# Appendix K Cut Fill Options

# CABRILLO WAY MARINA DEVELOPMENT EQUAL AREAS OF CUT AND FILL

#### **OPTION "A" ALTERNATIVE**

Under the Option "A" Alternative the project site would be developed with some of the same project elements as the proposed project but with more emphasis on equal net areas of cut and fill. The landside development has limited visitor-serving retail/commercial uses compared to the proposed project. The capacity for storing boats in the drystack building is reduced by nine percent and two significant retail buildings and approximately 85 parking spaces are eliminated. Since the project objectives would not be met, this alternative is considered infeasible. In addition, with the associated removal (approximately 60,000 cubic yards hauled offsite) and disposal of a significant quantity of material for the creation of new water surface area, the higher cost of this alternative makes it economically infeasible.

#### **Dry Stack Impacts**

Requirement: 140' clear between launch and dry stack entrance

Proposed dry stack: 133,500 SF = 900 Boats

148 SF per 1 Boat

Lose  $50' \times 230' = 11,500 \text{ SF} = 78 \text{ Boats}$ 

New capacity = 822 say 820 Boats

<u>9% loss</u>

Lose 0.5 AC Land

#### **Retail Impacts**

Lose	D-2	==	0.8 AC +	10,000 SF	Restaurant
Lose	D-3	=	1.3 AC +	10,000 SF	Marina Club
Lose	45% D-1	=	0.2 AC	(Partial Loss)	
Lose		=	1.6 AC	Parking & Misc, land uses	

<sup>\*</sup>Assume no slip loss/gain

### **Quantity Impacts**

182,00 CY Cut

170,000 CY Fill x 1.15 shrinkage factor =

195,500 CY

Qty (CY) 195,000 Fill -182,000 75,000 CY dredge Cut - 75,000 Dredge - 61,500

Offsite Disposal

Say - 60,000

#### **OPTION "B" ALTERNATIVE**

Under the Option "B" Alternative the project site would be developed with some of the same project elements as the proposed project but with more emphasis on equal net areas of cut and fill. The landside development has limited visitor-serving retail/commercial uses compared to the proposed project. The capacity for storing boats in the drystack building is significantly reduced (21 percent!) and two retail buildings and approximately 66 parking spaces are eliminated. Since the project objectives would not be met, this alternative is considered infeasible. In addition, with the associated removal (approximately 65,000 cubic yards hauled offsite) and disposal of a significant quantity of material for the creation of new water surface area, the higher cost of this alternative makes it economically infeasible.

#### **Dry Stack Impacts**

Same requirements as Option "A" Alternative

120' x 230' 27,600 SF 186 Boats

New capacity 713 710 Boats say

21% Loss

Lose 0.6 AC Land

**Retail Impacts** 

Lose 0.8 AC 10,000 SF Restaurant D-2 +

Lose 85% D-3 = 1.1 AC + 10,000 SF Marina Club

Lose = 1.9 AC Parking & misc. land uses

\*Assume no slip loss/gain

## **Quantity Impacts**

75,000 CY dredge



