### RICHARD SIV

Maersk APM Terminals renegotiated it's 5 year Rate of Return TEU revenue payments to the Port of Los Angelesin February, March, and April of 2018. In the tale of "PROPOSED EIGHTH AMENDMENT TO PERMIT NO. 827 WITH APM TERMINALS PACIFIC, LLC

Transmitted for further processing and Council consideration.

See the City Administrative Officer report attached." Found in City Council File 14-1276-S2. In the Report of the City Administrative Officer Report Summary section it went on to say a very curious thing "Instead of considering only a strict financial return on investment, the Port states that the

POLA staff measured the economic return for APMT and the San Pedro Bay ports by the projected

number of jobs, taxes

and economic impact on the region. According to the Port, although APMT may not meet the

published ROR target rates, APMT more than makes up for it in the creation of jobs, revenue

generation and financial impact on the local, regional and national economy." Then further more in the Fiscal Impact Statement section of that very same report it went on to tell the story further by stating quote "Approval of the Harbor Department (Port) request to amend Permit No. 827 with APM Terminals Pacific, is anticipated to increase revenue from minimum annual rent by 17 percent from a current

amount of approximately \$71.6 million to \$83.8 million, with a corresponding increase to the

minimum ROR by 1.2 percent or from 4.93 percent to 5.58 percent by the end of the contract reset

period. Instead of only a strict financial return on investment, the Port states that it measured the

economic return for APMT and the San Pedro Bay ports by the projected number of jobs, taxes and

economic impact on the region." One might be interested in what were these projected number of job creation figures and what exactly happened to this being a condition of the negotiations between the Port of LA and APM Terminals MAERSK? If job creation was part of the terms factored in to renewing of portions of the No. 827 permit leased to Tenant APMT with the Rates of per TEUs paying revenue to the Port and city why are these figure not included in this report. Very interesting.



425 S. Palos Verdes Street

Post Office Box 151

San Pedro, CA 90733-0151

TEL/TOD 310 SEA-PORT

www.portoflosangeles.org

Eric Garcetti

Mayor, City of Los Angeles

Board of Harbor Commissioners Ambassador Vilma S. Marlinez

David Arian
Vice President

Lucia Moreno-Linares

Anthony Pirozzi, Jr.

Edward R. Renwick

Eugene D. Seroka

Executive Director

March 30, 2018

Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Los Angeles

CD No. 15

Attention:

Mr. John White, City Clerk's Office

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTION NO. 18-8246 - PROPOSED EIGHTH AMENDMENT TO PERMIT NO. 827

WITH APM TERMINALS PACIFIC, LLC

Pursuant to Section 606 of the City Charter, enclosed for your approval is Resolution No. 18-8246 authorizing proposed Permit No. 827 with APM Terminals Pacific LLC; which was approved at the February 15, 2018 meeting of the Board of Harbor Commissioners. The proposed eighth amendment to Permit 827 will amend the compensation provision of the Permit as necessary to effectuate the negotiated compensation reset for the period from August 1, 2017, through July 31, 2022, by (a) exempting the applicability of any Tariff No. 4 increase until after August 1, 2019, and (b) deleting permit provisions related to tenant controlled cargo movement through San Pedro Bay. There is no impact to the General Fund.

### RECOMMENDATION FOR CITY COUNCIL:

- ADOPT the determination by the Board of Harbor Commissioners (Board) that the proposed action is categorically exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) in accordance with Article III, Class 1(14) of the Los Angeles City CEQA Guidelines;
- 2. APPROVE Harbor Department Resolution No. 18-8246 authorizing proposed Permit No. 827 with APM Terminals Pacific LLC; and
- 3. Return to the Board of Harbor Commissioners for further processing.

Respectfully Submitted,

AMBER M. KLESGES
Commission Secretary

cc: Trade, Travel & Tourism Committee
Councilman Buscaino
Councilman Bosin
Councilman Krekorian
Mandy Morales, Mayor's Office

Erick Martell, Harbor Representative Alvin Newman, CAO Dennis Gleason, CD15 Mandana Khatibshahidi, CLA

TRANSMITTAL		0150-09263-0004
To Eugene D. Seroka, Executive Director Executive Director	MAR 1 5 2018	COUNCIL FILE NO.
FROM The Mayor		COUNCIL DISTRICT

### PROPOSED EIGHTH AMENDMENT TO PERMIT NO. 827 WITH APM TERMINALS PACIFIC, LLC

Transmitted for further processing and Council consideration. See the City Administrative Officer report attached.

And Guerrero

RHL:ABN:101800771

CAO 649-d

### REPORT FROM

### OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

Date:

March 15, 2018

CAO File No.

0150-09263-0004

Council File No. Council District: 15

To:

The Mayor

From:

Richard H. Llewellyn, Jr., City Administrative Officer

Reference:

Correspondence from the Harbor Department dated February 20, 2018; referred by the

Mayor for report dated February 22, 2018

Subject:

PROPOSED EIGHTH AMENDMENT TO PERMIT NO. 827 WITH APM TERMINALS

PACIFIC, LLC

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

That the Mayor:

- 1. Approve the Harbor Department (Port) Resolution No.18-8246 authorizing the Eighth Amendment to Permit No. 827 with APM Terminals Pacific, LLC; and,
- 2. Return the document to the Port for further processing, including Council consideration.

### SUMMARY

The Harbor Department (Port) Board of Harbor Commissioners (Board) requests approval of Resolution No. 18-8246 authorizing a proposed Eighth Amendment (Amendment) to Permit No. 827 (Permit) with APM Terminals Pacific, LLC (APMT). APMT is one of the largest terminal operators at the Port of Los Angeles (POLA). The proposed Amendment will amend the provision of the Permit to reflect changes to the compensation amount that were negotiated between the Port and APMT. The Permit authorizes the Board to reset the compensation provisions every five years. The proposed Amendment will include the reset of negotiated compensations for the period from August 2017 through July 2022, as follows: 1) exempt applicable compensation increases in Tariff No. 4 (port rules and regulations) from the effective date of the proposed Amendment until after July 2019 and 2) delete provisions related to "tenant controlled cargo movement" through the POLA and Port of Long Beach (POLB), also known as the San Pedro Bay complex. The Port states that the retroactive date to August 2017 was due to prolonged negotiations between the two parties.

The Port states that APMT will be exempted from potential compensation increases pursuant to Tariff No. 4 (Tariff) until after July 2019. The compensation increases will begin in August 2019 and end in July 2022. The reset of the negotiated compensation in the proposed Amendment allows for a readjustment to all rates described as "Merchandise Not Otherwise Specified (NOS)" in the Tariff

after July. According to the Port, after that date, the compensation for the NOS rates in the Tariff section will be applicable and readjusted to the Twenty-Foot Equivalent Unit (TEU) cargo container rates and the minimum annual guarantee rates, including the applicable Consumer Price Index. In addition, the Permit will delete provisions related to tenant cargo containers movements through the San Pedro Bay. The APMT and POLA agreed to negotiate a deletion of the provision in the Permit, because both parties concluded that APMT does not directly control the routing of its cargo.

The Port states that although the proposed Amendment will result in an increase in revenue from the terminal operations, the projected rate of return (ROR) is anticipated to be lower than the targeted ROR rate stated in the POLA policy. The targeted ROR in the POLA policy is generally 10 percent on land and 12 percent on improvements. Since there is no acquisition costs on POLA land, the Port states that it compares land uses and rents with comparable industrial real estate markets. The improvements costs are part of project development expenses. In this case, one of the factors that the Port used to calculate the return on investment is the projected number of TEU cargo containers that the POLA anticipates will be moved in and out of the POLA in the future. The volume of cargo containers could fluctuate, either going up or down, and have a direct impact on the projected ROR and future revenue generation for the APMT and therefore the Port.

This proposed Amendment, as negotiated, represents the current market rent for this facility and property. The Port determined that the return of the investment on the land is approximately six percent. Revenue for the proposed Amendment is expected to increase the minimum annual rent by 17 percent, from a current amount of approximately \$71.6 million to \$83.8 million. There will be a corresponding increase to the minimum ROR by 1.2 percent, from 4.93 percent to 5.58 percent, by the end of the five-year contract period.

The Port factored the current market conditions and size of the leased property and facility, compared to other facilities in the San Pedro Bay complex, TEU volume, and the most recent deployment schedules of major carriers in the transpacific trade markets to arrive at costs. Instead of considering only a strict financial return on investment, the Port states that the POLA staff measured the economic return for APMT and the San Pedro Bay ports by the projected number of jobs, taxes and economic impact on the region. According to the Port, although APMT may not meet the published ROR target rates, APMT more than makes up for it in the creation of jobs, revenue generation and financial impact on the local, regional and national economy. The Permit's future compensation rates, rental revenues and RORs will be based on the number of TEU cargo containers processed through the POLA. Although Port expects adjusted revenue to increase in the future, the Departments states that revenue could decrease if there is a decline in TEUs being processed through the POLA.

The City Attorney has approved the proposed Amendment as to form. In accordance with Charter Section 606, the Council must approve the proposed Amendment since the term of the Permit exceeds five years. The Port Director of Environmental Management has determined that the proposed action is an administrative activity and an Amendment to the existing Permit and is therefore exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under Article III, Class 1(14) of the Los Angeles City CEQA Guidelines.

### BACKGROUND

In 2002, the Board authorized approval to open APMT in the POLA. APMT provides cargo support and container services at the ports and inland areas, including container transportation, management, and maintenance. The property covered by the Permit consists of nearly 500 acres, which includes acreage for container terminal spaces, on-dock rail facilities, storage capacity, and trucking spaces. The Port states that the Permit was approved for a term of 25 years and three, five-year contract options. Services at the ports include the loading and unloading of merchandise from vessel carriers at the POLA and POLB terminals. The shipping vessels operators previously formed a partnership with each other to share vessel space, increase efficiency and reduce supply chain costs. The Port has worked with vessel operators by developing incentives for containerized cargo merchandise for participating tenants to maintain their intermodal (ship, rail and trucks) container business. APMT has the capacity to accommodate vessels of various sizes, including larger ships docking at the POLA. According to the Port, the movement of containerized cargo through the POLA supports thousands of direct and indirect jobs in Los Angeles and the five-county region.

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Approval of the Harbor Department (Port) request to amend Permit No. 827 with APM Terminals Pacific, Is anticipated to increase revenue from minimum annual rent by 17 percent from a current amount of approximately \$71.6 million to \$83.8 million, with a corresponding increase to the minimum ROR by 1.2 percent or from 4.93 percent to 5.58 percent by the end of the contract reset period. Instead of only a strict financial return on investment, the Port states that it measured the economic return for APMT and the San Pedro Bay ports by the projected number of jobs, taxes and economic impact on the region. Future rental revenues and rate of returns percentages will be based on the number of cargo containers processed through the POLA at the end of each five-year reset period for the Permit.

RHL:ABN:10180077

the end of the five-year contract period. corresponding increase to the minimum ROR by 1.2 percent, from 4.93 percent to 5.58 percent, by property. The Port determined that the return of the investment on the land is approximately six This proposed Amendment, as negotiated, represents the current market rent for this facility and 17 percent, from a current amount of approximately \$71.6 million to \$83.8 million. There will be a percent. Revenue for the proposed Amendment is expected to increase the minimum annual rent by

future, the Departments states that revenue could decrease if there is a decline in TEUs being containers processed through the POLA. Although Port expects adjusted revenue to increase in the generation and financial impact on the local, regional and national economy. The Permit's future and economic Impact on the region. According to the Port, although APMT may not meet the considering only a strict financial return on investment, the Port states that the POLA staff measured processed through the POLA. compensation rates, rental revenues and RORs will be based on the number of TEU cargo deployment schedules of major carriers in the transpacific trade markets to arrive at costs. Instead of compared to other facilities in the San Pedro Bay complex, TEU volume, and the most recent published ROR target rates, APMT more than makes up for it in the creation of jobs, revenue the economic return for APMT and the San Pedro Bay ports by the projected number of jobs, taxes The Port factored the current market conditions and size of the leased property and facility

exceeds five years. The Port Director of Environmental Management has determined that the Section 606, the Council must approve the proposed Amendment since the term of the Permit The City Attorney has approved the proposed Amendment as to form. In accordance with Charter Article III, Class 1(14) of the Los Angeles City CEQA Guidelines. proposed action is an administrative activity and an Amendment to the existing Permit and is therefore exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under

vessel carriers at the POLA and POLB terminals. The shipping vessels operators previously formed supports thousands of direct and indirect jobs in Los Angeles and the five-county region. docking at the POLA. According to the Port, the movement of containerized cargo through the POLA costs. The Port has worked with vessel operators by developing incentives for containerized cargo a partnership with each other to share vessel space, increase efficiency and reduce supply chain year contract options. Services at the ports include the loading and unloading of merchandise from business. APMT has the capacity to accommodate vessels of various sizes, including larger ships merchandise for participating tenants to maintain their intermodal (ship, rail and trucks) container

## FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Approval of the Harbor Department (Port) request to amend Permit No. 827 with APM Terminals economic impact on the region. Future rental revenues and rate of etums percentages will be based economic return for APMT and the San Pedro Bay ports by the projected number of jobs, taxes and amount of approximately \$71.6 million to \$83.8 million, with a corresponding increase to the on the number of cargo containers processed through the POLA at the end of each five-year reset minimum ROR by 1.2 percent or from 4.93 percent to 5.58 percent by the end of the contract reset Pacific, is anticipated to increase revenue from minimum annual rent by 17 percent from a current period for the Permit. period. Instead of only a strict financial return on investment, the Port states that it measured the

RHL:ABN:10180077

# OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

Date: March 15, 2018

The Mayor

Council File No. Council District: CAO File No.

15

0150-09263-0004

0

Richard H. Llewellyn, Jr., City Admirtstrative Officer

From:

Reference: Correspondence from the Harbor Department dated February 20, 2018; referred by the Mayor for report dated February 22, 2018

Subject: PACIFIC, LLC PROPOSED EIGHTH AMENDMENT TO PERMIT NO. 827 WITH APM TERMINALS

## RECOMMENDATIONS

### That the Mayor.

- Approve the Harbor Department (Port) Resolution No.18-8246 authorizing the Eighth Amendment to Permit No. 827 with APM Terminals Pacific, LLC; and,
- ٥ Determ the decrement to the Det for further reception believely Course consideration