raised.....	ES-57
16	ES.6.2	Issues to be Resolved.....	ES-58
17	ES.6.3	Responses to NOI/NOP.....	ES-59
18	ES.6.4	PCAC Issues Raised/Resolution.....	ES-59
19	1	INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
20	1.1	Background.....	1-1
21	1.1.1	Project Location and Brief Project Overview.....	1-1
22	1.1.2	General Description of Container Terminal Operations.....	1-2
23	1.1.3	Growth in Containerized Cargo.....	1-8
24	1.2	Purpose of an EIS/EIR.....	1-11
25	1.2.1	NEPA and the Purpose of an EIS.....	1-11
26	1.2.2	CEQA and the Purpose of an EIR.....	1-12
27	1.3	Lead, Responsible, and Trustee Agencies.....	1-12
28	1.4	Scope and Content of the Draft EIS/EIR.....	1-16
29	1.4.1	Scope of Analysis.....	1-16
30	1.4.2	Intended Uses of this Draft EIS/EIR.....	1-19
31	1.4.3	Draft EIS/EIR Organization.....	1-20
32	1.5	Key Principles Guiding Preparation of this Draft EIS/EIR.....	1-22
33	1.5.1	Emphasis on Significant Environmental Effects.....	1-22
34	1.5.2	Forecasting.....	1-23
35	1.5.3	Reliance on Environmental Thresholds and Substantial	
36		Evidence.....	1-23
37	1.5.4	Disagreement Among Experts.....	1-23
38	1.5.5	NEPA and CEQA Baselines.....	1-24
39	1.5.5.1	NEPA Baseline/No Federal Action.....	1-24
40	1.5.5.2	CEQA Baseline.....	1-24
41	1.5.6	Duty to Mitigate.....	1-25
42	1.5.7	Requirements to Evaluate Alternatives.....	1-26
43	1.6	Port of Los Angeles Environmental Initiatives.....	1-27

1		1.6.1	Port Environmental Policy	1-27
2		1.6.2	Environmental Plans and Programs.....	1-28
3		1.6.2.1	Clean Air Action Plan.....	1-28
4		1.6.2.2	Environmental Management System	1-30
5		1.6.2.3	Other Environmental Programs	1-30
6		1.6.3	Port of Los Angeles Leasing Policy	1-33
7		1.6.4	Aesthetic Mitigation Projects	1-33
8		1.6.5	Port Community Advisory Committee.....	1-34
9		1.7	Availability of the Draft EIS/EIR	1-34
10	2	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2-1	
11		2.1	Introduction and Project Overview.....	2-1
12		2.1.1	Project Throughput Comparison	2-3
13		2.1.2	Need For Additional Capacity.....	2-4
14		2.2	Existing Conditions.....	2-4
15		2.2.1	Regional Context.....	2-4
16		2.2.2	Project Setting	2-4
17		2.2.3	Project Site and Surrounding Uses	2-7
18		2.2.4	Historic Use of Project Site	2-7
19		2.3	Project Purpose	2-8
20		2.3.1	CEQA Project Objectives.....	2-8
21		2.3.2	NEPA Purpose and Need.....	2-9
22		2.4	Proposed Project	2-10
23		2.4.1	Project Summary	2-10
24		2.4.1.1	General Overview	2-10
25		2.4.1.2	Project History	2-15
26		2.4.2	Project Elements.....	2-17
27		2.4.2.1	Expanded/Reconfigured Backlands and New Buildings.....	2-17
28		2.4.2.2	Deeper Vessel Berths.....	2-18
29		2.4.2.3	New and Reconstructed Wharf Facilities.....	2-18
30		2.4.2.4	New and Relocated Rail Facilities.....	2-19
31		2.4.2.5	Harry Bridges Boulevard and Buffer Area	2-19
32		2.4.2.6	Terminal Operations	2-20
33		2.4.3	Federal Project.....	2-31
34		2.4.4	Construction Plan by Phase	2-32
35		2.4.4.1	Phase I (Projects Completed by 2015).....	2-35
36		2.4.4.2	Phase II (Projects Completed between 2015 and 2025).....	2-38
37				
38				
39		2.5	Alternatives.....	2-39
40		2.5.1	Alternatives Evaluated In This EIS/EIR.....	2-39
41		2.5.1.1	Alternative 1 - No Project Alternative	2-40
42		2.5.1.2	Alternative 2 – Reduced Project: Project Without 10-Acre Fill.....	2-44
43		2.5.1.3	Alternative 3 – Reduced Wharf	2-44
44				

1		2.5.1.4	Alternative 4 - Omni Terminal.....	2-45
2		2.5.1.5	Alternative 5 – Landside Terminal	
3			Improvements/CEQA No Project Variant	2-46
4	2.5.2		Alternatives Considered and Withdrawn.....	2-47
5		2.5.2.1	Use of Other West Coast Ports Outside Southern	
6			California	2-48
7		2.5.2.2	Expansion of Terminals Within Southern	
8			California but Outside of the Los Angeles Harbor	
9			District	2-49
10		2.5.2.3	Lightering.....	2-50
11		2.5.2.4	Off-Site Backland Alternatives.....	2-50
12		2.5.2.5	Development of New Landfills and Terminals	
13			Outside of the Berths 136-147 Terminal Area and	
14			the Adjoining West Basin Area	2-51
15		2.5.2.6	Shallower Dredge Depth.....	2-52
16		2.5.2.7	Alternative Shipping Use of the Terminal	2-52
17		2.5.2.8	Other Sites within the Los Angeles Harbor	
18			District	2-53
19		2.5.2.9	Non-Shipping Use of the Terminal.....	2-53
20		2.5.2.10	Harry Bridges Boulevard Relocated to Provide	
21			Additional Container Storage Area.....	2-54
22		2.5.2.11	Development and Operation of a Smaller	
23			Container Terminal	2-54
24	2.6		Project Baselines.....	2-56
25		2.6.1	CEQA Baseline	2-56
26		2.6.2	No Federal Action/NEPA Baseline	2-59
27	2.7		Relationship to Existing Plans	2-59
28	3		ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS.....	3.0-1
29		3.0	Introduction.....	3.0-1
30		3.01	Terminology Used in this Environmental Analysis.....	3.0-2
31		3.02	Requirements to Evaluate Alternatives	3.0-3
32		3.1	Aesthetics/Visual Resources.....	3.1-1
33		3.1.1	Introduction	3.1-1
34		3.1.2	Environmental Setting	3.1-2
35		3.1.2.1	Critical Public Views	3.1-2
36		3.1.2.2	Existing Visual Resource Conditions	3.1-48
37		3.1.3	Applicable Regulations	3.1-68
38		3.1.3.1	Port Master Plan.....	3.1-69
39		3.1.3.2	City of Los Angeles General Plan.....	3.1-70
40		3.1.3.3	Planning and Zoning Code.....	3.1-73
41		3.1.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	3.1-74
42		3.1.4.1	Methodology	3.1-74
43		3.1.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.1-78
44		3.1.4.3	Impacts and Mitigation	3.1-83
45		3.1.4.4	Summary of Impact Determinations	3.1-159
46		3.1.4.5	Mitigation Monitoring	3.1-160
47		3.1.5	Significant Unavoidable Impacts.....	3.1-160

1	3.2	Air Quality and Meteorology.....	3.2-1
2	3.2.1	Introduction	3.2-1
3	3.2.2	Environmental Setting.....	3.2-1
4	3.2.2.1	Regional Climate and Meteorology	3.2-1
5	3.2.2.2	Air Pollutants and Air Monitoring	3.2-2
6	3.2.2.3	Sensitive Receptors.....	3.2-11
7	3.2.2.4	Existing Emissions at the Berths 136-147	
8		Terminal.....	3.2-12
9	3.2.3	Applicable Regulations	3.2-16
10	3.2.3.1	Federal Regulations	3.2-16
11	3.2.3.2	State Regulations and Agreements	3.2-19
12	3.2.3.3	Local Regulations and Agreements	3.2-23
13	3.2.3.4	Los Angeles Harbor Department Clean Air	
14		Policy	3.2-24
15	3.2.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	3.2-25
16	3.2.4.1	Methodology	3.2-25
17	3.2.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.2-32
18	3.2.4.3	Proposed Project Emissions.....	3.2-37
19	3.2.4.4	Proposed Project Impacts and Mitigation	3.2-48
20	3.2.4.5	Project Alternatives Impacts and Mitigation	3.2-110
21	3.2.4.6	Summary of Impact determinations.....	3.2-176
22	3.2.4.8	Significant Unavoidable Adverse Impacts.....	3.2-177
23	3.2.4.7	Mitigation Monitoring	3.2-190
24	3.2.5	Health Risk Assessment	3.2-195
25	3.3	Biological Resources	3.3-1
26	3.3.1	Introduction	3.3-1
27	3.3.2	Environmental Setting.....	3.3-1
28	3.3.2.1	Terrestrial Habitats.....	3.3-2
29	3.3.2.2	Benthic Environments.....	3.3-3
30	3.3.2.3	Water Column Habitats.....	3.3-5
31	3.3.2.4	Water Birds	3.3-6
32	3.3.2.5	Special-Status Species	3.3-6
33	3.3.2.6	Wildlife Movement Corridors.....	3.3-11
34	3.3.2.7	Invasive Species.....	3.3-11
35	3.3.2.8	Significant Ecological Areas.....	3.3-12
36	3.3.2.9	Essential Fish Habitat	3.3-12
37	3.3.2.10	Wetlands and Other Special Habitats.....	3.3-13
38	3.3.3	Applicable Regulations	3.3-13
39	3.3.3.1	Clean Water Act.....	3.3-13
40	3.3.3.2	Rivers and Harbors Appropriations Act of 1899	3.3-14
41	3.3.3.3	Federal Endangered Species Act.....	3.3-14
42	3.3.3.4	Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and	
43		Management Act.....	3.3-14
44	3.3.3.5	Migratory Bird Treaty Act.....	3.3-15
45	3.3.3.6	California Fish and Game Code, Section 1600.....	3.3-15
46	3.3.3.7	California Endangered Species Act	3.3-15
47	3.3.3.8	Ballast Water Management for Control of	
48		Nonindigenous Species Act.....	3.3-15

1		3.3.3.9	Marine Mammal Protection Act	3.3-16
2	3.3.4		Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	3.3-16
3		3.3.4.1	Methodology	3.3-16
4		3.3.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.3-18
5		3.3.4.3	Impacts and Mitigations.....	3.3-18
6	3.4		Cultural Resources.....	3.4-1
7		3.4.1	Introduction	3.4-1
8		3.4.2	Environmental Setting.....	3.4-1
9		3.4.2.1	Prehistoric Setting.....	3.4-2
10		3.4.2.2	Ethnographic Setting.....	3.4-2
11		3.4.2.3	Historic Setting	3.4-3
12		3.4.2.4	Paleontological Resources Setting.....	3.4-5
13		3.4.2.5	Site-Specific Setting.....	3.4-6
14		3.4.3	Applicable Regulations	3.4-9
15		3.4.3.1	Federal Regulations	3.4-9
16		3.4.3.2	State Regulations	3.4-11
17		3.4.3.3	Local Regulations	3.4-13
18		3.4.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	3.4-16
19		3.4.4.1	Methodology.....	3.4-16
20		3.4.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.4-18
21		3.4.4.3	Impacts and Mitigation	3.4-19
22		3.4.4.4	Mitigation Monitoring	3.4-42
23		3.4.5	Significant Unavoidable Impacts.....	3.4-43
24	3.5		Geology.....	3.5-1
25		3.5.1	Introduction	3.5-1
26		3.5.2	Environmental Setting.....	3.5-1
27		3.5.2.1	Regional Setting.....	3.5-1
28		3.5.3	Applicable Regulations	3.5-9
29		3.5.3.1	Geologic Hazards.....	3.5-9
30		3.5.3.2	Mineral Resources	3.5-10
31		3.5.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	3.5-11
32		3.5.4.1	Methodology.....	3.5-11
33		3.5.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.5-12
34		3.5.4.3	Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	3.5-13
35		3.5.4.4	Mitigation Monitoring	3.5-123
36		3.5.5	Significant Unavoidable Adverse Impacts	3.5-123
37	3.6		Groundwater and Soils.....	3.6-1
38		3.6.1	Introduction	3.6-1
39		3.6.2	Environmental Setting.....	3.6-1
40		3.6.2.1	Groundwater	3.6-1
41		3.6.2.2	Soil Conditions.....	3.6-2
42		3.6.2.3	Soil and Groundwater Investigations.....	3.6-2
43		3.6.2.4	Potential Site Contamination	3.6-24
44		3.6.3	Applicable Regulations	3.6-27
45		3.6.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	3.6-29
46		3.6.4.1	Methodology.....	3.6-29
47		3.6.4.2	Threshold of Significance	3.6-31

1		3.6.4.3	Impacts and Mitigation	3.6-32
2		3.6.4.4	Mitigation Monitoring	3.6-87
3		3.6.5	Significant Unavoidable Impacts.....	3.6-88
4	3.7		Hazards and Hazardous Materials	3.7-1
5		3.7.1	Introduction	3.7-1
6		3.7.2	Environmental Setting	3.7-1
7		3.7.2.1	Hazardous Materials	3.7-1
8		3.7.2.2	Public Emergency Services.....	3.7-5
9		3.7.2.3	POLA Risk Management Plan.....	3.7-5
10		3.7.2.4	Homeland Security.....	3.7-5
11		3.7.2.5	Security Measures at the Port of Los Angeles	3.7-7
12		3.7.3	Applicable Regulations	3.7-11
13		3.7.3.1	List of Regulations.....	3.7-11
14		3.7.3.2	Other Requirements	3.7-13
15		3.7.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	3.7-15
16		3.7.4.1	Methodology	3.7-15
17		3.7.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.7-19
18		3.7.4.3	Impacts and Mitigation	3.7-20
19		3.7.4.4	Mitigation Monitoring	3.7-160
20		3.7.5	Significant Unavoidable Impacts.....	3.7-160
21	3.8		Land Use.....	3.8-1
22		3.8.1	Introduction	3.8-1
23		3.8.2	Environmental Setting	3.8-1
24		3.8.2.1	Existing Land Uses	3.8-1
25		3.8.2.2	Redevelopment Areas in the Proposed Project Vicinity	3.8-4
26		3.8.3	Applicable Regulations	3.8-6
27		3.8.3.1	State Lands Commission.....	3.8-6
28		3.8.3.2	California Coastal Commission	3.8-6
29		3.8.3.3	City of Los Angeles General Plan.....	3.8-8
30		3.8.3.4	Zoning Designations	3.8-13
31		3.8.3.5	Port Master Plan.....	3.8-14
32		3.8.3.6	Wilmington-Harbor City Community Plan.....	3.8-17
33		3.8.3.7	San Pedro Community Plan.....	3.8-18
34		3.8.3.8	Wilmington Open Storage Interim Control Ordinance.....	3.8-18
35		3.8.3.9	Southern California Association of Governments Regional Comprehensive Plan	3.8-19
36		3.8.3.10	San Pedro Bay Ports Clean Air Action Plan.....	3.8-20
37		3.8.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	3.8-21
38		3.8.4.1	Methodology	3.8-21
39		3.8.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.8-23
40		3.8.4.3	Impacts and Mitigation	3.8-23
41		3.8.4.4	Mitigation Monitoring	3.8-53
42		3.8.5	Significant Unavoidable Impacts.....	3.8-53
43	3.9		Noise	3.9-1
44		3.9.1	Introduction	3.9-1
45				
46				
47				

1	3.9.2	Environmental Setting	3.9-1
2	3.9.2.1	Noise Fundamentals.....	3.9-1
3	3.9.2.2	Existing Noise Environment	3.9-6
4	3.9.3	Applicable Regulations	3.9-18
5	3.9.3.1	City of Los Angeles Municipal Code	3.9-18
6	3.9.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	3.9-22
7	3.9.4.1	Methodology	3.9-22
8	3.9.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.9-24
9	3.9.4.3	Impacts and Mitigation	3.9-25
10	3.9.4.4	Mitigation Monitoring	3.9-85
11	3.9.5	Significant Unavoidable Impacts.....	3.9-85
12	3.10	Transportation/Circulation.....	3.10-1
13	3.10.1	Introduction	3.10-1
14	3.10.2	Environmental Setting	3.10-1
15	3.10.2.1	Regional and Local Access	3.10-1
16	3.10.2.2	Existing Area Traffic Conditions.....	3.10-5
17	3.10.2.3	Existing Transit Service	3.10-9
18	3.10.3	Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	3.10-10
19	3.10.3.1	Methodology	3.10-10
20	3.10.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.10-18
21	3.10.3.3	Impacts and Mitigation	3.10-20
22	3.10.3.4	Mitigation Monitoring	3.10-91
23	3.10.4	Significant Unavoidable Impacts.....	3.10-93
24	3.10.5	CEQA Baseline Without Regional Growth.....	3.10-93
25	3.10.5.1	CEQA Baseline.....	3.10-93
26	3.10.5.2	Impacts and Mitigation	3.10-93
27	3.10.5.3	Mitigation Monitoring	3.10-123
28	3.10.6	Significant Unavoidable Impacts.....	3.10-123
29	3.11	Marine Transportation	3.11-1
30	3.11.1	Introduction	3.11-1
31	3.11.2	Environmental Setting	3.11-1
32	3.11.2.1	Vessel Transportation Safety	3.11-3
33	3.11.2.2	Navigational Hazards.....	3.11-5
34	3.11.2.3	Factors Affecting Vessel Traffic Safety.....	3.11-7
35	3.11.2.4	Vessel Traffic.....	3.11-9
36	3.11.3	Applicable Regulations	3.11-10
37	3.11.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	3.11-12
38	3.11.4.1	Methodology	3.11-12
39	3.11.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.11-14
40	3.11.4.3	Impacts and Mitigation	3.11-14
41	3.11.4.4	Mitigation Monitoring	3.11-37
42	3.11.5	Significant Unavoidable Impacts.....	3.11-37
43	3.12	Utilities and Public Services	3.12-1
44	3.12.1	Introduction	3.12-1
45	3.12.2	Environmental Setting	3.12-1
46	3.12.2.1	Public Services.....	3.12-1

1		3.12.2.2	Public Utilities	3.12-5
2		3.12.2.3	Recreation	3.12-9
3	3.12.3	Applicable Regulations		3.12-10
4		3.12.3.1	The Maritime Transportation Security Act	3.12-11
5		3.12.3.2	California Urban Water Management Act	3.12-11
6		3.12.3.3	LADWP Urban Water Management Plan	3.12-11
7		3.12.3.4	California Solid Waste Reuse and Recycling	
8			Access Act	3.12-11
9		3.12.3.5	AB 939: California Integrated Waste	
10			Management Act	3.12-12
11		3.12.3.6	California's Building Code CCR, Title 24, Part 6 ...	3.12-12
12	3.12.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures		3.12-12
13		3.12.4.1	Methodology	3.12-12
14		3.12.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.12-17
15		3.12.4.3	Impacts and Mitigation	3.12-18
16		3.12.4.4	Mitigation Monitoring	3.12-78
17	3.12.5	Significant Unavoidable Impacts		3.12-78
18	3.13	Water Quality, Sediments, and Oceanography		3.13-1
19		3.13.1	Introduction	3.13-1
20		3.13.2	Environmental Setting	3.13-1
21		3.13.2.1	Regional Setting	3.13-1
22		3.13.2.2	Water Quality	3.13-3
23		3.13.2.3	Marine Sediments	3.13-13
24		3.13.2.4	Oceanography	3.13-16
25		3.13.3	Applicable Regulations	3.13-18
26		3.13.3.1	Clean Water Act of 1972 (PL 92-500, as	
27			amended)	3.13-18
28		3.13.3.2	Porter-Cologne Act of 1972	3.13-19
29		3.13.3.3	Water Quality Control Plan, Los Angeles Region	
30			(Basin Plan)	3.13-19
31		3.13.3.4	State Water Resources Control Board Stormwater	
32			Permits	3.13-20
33		3.13.3.5	SWRCB Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation	
34			Plans	3.13-20
35		3.13.3.6	California Toxics Rule	3.13-21
36		3.13.3.7	Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act	
37			of 1972. Section 102 (33USC 1401 et seq.)	3.13-21
38	3.13.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures		3.13-21
39		3.13.4.1	Methodology	3.13-21
40		3.13.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.13-23
41		3.13.4.3	Impacts and Mitigation	3.13-23
42		3.13.4.4	Mitigation Monitoring	3.13-92
43	3.13.5	Significant Unavoidable Impacts		3.13-92
44	4	CUMULATIVE ANALYSIS		4-1
45		4.1	Introduction	4-1
46		4.1.1	Requirements for Cumulative Impact Analysis	4-1
47		4.1.2	Projects Considered in the Cumulative Analysis	4-3

1	4.2	Cumulative Impact Analysis.....	4-19
2	4.2.1	Aesthetics and Visual Resources.....	4-19
3	4.2.1.1	Scope of Analysis.....	4-19
4	4.2.1.2	Cumulative Impact AES-1: Adverse Impacts on a Scenic Vista – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-19
5			
6	4.2.1.3	Cumulative Impact AES-2: Damage to Scenic Resources Within View from a State Scenic Highway – No Impact.....	4-22
7			
8	4.2.1.4	Cumulative Impact AES-3: Degradation of Existing Visual Character or Quality of a Site and its Surroundings – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-23
9			
10	4.2.1.5	Cumulative Impact AES-4: Light and Glare – No Impact.....	4-29
11			
12	4.2.1.6	Cumulative Impact AES-5: Negative Shadow Effects – No Impact.....	4-30
13			
14	4.2.1.7	Cumulative Impact AES-6: Inconsistency with Guidelines and Regulations – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-31
15			
16	4.2.2	Air Quality and Meteorology.....	4-32
17	4.2.2.1	Scope of Analysis.....	4-32
18	4.2.2.2	Cumulative Impact AQ-1: Potential for Construction to Produce a Cumulatively Considerable Increase of a Criteria Pollutant for which the Project Region is in Nonattainment Under a National or State Ambient Air Quality Standard – Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable.....	4-32
19			
20	4.2.2.3	Cumulative Impact AQ-2: Potential for Construction to Produce Emissions that Exceed an Ambient Air Quality Standard or Substantially Contribute to an Existing or Projected Air Quality Standard Violation – Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable.....	4-33
21			
22	4.2.2.4	Cumulative Impact AQ-3: Potential for Operation to Produce a Cumulatively Considerable Increase of a Criteria Pollutant for which the Project Region is in Nonattainment Under a National or State Ambient Air Quality Standard – Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable.....	4-34
23			
24	4.2.2.5	Cumulative Impact AQ-4: Potential for Operation to Produce Emissions that Exceed an Ambient Air Quality Standard or Substantially Contribute to an Existing or Projected Air Quality Standard Violation – Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable.....	4-35
25			
26	4.2.2.6	Cumulative Impact AQ-5: Potential for Operation to Create Objectionable Odors at the Nearest Sensitive Receptor –Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable.....	4-36
27			
28			
29			
30			
31			
32			
33			
34			
35			
36			
37			
38			
39			
40			
41			
42			
43			
44			
45			
46			
47			
48			
49			
50			

1		4.2.2.7	Cumulative Impact AQ-6: Exposure of receptors to significant levels of toxic air contaminants (TACs) – Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable.....	4-36
2				
3		4.2.2.8	Cumulative Impact AQ-7: Potential conflict with or obstruction of implementation of an applicable AQMP – Less than Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-39
4				
5		4.2.2.9	Cumulative Impact AQ-8: Potential Contribution to Global Climate Change – Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable.....	4-40
6				
7				
8				
9				
10	4.2.3		Biological Resources.....	4-41
11		4.2.3.1	Scope of Analysis.....	4-41
12		4.2.3.2	Cumulative Impact BIO-1: Cumulative Impacts to Sensitive Species – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-42
13				
14		4.2.3.3	Cumulative Impact BIO-2: Cumulative Alteration or Reduction of Natural Habitats, Special Aquatic Sites, or Plant Communities – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable with Mitigation.....	4-43
15				
16				
17				
18		4.2.3.4	Cumulative Impact BIO-3: Cumulative Interference with Migration or Movement Corridors – No Impact.....	4-45
19				
20		4.2.3.5	Cumulative Impact BIO-4: Cumulative Disruption of Local Biological Communities – Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable.....	4-45
21				
22		4.2.3.6	Cumulative Impact BIO-5: Cumulative Loss of Marine Habitat – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable with Mitigation.....	4-49
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	4.2.4		Cultural, Archaeological, and Paleontological Resources.....	4-50
29		4.2.4.1	Scope of Analysis.....	4-50
30		4.2.4.2	Cumulative Impact CR-1: Cumulative Impacts on Archaeological or Ethnographic Resources – Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable.....	4-51
31				
32		4.2.4.3	Cumulative Impact CR-2: Cumulative Impacts on Historic Architectural Resources – No Impact.....	4-52
33				
34		4.2.4.4	Cumulative Impact CR-3: Cumulative Impacts on Paleontological Resources – No Impact with Mitigation.....	4-53
35				
36				
37				
38	4.2.5		Geology.....	4-55
39		4.2.5.1	Scope of Analysis.....	4-55
40		4.2.5.2	Cumulative Impact GEO-1: Fault rupture, seismic ground shaking, liquefaction, or other seismically induced ground failure – Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable.....	4-56
41				
42				
43		4.2.5.3	Cumulative Impact GEO-2: Tsunamis or Seiches – Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable.....	4-58
44				
45		4.2.5.4	Cumulative Impact GEO-3: Land Subsidence/Settlement – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-59
46				
47				
48		4.2.5.5	Cumulative Impact GEO-4: Expansive Soils – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-60
49				
50				

1		4.2.5.6	Cumulative Impact GEO-5: Landslides or	
2			Mudflows – No Impact.....	4-61
3		4.2.5.7	Cumulative Impact GEO-6: Unstable Soil	
4			Conditions from Excavation, Grading or Fill – Less	
5			Than Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-61
6		4.2.5.8	Cumulative Impact GEO-7: Destruction or	
7			Modification of One or More Prominent Geologic	
8			or Topographic Features – No Impact.....	4-62
9		4.2.5.9	Cumulative Impact GEO-8: Permanent Loss of	
10			Availability of Known Significant Mineral	
11			Resource – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable	4-62
12	4.2.6		Groundwater and Soils.....	4-63
13		4.2.6.1	Scope of Analysis.....	4-63
14		4.2.6.2	Cumulative Impact GW-1: Exposure of soils	
15			containing toxic substances and petroleum	
16			hydrocarbons – Less Than Cumulatively	
17			Considerable.....	4-64
18		4.2.6.3	Cumulative Impact GW-2: Movement of,	
19			expansion of, or increase in existing contaminants –	
20			Less Than Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-65
21		4.2.6.4	Cumulative Impact GW-3: Change in potable	
22			water levels – No Impact	4-66
23		4.2.6.5	Cumulative Impact GW-4: Reduction in potable	
24			groundwater recharge capacity – Less Than	
25			Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-67
26		4.2.6.6	Cumulative Impact GW-5: Violation of regulatory	
27			water quality standards at an existing production	
28			well – No Impact.....	4-68
29	4.2.7		Hazards and Hazardous Materials.....	4-68
30		4.2.7.1	Scope of Analysis.....	4-68
31		4.2.7.2	Cumulative Impact RISK-1: Increase to frequency	
32			or severity of potential accidental release or	
33			explosion of a hazardous substance – Less Than	
34			Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-68
35		4.2.7.3	Cumulative Impact RISK-2: Increase in the	
36			probable frequency and severity of consequences to	
37			people from exposure to health hazards –	
38			Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable.....	4-69
39		4.2.7.4	Cumulative Impact RISK-3: Interference with an	
40			existing emergency response or evacuation plan –	
41			No Impact.....	4-72
42		4.2.7.5	Cumulative Impact RISK-4: Failure to comply	
43			with applicable regulations and policies guiding	
44			development within the Port – No Impact.....	4-73
45		4.2.7.6	Cumulative Impact RISK-5: Accidental spill as a	
46			result of a tsunami – Less Than Cumulatively	
47			Considerable.....	4-74
48		4.2.7.7	Cumulative Impact RISK-6: Terrorist Attack –	
49			Less Than Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-77
50	4.2.8		Land Use	4-79

1		4.2.8.1	Scope of Analysis.....	4-79
2		4.2.8.2	Cumulative Impact LU-1: Cumulative impacts on	
3			existing and future land use/density designations in	
4			Community Plans, redevelopment plans, or specific	
5			plans – No Impact	4-80
6		4.2.8.3	Cumulative Impact LU-2: Cumulative impacts on	
7			land use consistency with the general Plan or	
8			adopted environmental goals and policies contained	
9			in other applicable plans – No Impact.....	4-81
10		4.2.8.4	Cumulative Impact LU-3: Cumulative impacts on	
11			disrupting, dividing, or isolating existing	
12			neighborhoods, communities, or land uses – Less	
13			Than Cumulatively Considerable With Mitigation.....	4-82
14		4.2.8.5	Cumulative Impact LU-4: Cumulative impacts on	
15			secondary impacts to surrounding land uses – Less	
16			Than Cumulatively Considerable	4-83
17	4.2.9	Noise.....		4-84
18		4.2.9.1	Scope of Analysis.....	4-84
19		4.2.9.2	Cumulative Impact NOI-1: Construction Noise –	
20			Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable.....	4-85
21		4.2.9.3	Cumulative Impact NOI-2: Nighttime Construction	
22			– No Impact.....	4-87
23		4.2.9.4	Cumulative Impact NOI-3: Creation of Operational	
24			Noise That Would Substantially Exceed Existing	
25			Ambient Noise Levels at Sensitive Receivers – Less	
26			than Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-87
27	4.2.10	Transportation and Circulation		4-90
28		4.2.10.1	Scope of Analysis.....	4-90
29		4.2.10.2	Cumulative Impact TRANS-1: Construction	
30			Traffic – Cumulatively Considerable and	
31			Unavoidable	4-90
32		4.2.10.3	Cumulative Impact TRANS-2: Intersection	
33			Volume/ Capacity Ratio Effects – Less Than	
34			Cumulatively Considerable with Mitigation	4-93
35		4.2.10.4	Cumulative Impact TRANS-3: Public Transit Use	
36			– Less Than Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-108
37		4.2.10.5	Cumulative Impact TRANS-4: Freeway	
38			Congestion – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-109
39		4.2.10.6	Cumulative Impact TRANS-5: Traffic Delay Due	
40			to Increase in Rail Activity – Cumulatively	
41			Considerable and Unavoidable	4-111
42	4.2.11	Marine Transportation		4-114
43		4.2.11.1	Scope of Analysis.....	4-114
44		4.2.11.2	Cumulative Impact VT-1: Creation of Navigation	
45			Hazards – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable	4-115
46	4.2.12	Utilities and Public Services		4-117
47		4.2.12.1	Scope of Analysis.....	4-117

1		4.2.12.2	Cumulative Impact PS-1: Cumulative Impacts on	
2			Police Protection Services and Infrastructure – Less	
3			than Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-117
4		4.2.12.3	Cumulative Impact PS-2: Cumulative Impacts on	
5			Fire Protection Services and Infrastructure – Less	
6			than Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-119
7		4.2.12.4	Cumulative Impact PS-3: Cumulative Impacts on	
8			Water, Wastewater, or Storm Drain Utility Lines –	
9			Less Than Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-120
10		4.2.12.5	Cumulative Impact PS-4: Cumulative Impacts on	
11			Water, Wastewater, and Solid Waste Facility	
12			Capacities – Cumulatively Considerable and	
13			Unavoidable	4-121
14		4.2.12.6	Cumulative Impact PS-5: Cumulative Impacts on	
15			Energy Demands, Supply Facilities, and	
16			Distribution Infrastructure – Less than	
17			Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-123
18		4.2.12.7	Cumulative Impact PS-6: Cumulative Impacts on	
19			Recreational Resources – Less than Cumulatively	
20			Considerable.....	4-124
21	4.2.13		Water Quality, Sediments, and Oceanography	4-126
22		4.2.13.1	Scope of Analysis.....	4-126
23		4.2.13.2	Cumulative Impact WQ-1: Cumulative Discharge	
24			Effects to Water and Sediment Quality –	
25			Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable.....	4-126
26		4.2.13.3	Cumulative Impact WQ-2: Cumulative Flooding	
27			Impacts – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-129
28		4.2.13.4	Cumulative Impact WQ-3: Cumulative Adverse	
29			Changes in Surface Water Movement – Less Than	
30			Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-130
31		4.2.13.5	Cumulative Impact WQ-4: Cumulative	
32			Acceleration of Rates of Erosion and Sedimentation	
33			– Less Than Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-131
34	4.3		Alternatives	4-132
35	5		ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	5-1
36	5.1		Introduction.....	5-1
37	5.2		Environmental Setting	5-1
38	5.3		Applicable Regulations.....	5-3
39	5.3.1		Executive Order 12898: Federal Actions to Address	
40			Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-	
41			Income Populations	5-3
42	5.3.2		Council on Environmental Quality: Environmental Justice -	
43			Guidance under the National Environmental Policy Act	5-10
44	5.3.3		California Government Code Sections 65041-65049; Public	
45			Resources Code Sections 71110-71116	5-12
46	5.3.4		City of Los Angeles General Plan	5-13

1	5.3.5	South Coast Air Quality Management District: Environmental Justice Program.....	5-14
2			
3	5.4	Assessment	5-14
4	5.4.1	Methodology.....	5-14
5	5.4.2	Proposed Project and Cumulative Effects	5-17
6	5.4.2.1	Evaluation of Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects on Minority and Low-Income Populations.....	5-18
7			
8	5.4.2.2	Summary of Impacts that Would Not Cause Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects on Minority and Low-Income Populations	5-26
9			
10	5.4.2.3	Beneficial Impacts	5-39
11			
12	5.4.3	No Project Alternative	5-39
13			
14	5.4.4	Project Without the 10-Acre Fill Alternative	5-43
15	5.4.5	Reduced Wharf Alternative.....	5-45
16	5.4.6	Omni Terminal Alternative	5-48
17	5.4.7	Landside Terminal Improvements Alternative.....	5-50
18	5.4.8	Summary of Disproportionate Effects on Minority and Low- Income Populations	5-52
19			
20	5.5	Public Outreach.....	5-52
21	5.5.1	Alternative Forms of Distribution	5-55
22	5.5.2	Spanish Translation	5-55
23	6	COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES.....	6-1
24	6.1	Introduction.....	6-1
25	6.2	NEPA Evaluation of Alternatives.....	6-3
26	6.2.1	NEPA Requirements	6-3
27	6.2.2	NEPA Alternatives Comparison.....	6-3
28	6.3	CEQA Evaluation of Alternatives	6-5
29	6.3.1	CEQA Requirements	6-5
30	6.3.2	CEQA Alternatives Comparison	6-5
31	6.4	Analysis of Impacts of Alternatives.....	6-7
32	6.4.1	Resources with Unavoidable Significant Impacts	6-7
33	6.4.1.1	Air Quality and Meteorology.....	6-7
34	6.4.1.2	Biological Resources	6-9
35	6.4.1.3	Geology.....	6-9
36	6.4.1.4	Land Use	6-10
37	6.4.1.5	Noise	6-10
38	6.4.1.6	Transportation/Circulation.....	6-11
39	6.4.2	Resources with Significant Impacts that can be Mitigated to Less than Significant	6-11
40			
41	6.4.2.1	Cultural Resources.....	6-11
42	6.5	Environmentally Preferred and Superior Alternatives.....	6-12

1	7	SOCIOECONOMICS AND ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	7-1
2	7.1	Introduction.....	7-1
3	7.2	Environmental Setting	7-1
4	7.2.1	Socioeconomics.....	7-2
5	7.2.1.1	Employment and Income	7-2
6	7.2.1.2	Population	7-16
7	7.2.1.3	Housing	7-21
8	7.2.2	Environmental Quality	7-33
9	7.2.2.1	Introduction.....	7-33
10	7.2.2.2	Methodology.....	7-34
11	7.2.2.3	Regulatory Context.....	7-34
12	7.2.2.4	Non-Regulatory Context/Other Conditions and	
13		Concerns	7-46
14	7.2.2.5	The Port’s Role	7-48
15	7.3	Project Effects Related to Socioeconomics and Environmental Quality	7-50
16	7.3.1	Project Effects Related to Socioeconomics	7-50
17	7.3.1.1	Impact Methodology.....	7-50
18	7.3.1.2	Proposed Project Construction.....	7-54
19	7.3.1.3	No Federal Action/NEPA Baseline Construction	7-61
20	7.3.1.4	No Project Construction	7-61
21	7.3.1.5	Proposed Project Operations.....	7-62
22	7.3.1.6	No Federal Action/NEPA Baseline Operations	7-70
23	7.3.1.7	No Project Operations.....	7-77
24	7.3.1.8	Reduced Project – Project Without 10-Acre Fill	
25		Construction and Operation	7-77
26	7.3.1.9	Reduced Wharf Construction and Operation.....	7-78
27	7.3.1.10	Omni Terminal Construction and Operation	7-78
28	7.3.1.11	Landside Terminal Improvements Construction	
29		and Operation.....	7-78
30	7.3.1.12	Other Economic Benefits.....	7-78
31	7.3.1.13	Summary	7-79
32	7.3.2	Project Effects Related to Environmental Quality.....	7-81
33	7.3.2.1	Methodology	7-81
34	7.3.2.2	Proposed Project	7-82
35	7.3.2.3	No Federal Action/NEPA Baseline.....	7-85
36	7.3.2.4	No Project.....	7-85
37	7.3.2.5	Reduced Project – Project Without 10-Acre Fill	7-86
38	7.3.2.6	Reduced Wharf	7-86
39	7.3.2.7	Omni Terminal.....	7-86
40	7.3.2.8	Landside Terminal Improvements	7-87
41	8	GROWTH-INDUCING IMPACTS	8-1
42	8.1	Introduction.....	8-1
43	8.2	Summary of Growth-Inducing Impacts	8-1
44	8.2.1	Direct Growth-Inducing Impacts.....	8-2
45	8.2.2	Indirect Growth-Inducing Impacts	8-3

1	9	SIGNIFICANT IRREVERSIBLE CHANGES	9-1
2		9.1 Introduction.....	9-1
3		9.2 Analysis of Irreversible Changes	9-1
4	10	REFERENCES.....	10-1
5		10.1 References Cited	10-1
6		10.2 Persons and Agencies Contacted	10-37
7	11	LIST OF PREPARERS.....	11-1
8		11.1 Los Angeles Harbor Department	11-1
9		11.2 Army Corps of Engineers	11-1
10		11.3 Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC)	11-1
11		11.3.1 Project Management Team.....	11-1
12		11.3.2 Technical Team	11-2
13		11.3.3 Production Team	11-2
14		11.4 Sub Consultant Firms.....	11-2
15	12	ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....	12-1

List of Figures

17	ES-1	Project Location within the Region.....	ES-4
18	ES-2	Project Location	ES-9
19	ES-3	Proposed Project Layout (Conceptual)	ES-11
20	ES-4	Container Terminal Changes under the Proposed Project and Alternatives	ES-19
21	1-1	Project Location within the Region.....	1-3
22	1-2	Existing Container Terminal	1-5
23	1-3	Gantry Cranes	1-5
24	1-4	Flow of Containers through a Marine Cargo Terminal.....	1-7
25	1-5	Actual (brown) and Forecasted (yellow) Cargo Volumes through San Pedro Bay Ports, in Millions of TEUs	1-9
27	1-6	Projected Throughput of Port Container Terminals (JWD Group 2005) Compared to Projected Capacity.....	1-11
29	2-1	Project Vicinity	2-2
30	2-2	West Basin Terminals Throughput Projections	2-5
31	2-3	Proposed Project Layout (Conceptual)	2-11
32	2-4	Location of Project Components.....	2-13
33	2-5	Relocation of Pier A Rail Yard.....	2-21
34	2-6	Harry Bridges Buffer Area and Conceptual Design Elements.....	2-23
35	2-7	Design Elements From Other Developments to be Used in the Buffer Area.....	2-25
37	2-8	North-South Cross-Section of the Buffer Area and Stepped Concrete Walls.....	2-27

1	2-9	Simulated Perspectives of the Landscaped Buffer Area	2-29
2	2-10	Scope of Analysis for Federal Review of Proposed Project Impacts	2-33
3	2-11	Container Terminal Changes Under the Proposed Project and Alternatives	2-41
4	2-12	Comparison Between CEQA Baseline, No Further Action/NEPA Baseline and	
5		Proposed Project Parameters Based on Total Throughput	2-57
6	3.1-1	Viewing Positions Selected for the Aesthetics/Visual Resources Impact	
7		Assessment.....	3.1-5
8	3.1-2	(Top): Views of the Berths 136-147 Terminal Cranes from Southbound Harbor	
9		Freeway near “C” Street Off Ramp (VP 1); and (Bottom): from Northbound	
10		Harbor Freeway Due East of Berths 136-139 (VP2)	3.1-9
11	3.1-3	Views from the Intersection of “C” St. and Gulf St. (VP 3). (Top): Looking to	
12		Northeast at the Residential Area along “C” Street; and (Bottom): Showing the	
13		Panorama Looking Southeast.....	3.1-11
14	3.1-4	(Top Left to Bottom Right): The Panorama Seen from “C” St., between	
15		Wilmington Blvd. and King Ave., Looking Southeast to Southwest (VP 4).....	3.1-13
16	3.1-5	(Top Left to Bottom Right): The Nighttime Panorama Seen from “C” St., between	
17		Wilmington Blvd. and King Ave., Looking Southeast to Southwest (VP 4).....	3.1-15
18	3.1-6	(Top Left to Bottom Right): The Panorama Seen from the “C” St./Mar Vista	
19		Ave. Intersection, Looking toward the Northeast Corner, Showing the	
20		Residential Area along the North Side of “C” St.....	3.1-17
21	3.1-7	(Top Left to Bottom Right): the Panorama Seen from “C” St. at the Northeast	
22		Corner of Mar Vista Ave., Looking South to West (VP 5).....	3.1-19
23	3.1-8	(Top Left to Bottom Right): The Nighttime Panorama Seen from “C” St. at the	
24		Northeast Corner of Mar Vista Ave., Looking South to West (VP 5)	3.1-21
25	3.1-9	(Top): View of Banning’s Landing from the Parking Lot; (Bottom): Panoramic	
26		View from the Second Floor Deck of Banning’s Landing, Looking from the	
27		South to the West; the Berths 136-147 Terminal Cranes are Visible at the Far	
28		Right (VP 6)	3.1-25
29	3.1-10	(Top Left to Bottom Right): Panoramic View from the Patio along the South	
30		Side of Banning’s Landing (VP 6), Looking East to South	3.1-27
31	3.1-11	(Top Left to Bottom Right): Panoramic View at Dusk from the Second Floor	
32		Deck of Banning’s Landing (VP 6), Looking from the South to the West,	
33		Showing the Night Lighting in the Vicinity ; the Berths 136-147 Terminal	
34		Cranes are Visible at Far Right, Lower Image.....	3.1-29
35	3.1-12	(Top Left): View from Front St. near Knoll Dr. (VP7); (Top Right): View from	
36		Catalina Terminal Parking Area (VP 8); (Bottom): View North from Slip 93	
37		near World Cruise Center (VP 9).....	3.1-33
38	3.1-13	(Top Left to Bottom Right): The Panorama Seen from Knoll Hill, Looking	
39		North to Southeast (VP 10). The Berths 136-147 Terminal Cranes are Visible in	
40		the Upper Image in the Background, Center Right.....	3.1-35
41	3.1-14	(Top Left to Bottom Right): Panoramic View at Dusk from Knoll Hill (VP 10),	
42		Looking from the North to the Southeast, Showing the Night Lighting in the	
43		Vicinity Berths 136-147 Terminal Cranes are Visible in the Upper Image in the	
44		Background, Center Right.....	3.1-39
45	3.1-15	(Top Left to Bottom Right): The Panorama Seen from Shields Dr. (VP 11),	
46		Looking North to Nearly East.....	3.1-41

1	3.1-16	(Top): Views from Via Cordova St. and (Bottom) Channel St., Looking Nearly	
2		Due East (VPs 12 and 13).....	3.1-45
3	3.1-17	(Top) Views to the East from Rocking Horse Rd., Rancho Palos Verdes; and	
4		(Bottom): from Park Western Dr., San Pedro.....	3.1-49
5	3.1-18	(Top): Views to the North from the San Pedro Marina and (Bottom): from Ports	
6		O’Call.....	3.1-51
7	3.1-19	Composite of Oblique Photographs of a Model of the Harry Bridges Buffer	
8		Area, Looking North.....	3.1-91
9	3.1-20	(Top): Existing Visual Condition for View from the Southbound Lanes of the	
10		Harbor Freeway near “C” St. Off Ramp (VP 1); (Bottom): Simulation of the	
11		Post-Project Visual Condition.....	3.1-95
12	3.1-21	(Top Left to Bottom Right): Simulation of Project Features, Seen in Panorama	
13		(Top Left Continuing to Bottom Right) from VP 4, on “C” St. between	
14		Wilmington Blvd. and King Ave., Looking Southeast to Southwest See 3.1-4 to	
15		Compare with the Existing Condition.....	3.1-97
16	3.1-22	(Top): Existing Visual Condition for the View to the Southwest from the Patio	
17		on the South Side of Banning’s Landing, near VP 6; (Bottom): Simulation of	
18		Project Features and Fries Ave. Grade Separation (Not Part of the Project).....	3.1-99
19	3.1-23	(Top): Existing Condition for View from Knoll Hill Looking North to Southeast	
20		(VP 10); and (Bottom): Simulation of the Post-Project Visual Condition.....	3.1-101
21	3.2-1	CEQA Baseline Residential Cancer Risk Estimate - Berths 136-147 Terminal	
22		Project EIS/EIR.....	3.2-85
23	3.2-2	Proposed Project Mitigated minus CEQA Baseline Residential Cancer Risk	
24		Estimate Berths 136-147 Terminal Project EIS/EIR.....	3.2-89
25	3.2-3	Unmitigated Proposed Project minus CEQA Baseline Annual DPM	
26		concentrations (ug/m3).....	3.2-99
27	3.2-4	Alternative 1 (No Project) Unmitigated Residential Cancer Risk Estimate -	
28		Berths 136-147 Terminal Project EIS/EIR.....	3.2-119
29	3.2-5	Decrease in concentration of freeway diesel PM emissions with distance (after	
30		Zhu 2002).....	3.2-201
31	3.3-1	Northwest Slip Fill Cross Section and Plan View.....	3.3-30
32	3.5-1	Local Faults and Geologic Structures — West Los Angeles Basin.....	3.5-2
33	3.6-1	Map Showing Sites with Available Environmental Assessment Data.....	3.6-9
34	3.6-2	Distribution of GRO in Groundwater Plume Map.....	3.6-13
35	3.6-3	Summary of Areas of Concern.....	3.6-35
36	3.8-1	Land Use Designations for the West Basin and Proposed Project Area.....	3.8-9
37	3.8-2	Zoning Designations for the West Basin and Proposed Project Area.....	3.8-15
38	3.9-1	Noise Measurement Locations.....	3.9-7
39	3.9-2	Hourly Noise Levels at LT-1.....	3.9-9
40	3.9-3	Hourly Noise Levels at LT-2.....	3.9-10
41	3.9-4	Hourly Noise Levels at LT-3.....	3.9-11
42	3.9-5	Hourly Noise Levels at LT-4.....	3.9-12
43	3.9-6	Hourly Noise Levels at LT-5.....	3.9-14
44	3.9-7	Hourly Noise Levels at LT-6.....	3.9-15
45	3.9-8	Noise Measurement Locations.....	3.9-19
46	3.9-9	Hourly Noise Levels at LT-7, Consolidated Slip Marina, November 7-8, 2005.....	3.9-21

1	3.10-1	Study Intersections	3.10-3
2	3.10-2	Proposed Project Trip Distribution	3.10-27
3	3.11-1	Vessel Navigation Safety Areas at Port of Los Angeles and Port of Long Beach.....	3.11-2
4	3.12-1	Public Services Facilities	3.12-3
5	3.12-2	Utility Locations	3.12-7
6	3.13-1	Los Angeles Harbor	3.13-5
7	3.13-2	Examples of Long-Term Trends in Water Quality at West Basin;– Dissolved	
8		Oxygen and Transparency Data for Station LA35 (Source: unpublished POLA	
9		data).....	3.13-7
10	4-1	Cumulative Projects Location Map.....	4-5
11	5-1	Percent Minority Population	5-5
12	5-2	Percent Low-Income Population.....	5-7
13	5-3	Mitigated Project Minus No Federal Action/NEPA Baseline - Affected Area	
14		with Increased Residential Cancer Risk of 10 in a Million Cases or More	5-21
15	5-4	No Project (without Mitigation) Minus CEQA Baseline - Affected Area with	
16		Increased Residential Cancer Risk of 10 in a Million Cases or More	5-41
17	7.2-1	Employment in 5-County Southern California Region (1990-2004).....	7-3
18	7.2-2	Unemployment Rate for State and Counties (1983-2004).....	7-7
19	7.2-3	Housing Units Permitted in Los Angeles County (1967-2004).....	7-23
20	7.2-4	Housing Units Permitted in 5-County Southern California Region (1967-2004).....	7-25
21	7.2-5	Change in Median House Price (Year-to-Previous Year), San Pedro and	
22		Wilmington	7-35
23	7.2-6	Redevelopment and Planning Areas	7-39
24	7.3-1	Proposed Project: Annual Capital Improvement Project Expenditures	7-55
25	7.3-2	Proposed Project - 5-County Region Construction Employment	7-59
26	7.3-3	Proposed Project: 5-County Region Operations Employment.....	7-63
27	7.3-4	Proposed Project vs. No Project: 5-County Region Operations Employment	7-65
28	7.3-5	Proposed Project: 5-County Region Operations Employment by Sector in 2038	7-67
29	7.3-6	No Federal Action/NEPA Baseline - 5-County Region Operations Employment.....	7-73
30	7.3-7	No Federal Action vs. No Project: 5-County Region Operations Employment	7-75
31			

32 List of Tables

33	ES-1	Project Summary Matrix.....	ES-8
34	ES-2	Summary of Proposed Project and Alternatives at Full Buildout (2038)	ES-18
35	ES-3	Summary of Potential Significant Impacts and Mitigation for the Proposed Project	
36		and Alternatives	ES-27
37	ES-4	Summary of Responses to the NOI/NOP and Special Public Notice/Public Meeting	ES-60
38	1-1	Agencies Expected to Use this EIS/EIR.....	1-13
39	1-2	Organization and Contents of the Draft EIS/EIR.....	1-21
40	2-1	Project Throughput Comparison	2-3
41	2-2	Project Summary Matrix.....	2-15
42	2-3	Proposed Project Construction Schedule	2-32

1	2-4	Project Alternatives Summary Matrix.....	2-43
2	2-5	Applicable Statutes, Plans, Policies, and Other Regulatory Requirements.....	2-60
3	3.1-1	Relationship of impact intensity and visual sensitivity to an effect's being perceived as a substantial reduction in visual quality (significant impact) ³	3.1-79
4			
5	3.1-2	Cranes – Types and Dimensions	3.1-86
6	3.1-3	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Aesthetics and Visual Resources Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives	3.1-161
7			
8	3.2-1	Adverse Effects Associated with the Criteria Pollutants	3.2-4
9	3.2-2	Maximum Pollutant Concentrations Measured at the North Long Beach Monitoring Station.....	3.2-6
10			
11	3.2-3	Maximum Pollutant Concentrations Measured by the POLA Air Quality Monitoring Network (February 2005 to February 2006)	3.2-7
12			
13	3.2-4	Average Daily Emissions Associated with Baseline Operations at the Berths 136- 147 Terminal - Year 2003.....	3.2-14
14			
15	3.2-5	Peak Daily Emissions Associated with Baseline Operations at the Berths 136-147 Terminal	3.2-14
16			
17	3.2-6	Annual Operational GHG Emissions - Berths 136-147 Terminal Project —CEQA Baseline (2003)	3.2-16
18			
19	3.2-7	Daily Emissions from Construction Activities Associated with the NEPA Baseline.....	3.2-27
20	3.2-8	Average Daily Operational Emissions Associated with the No Federal Action Baseline Scenario	3.2-28
21			
22	3.2-9	Peak Daily Operational Emissions Associated with the NEPA Baseline Scenario	3.2-29
23	3.2-10	Total GHG Emissions from Berths 136-147 Terminal Construction Activities – No Federal Action Baseline.....	3.2-31
24			
25	3.2-11	Annual Operational GHG Emissions - Berths 136-147 Terminal — No Federal Action Baseline	3.2-31
26			
27	3.2-12	SCAQMD Thresholds for Construction Emissions.....	3.2-33
28	3.2-13	SCAQMD Thresholds for Ambient Air Quality Concentrations Associated with Proposed Project Construction	3.2-34
29			
30	3.2-14	SCAQMD Thresholds for Operational Emissions.....	3.2-35
31	3.2-15	SCAQMD Thresholds for Ambient Air Quality Concentrations Associated with Proposed Project Operations.....	3.2-35
32			
33	3.2-16	Regulations and Agreements Assumed in the Construction Emissions.....	3.2-37
34	3.2-17	Regulations and Agreements Assumed as Part of the Proposed Project Emissions.....	3.2-42
35	3.2-18	Daily Emissions for Proposed Project Construction Activities – Phase 1	3.2-49
36	3.2-19	Daily Emissions for Proposed Project Construction Activities – Phase 2	3.2-50
37	3.2-20	Maximum Offsite Ambient Concentrations – Proposed Project Phase 1 Construction without Mitigation.....	3.2-54
38			
39	3.2-21	Maximum Offsite Ambient Concentrations – Proposed Project Phase 1 Construction After Mitigation	3.2-55
40			
41	3.2-22	Average Daily Emissions Associated with the Operation of the Berths 136-147 Terminal Proposed Project.....	3.2-56
42			
43	3.2-23	Peak Daily Emissions Associated with the Operation of the Berths 136-147 Terminal Proposed Project.....	3.2-59
44			
45	3.2-24	Comparison between San Pedro Bay Ports Clean Air Action Plan Control Measures and Berths 136-147 Terminal EIS/EIR Proposed Mitigation Measures	3.2-63
46			

1	3.2-25	Mitigated Average Daily Emissions Associated with Operation of the Proposed Project.....	3.2-75
2			
3	3.2-26	Mitigated Peak Daily Emissions Associated with Operation of the Proposed Project.....	3.2-77
4	3.2-27	Maximum Offsite Ambient Concentrations – Proposed Project Operations Without Mitigation.....	3.2-80
5			
6	3.2-28	Maximum Offsite Ambient Concentrations – Proposed Project Operations After Mitigation.....	3.2-81
7			
8	3.2-29	Maximum Health Impacts due to the Proposed Project Without Mitigation.....	3.2-84
9	3.2-30	Maximum Health Impacts due to the Proposed Project After Mitigation.....	3.2-91
10	3.2-A	Annual 2005 Statewide PM and Ozone Health Effects Associated with Ports and Goods Movement in California.....	3.2-93
11			
12	3.2-31	Total GHG Emissions from Berths 136-147 Terminal Construction Activities - Proposed Project.....	3.2-101
13			
14	3.2-32	Annual Operational GHG Emissions - Berths 136-147 Terminal - Proposed Project without Mitigation.....	3.2-102
15			
16	3.2-33	Project Applicability Review of Potential GHG Emission Reduction Strategies.....	3.2-106
17	3.2-34	Annual Operational GHG Emissions - Berths 136-147 Terminal — Proposed Project with Mitigation.....	3.2-108
18			
19	3.2-35	Average Daily Emissions Associated with Operation of the No Project Alternative 1.....	3.2-111
20	3.2-36	Peak Daily Emissions Associated with the No Project Alternative 1.....	3.2-113
21	3.2-37	Maximum Offsite Ambient Concentrations – Alternative 1 Operations Without Mitigation.....	3.2-116
22			
23	3.2-38	Maximum Health Impacts due to the No Project Alternative without Mitigation.....	3.2-118
24	3.2-39	Annual Operational GHG Emissions - Berths 136-147 Terminal — Alternative 1.....	3.2-122
25	3.2-40	Total GHG Emissions from Berths 136-147 Terminal Construction Activities — Alternative 2.....	3.2-130
26			
27	3.2-41	Average Daily Operational Emissions Associated with the Reduced Wharf Alternative.....	3.2-133
28			
29	3.2-42	Peak Daily Operational Emissions Associated with the Reduced Wharf Alternative.....	3.2-135
30	3.2-43	Mitigated Average Daily Operational Emissions Associated with the Reduced Wharf Alternative.....	3.2-138
31			
32	3.2-44	Mitigated Peak Daily Operational Emissions Associated with the Reduced Wharf Alternative.....	3.2-140
33			
34	3.2-45	Maximum Offsite Ambient Concentrations – Alternative 3 Operations Without Mitigation.....	3.2-142
35			
36	3.2-46	Maximum Offsite Ambient Concentrations – Alternative 3 Operations After Mitigation.....	3.2-143
37			
38	3.2-47	Maximum Health Impacts due to Alternative 3 Without Mitigation.....	3.2-145
39	3.2-48	Maximum Health Impacts due to Alternative 3 after Mitigation.....	3.2-146
40	3.2-49	Total GHG Emissions from Berths 136-147 Terminal Construction Activities — Alternative 3.....	3.2-147
41			
42	3.2-50	Annual Operational GHG Emissions - Berths 136-147 Terminal - Alternative 3.....	3.2-148
43	3.2-51	Annual Operational GHG Emissions - Berths 136-147 Terminal — Alternative 3 with Mitigation.....	3.2-150
44			
45	3.2-52	Daily Emissions from Construction of Alternative 4.....	3.2-152

1	3.2-53	Average Daily Operational Emissions Associated with the Omni Terminal	
2		Alternative	3.2-155
3	3.2-54	Peak Daily Operational Emissions Associated with the Omni Terminal Alternative 4	3.2-157
4	3.2-55	Maximum Offsite Ambient Concentrations – Alternative 4 Operations Without	
5		Mitigation	3.2-159
6	3.2-56	Maximum Offsite Ambient Concentrations – Alternative 4 Operations after	
7		Mitigation	3.2-160
8	3.2-57	Maximum Health Impacts due to Alternative 4 Without Mitigation	3.2-161
9	3.2-58	Total GHG Emissions from Berths 136-147 Terminal Construction Activities —	
10		Alternative 4	3.2-163
11	3.2-59	Annual Operational GHG Emissions - Berths 136-147 Terminal - Alternative 4	3.2-163
12	3.2-60	Average Daily Operational Emissions Associated with the Landside Terminal	
13		Improvements Alternative 5	3.2-167
14	3.2-61	Peak Daily Operational Emissions Associated with the Landside Terminal	
15		Improvements Alternative	3.2-169
16	3.2-62	Maximum Offsite Ambient Concentrations – Alternative 5 Operations	3.2-171
17	3.2-63	Maximum Health Impacts due to Alternative 5 Without Mitigation	3.2-173
18	3.2-64	Total GHG Emissions from Berths 136-147 Terminal Construction Activities —	
19		Alternative 5	3.2-174
20	3.2-65	Annual Operational GHG Emissions - Berths 136-147 Terminal – Alternative 5	3.2-175
21	3.2-66	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Air Quality and	
22		Meteorology Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives	3.2-178
23	3.2-67	Summary of Applicable Mitigation Measures	3.2-190
24	3.2-68	Maximum Health Impacts due to the Proposed Project Without Mitigation	3.2-196
25	3.2-69	Maximum Health Impacts due to the Proposed Project After Mitigation	3.2-198
26	3.3-1	Sensitive Bird Species in the Proposed Project Area	3.3-6
27	3.3-2	Fisheries Management Plan Species in the Proposed Project Area	3.3-12
28	3.3-3	Berth 136-147 Habitat Impact Summary (in acres)	3.3-25
29	3.3-4	Mitigation Available for Proposed Berths 136-147 Project	3.3-31
30	3.3-5	Estimated Credits for Committed and Upcoming Port Projects	3.3-31
31	3.3-6	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Biological	
32		Resources Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives	3.3-96
33	3.4-1	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Cultural	
34		Resources Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives	3.4-38
35	3.5-1	Known Earthquakes with Richter Magnitude Greater than 5.5 in the Los Angeles	
36		Basin Area	3.5-4
37	3.5-2	Hazardous Faults and Bedrock Accelerations — Los Angeles Basin Area	3.5-4
38	3.5-3	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Geology	
39		Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives	3.5-112
40	3.6-1	Known On-site Soil Contamination	3.6-25
41	3.6-2	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Groundwater	
42		and Soils Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives	3.6-89
43	3.7-1	Liquid Bulk Facilities within the West Basin Area	3.7-2
44	3.7-2	Container-Related Spills at POLA/POLB 1997-2004	3.7-4
45	3.7-3	Risk Matrix	3.7-16

1	3.7-4	Criticality and Frequency Classifications.....	3.7-17
2	3.7-5	Existing and Projected Cargo Throughput Volumes at Berths 136-147.....	3.7-32
3	3.7-6	Existing and Projected Cargo Throughput Volumes at Berths 136-147.....	3.7-33
4	3.7-7	Existing and Projected Truck Trips at Berths 136-147.....	3.7-35
5	3.7-8	Existing and Projected Truck Trips at Berths 136-147.....	3.7-36
6	3.7-9	Existing and Projected Cargo Throughput Volumes at Berths 136-147.....	3.7-49
7	3.7-10	Existing and Projected Truck Trips at Berths 136-147.....	3.7-51
8	3.7-11	Existing and Projected Cargo Throughput Volumes at Berths 136-147.....	3.7-73
9	3.7-12	Existing and Projected Cargo Throughput Volumes at Berths 136-147.....	3.7-74
10	3.7-13	Existing and Projected Truck Trips at Berths 136-147.....	3.7-76
11	3.7-14	Existing and Projected Truck Trips at Berths 136-147.....	3.7-77
12	3.7-15	Existing and Projected Cargo Throughput Volumes at Berths 136-147.....	3.7-100
13	3.7-16	Existing and Projected Cargo Throughput Volumes at Berths 136-147.....	3.7-101
14	3.7-17	Existing and Projected Truck Trips at Berths 136-147.....	3.7-102
15	3.7-18	Existing and Projected Truck Trips at Berths 136-147.....	3.7-104
16	3.7-19	Existing and Projected Cargo Throughput Volumes at Berths 136-147.....	3.7-125
17	3.7-20	Existing and Projected Truck Trips at Berths 136-147.....	3.7-127
18	3.7-21	Existing and Projected Cargo Throughput Volumes at Berths 136-147.....	3.7-148
19	3.7-22	Existing and Projected Truck Trips at Berths 136-147.....	3.7-150
20	3.7-23	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Hazards and	
21		Hazardous Materials Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives.....	3.7-161
22	3.8-1	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Land Use	
23		Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives.....	3.8-54
24	3.9-1	Definitions of Acoustical Terms.....	3.9-2
25	3.9-2	Typical Noise Levels in the Environment.....	3.9-3
26	3.9-3	Short-Term Noise Measurement Data (dBA).....	3.9-13
27	3.9-5	Construction Equipment Noise Level Range.....	3.9-26
28	3.9-6	Construction Source Noise Levels at Berths 136-147 during Phase I (Completed by	
29		2015).....	3.9-27
30	3.9-7	Construction Source Noise Levels at Harry Bridges Boulevard Improvements and	
31		Buffer Area during Phase I (Completed by 2015).....	3.9-27
32	3.9-8	Construction Source Noise Levels during Phase II (2015-2025).....	3.9-27
33	3.9-9	Berth 100 Wharf Construction Noise Levels Measured July 15, 2002.....	3.9-28
34	3.9-10	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Noise	
35		Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives.....	3.9-78
36	3.10-1	Port of Los Angeles West Basin Terminals Existing Intersection Level of Service	
37		Analysis.....	3.10-8
38	3.10-2	Related Proposed Project Trip Generation.....	3.10-12
39	3.10-3	Trip Generation Analysis Assumptions and Input Data for Berths 136-147 Terminal.....	3.10-24
40	3.10-4	2015 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Proposed Project vs. CEQA Baseline.....	3.10-26
41	3.10-5	2038 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Proposed Project vs. Baseline.....	3.10-29
42	3.10-6	2015 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Proposed Project vs. CEQA Baseline.....	3.10-31
43	3.10-7	2038 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Proposed Project vs. CEQA Baseline.....	3.10-32
44	3.10-8	Trip Generation Analysis Assumptions and Input Data for Berths 136-147 Terminal.....	3.10-34

1	3.10-9	2015 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Proposed Project vs. No Federal Action/NEPA Baseline	3.10-35
2			
3	3.10-10	2038 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Proposed Project vs. No Federal Action/NEPA Baseline	3.10-36
4			
5	3.10-11	Rail Crossing Vehicle Delay Due to Proposed Project.....	3.10-42
6	3.10-12	Trip Generation Analysis Assumptions and Input Data for Berths 136-147 Terminal	3.10-44
7	3.10-13	2015 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – No-Project vs. CEQA Baseline.....	3.10-45
8	3.10-14	2038 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – No-Project vs. CEQA Baseline.....	3.10-46
9	3.10-15	2015 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Alternative 1 (No Project) vs. CEQA Baseline	3.10-48
10			
11	3.10-16	2038 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Alternative 1 (No Project) vs. CEQA Baseline	3.10-49
12			
13	3.10-17	Trip Generation Analysis – Alternative 2	3.10-55
14	3.10-18	2015 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Alternative 2 (Reduced Project) vs. CEQA Baseline.....	3.10-57
15			
16	3.10-19	2038 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Alternative 2 (Reduced Project) vs. CEQA Baseline.....	3.10-58
17			
18	3.10-20	Trip Generation Analysis – Alternative 3	3.10-63
19	3.10-21	2015 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Alternative 3 (Reduced Wharf) vs. CEQA Baseline.....	3.10-64
20			
21	3.10-22	2038 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Alternative 3 (Reduced Wharf) vs. CEQA Baseline.....	3.10-65
22			
23	3.10-23	Trip Generation Analysis – Alternative 4	3.10-71
24	3.10-24	2015 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Alternative 4 (Omni Terminal) vs. CEQA Baseline.....	3.10-72
25			
26	3.10-25	2038 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Alternative 4 (Omni Terminal) vs. CEQA Baseline.....	3.10-73
27			
28	3.10-26	Trip Generation Analysis – Alternative 5	3.10-78
29	3.10-27	2015 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Alternative 5 (Landside Terminal Improvements) vs. CEQA Baseline	3.10-79
30			
31	3.10-28	2038 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Alternative 5 (Landside Terminal Improvements) vs. CEQA Baseline	3.10-80
32			
33	3.10-29	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Transportation and Circulation Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives	3.10-84
34			
35	3.10-30	Trip Generation Analysis Assumptions and Input Data for Berths 136-147 Terminal	3.10-96
36	3.10-31	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – 2015 Proposed Project vs. CEQA Baseline.....	3.10-98
37	3.10-32	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – 2038 Proposed Project vs. CEQA Baseline.....	3.10-99
38	3.10-33	Rail Crossing Vehicle Delay Due to Proposed Project.....	3.10-104
39	3.10-34	Trip Generation Analysis Assumptions and Input Data for Berths 136-147 Terminal	3.10-106
40	3.10-35	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – 2015 Alternative 1 (No-Project) vs. CEQA Baseline	3.10-107
41			
42	3.10-36	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – 2038 Alternative 1 (No-Project) vs. CEQA Baseline	3.10-108
43			
44	3.10-37	Trip Generation Analysis – Alternative 2	3.10-110

1	3.10-38	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – 2015 Alternative 2 (Reduced Project) vs. CEQA Baseline	3.10-111
2			
3	3.10-39	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – 2038 Alternative 2 (Reduced Project) vs. CEQA Baseline	3.10-112
4			
5	3.10-40	Trip Generation Analysis – Alternative 3	3.10-113
6	3.10-41	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – 2015 Alternative 3 (Reduced Wharf) vs. CEQA Baseline	3.10-115
7			
8	3.10-42	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – 2038 Alternative 3 (Reduced Wharf) vs. CEQA Baseline	3.10-116
9			
10	3.10-43	Trip Generation Analysis – Alternative 4	3.10-117
11	3.10-44	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – 2015 Alternative 4 (Omni Terminal) vs. CEQA Baseline	3.10-118
12			
13	3.10-45	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – 2038 Alternative 4 (Omni Terminal) vs. CEQA Baseline	3.10-119
14			
15	3.10-46	Trip Generation Analysis – Alternative 5	3.10-120
16	3.10-47	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – 2015 Alternative 5 (Landside Terminal Improvements) vs. CEQA Baseline	3.10-121
17			
18	3.10-48	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – 2038 Alternative 5 (Landside Terminal Improvements) vs. CEQA Baseline	3.10-122
19			
20	3.11-1	Allisions, Collisions, and Groundings – POLA/POLB (1996-2003).....	3.11-6
21	3.11-2	Number of VTS-recorded “Close Quarters” Incidents, 1998-2003	3.11-7
22	3.11-3	Water Depths within POLA	3.11-9
23	3.11-4	Vessel Calls at the Port of Los Angeles	3.11-9
24	3.11-5	Marine-Based Construction Equipment Associated with the Proposed Project	3.11-15
25	3.11-6	Existing and Projected Vessel Calls at Berths 136-147	3.11-19
26	3.11-7	Comparison of Vessel Calls under the Proposed Project and Alternatives.....	3.11-21
27	3.11-8	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Marine Transportation Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives.....	3.11-38
28			
29	3.12-1	Port Police Demand	3.12-13
30	3.12-2	Water Demand	3.12-14
31	3.12-3	Wastewater Generation.....	3.12-14
32	3.12-4	Solid Waste Generation	3.12-15
33	3.12-5	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Public Services, Utilities and Recreation Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives.....	3.12-72
34			
35	3.13-1	Section 303(d) Listed Waters in LA Harbor	3.13-3
36	3.13-2	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Water Quality, Sediments and Oceanography Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives	3.13-93
37			
38			
39	4-1	Related and Cumulative Projects.....	4-7
40	4-2	2015 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – 2015 Cumulative vs. Existing 2003	4-94
41	4-3	2038 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – 2038 Cumulative vs. Existing 2003	4-95
42	4-4	Trip Generation Analysis Assumptions and Input Data for Berths 136-147 Terminal	4-97
43	4-5	2015 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Proposed Project vs. Baseline	4-99
44	4-6	2038 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Proposed Project vs. Baseline	4-100
45	4-7	2015 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Proposed Project vs. Baseline	4-102

1	4-8	2038 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Proposed Project vs. Baseline	4-103
2	4-9	Trip Generation Analysis Assumptions and Input Data for Berths 136-147 Terminal	4-105
3	4-10	2015 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Proposed Project vs. No Federal	
4		Action/NEPA Baseline	4-106
5	4-11	2038 Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Proposed Project vs. No Federal	
6		Action/NEPA Baseline	4-107
7	4-12	Rail Crossing Vehicle Delay Due to Proposed Project.....	4-114
8	5-1	Minority and Low-Income Populations	5-3
9	5-2	Minority and Low-Income Characteristics In the Vicinity of the Proposed Project	
10		Site.....	5-4
11	5-3	Summary of Disproportionate Effects on Minority and Low-Income Populations	
12		from the Proposed Project and Alternatives.....	5-53
13	6-1	Summary of Proposed Project and Alternatives at Full Buildout (2025-2038).....	6-2
14	6-2	Summary of NEPA Significance Analysis by Alternative.....	6-4
15	6-3	Comparison of Alternatives to the No Federal Action/ NEPA Baseline	6-4
16	6-4	Summary of CEQA Significance Analysis by Alternative.....	6-6
17	6-5	Comparison of Alternatives to the Proposed Project.....	6-6
18	7.2-1	Total Farm and Nonfarm Employment by County (1990-2004)	7-5
19	7.2-2	Employment Projections (2005-2038)	7-6
20	7.2-3	Unemployment Rate (%) by County (1990-2004)	7-9
21	7.2-4	Total Farm and Nonfarm Employment for Los Angeles County, California (1990-	
22		2004).....	7-10
23	7.2-5	Geographical Distribution by Community of Longshoremen Working at the Ports of	
24		Los Angeles and Long Beach.....	7-15
25	7.2-6	Occupational Breakdown by Place of Residence, 2000 (Employed civilian	
26		population 16 years and over).....	7-17
27	7.2-7	Household and Family Income by Source of Income.....	7-19
28	7.2-8	Population by Region, County, Place and Community Plan Area (1990-2005)	7-20
29	7.2-9	Population Projections for Region, County and Place (2005-2038)	7-22
30	7.2-10	Housing Characteristics in 2000.....	7-28
31	7.2-11	Home Price by County (1990-2003)	7-30
32	7.2-12	Home Prices by Community (1997-2002).....	7-32
33	7.3-1	Port Industry Activities Associated with Cargo Movement	7-51
34	7.3-2	Proposed Project: Employment Effects of Construction by Sector in 5-County	
35		Region	7-58
36	7.3-3	Proposed Project: Employment Effects of Operations by Sector in 5-County Region	7-69
37	7.3-4	Proposed Project: Employment Impacts of Operations in 5-County Region	7-70
38	7.3-5	Proposed Project: Effects of \$1 Million Output (2005 Dollars).....	7-70
39	7.3-6	No Federal Action: Employment Effects of Operations By Sector in 5-County	
40		Region	7-71
41	7.3-7	No Federal Action: Employment Impacts of Operations in 5-County Region.....	7-72
42	7.3-8	No Project: Employment Effects of Operations By Sector in 5-County Region.....	7-77
43	7.3-9	Comparison of Alternatives: Employment Effects in 5-County Region.....	7-80

1	Appendices
2	A Initial Study/Notice of Preparation (NOP)/Notice of Intent (NOI)/
3	Special Public Notice
4	B PCAC Mitigation Measures/NNI
5	C Port Community Advisory Committee (PCAC) Project Involvement
6	D Air Quality/Health Risk Assessment
7	D1 Criteria Pollutant Emissions Calculations
8	D2 Dispersion Modeling of Criteria Pollutants
9	D3 Health Risk Assessment Report
10	D4 Health Risk Assessment Emissions Calculations
11	D5 List of Selected References: Ultrafine Particles
12	D6 Health Risk Assessments: Background
13	D7 Health Risk Assessments: Additional Resources
14	E Transportation/Circulation
15	F Aesthetics/Visual Resources
16	G Environmental Justice
17	H Section 404 (b) (1) Alternatives Analysis
18	I Throughput Methodology
19	J Tsunami Report
20	K Site Assessments and Remediation
21	L Water Quality
22	M Biological Resources
23	N Noise