

## South Wilmington Grade Separation Construction Management Services Final Evaluation and Rankings

<i>Criteria</i>	<i>Wt</i>	<b>AECOM</b>	<b>Consultant 1</b>	<b>Consultant 3</b>	<b>Consultant 4</b>	<b>Consultant 8</b>
Understanding of the Project	5	118	85	110	110	113
Utility Coordination	3	62	50	57	57	51
Technical Issues	3	62	54	62	59	57
Tenant Impacts	3	59	57	54	62	53
Overall Management Plan	5	100	75	95	100	95
Schedule/Cost Control	4	72	66	72	72	70
Quality Assurance	3	56	50	57	56	56
Construction Manager	5	113	65	83	98	78
Project Team/Key Personnel	4	90	58	76	76	68
Project Team Cohesiveness	3	65	48	57	59	50
Familiarity with Local Assistance Program	3	66	53	69	57	57
Familiarity with Applicable Codes/Regs.	3	62	56	51	57	57
Response to Questions	10	190	165	190	190	180
Clarity of Presentation	4	78	58	76	82	86
Visual Aids/Hand-Outs	2	37	30	35	40	50
Value of Services/Cost Effectiveness	5	88	125	100	75	25
	<b>Score</b>	<b>1314</b>	<b>1093</b>	<b>1243</b>	<b>1248</b>	<b>1144</b>
	<b>Rank</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>

Evaluator 1	Score	248	209	247	246	234
	Rank	1	5	2	3	4
Evaluator 2	Score	281	226	262	258	235
	Rank	1	5	2	3	4
Evaluator 3	Score	280	245	263	255	246
	Rank	1	5	2	3	4
Evaluator 4	Score	271	240	271	259	248
	Rank	1	5	1	3	4
Evaluator 5	Score	235	173	200	230	182
	Rank	1	5	3	2	4

Original Fee Proposal    \$4,121,572\*    \$2,998,131    \$3,440,596    \$5,534,325    \$5,192,212

\* Final Negotiated Amount    \$3,836,300