

## As-Needed Site Assessment and Restoration Services Selection Summary

EMD received twenty one (21) proposals on May 31, 2016. Proposals were individually reviewed by each rater and scored using a range of 0 to 5 for each criteria with 5 being exceptionally well qualified and 0 being not included/non responsive. A weighing factor was established for each criteria and a final weighted score. The highest weighted score possible is 100. The results are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1. Proposal Review Scores**

Proposals	Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3	Reviewer 4	Ranking
SGL/ Apex	95	93	98	91	1
Tetra Tech	95	91	100	90	2
Leighton	86	91	98	93	3
Pacific Edge	82	86	100	89	4
Amec	85	77.5	98	89	5
E2	88	76	95	89	6
Parsons	85	76.5	95	90	7
Eco & Associates	83	82	94	85	8
Ninyo & Moore	83	71	98	83	9
ERM	74	76.5	95	88	10
Anchor	85.5	62.5	93	86	11
Accord	73	76.5	86	84	12
Rincon	73	75	88	83	13
Converse	60	67	80	83	14
Alisto	70	72.5	68	68	15
GHD	65	67	68	76	16
Alta	69	58.5	59	83	17
Citadel	60	55	58	65	18
National Demolition	54	60	51	60	19
Environmental Services	41	56.5	42	67	20
Ambient	24	42	11	57	21

## Interview Selection

Based on the proposal review scores above, the selection committee short-listed the following thirteen (13) firms for interviews:

- The Source Group
- Tetra Tech
- Leighton
- Pacific Edge
- Amec
- E2
- Parsons
- Eco & Associates
- Ninyo & Moore
- ERM
- Anchor QEA
- Accord Engineering
- Rincon

## Interview Results

All firms listed above were interviewed. During the interview process, each firm responded to a set of questions formulated by the selection committee as shown in Transmittal 5. The firms were ranked based on the results of their presentation and proposals under the following five criteria:

- Firm Qualification and Experience;
- Project Team and Organization;
- Technical Approach;
- Project Management;
- Performance of Scope of Work; and
- Conflict of Interest.

Each criteria was scored using a range of 0 to 5, with 5 being exceptionally well qualified and 0 being not included/non responsive. A weighing factor was established for each criteria and a final weighted score. The highest weighted score possible is 100. An additional eight points was added to each maximum total score if a firm qualified as a Local Business Enterprise (LBE). The firms were then ranked based on the final scores. The results are summarized in Table 2.

**Table2. Interview Scores**

Consultants	Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3	Reviewer 4	Ranking
Leighton	91	90	82	92	1
Pacific Edge	83	88	85	86	2
SGL	89	86	87	94	3
Tetra Tech	87	88	76	87	4
Eco	83	86	74	83	5

Parsons	80	83	80	81	6
Anchor	88	85	82	86	7
E2	76	77	72	78	8
Ninyo & Moore	78	77	74	73	9
Accord	83	76	71	71	10
Amec	72	78	68	75	11
ERM	73	75	61	68	12
Rincon	70	76	50	69	13

## Firm Selection

Based on the interviews conducted, the following seven firms were selected as the most qualified to meet the Port's anticipated needs over the next three years:

- The Source Group
- Tetra Tech
- Leighton Consulting
- Pacific Edge Engineering
- Parsons
- Eco & Associates
- Anchor