

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
2	ES.1 Introduction.....	ES-1
3	ES.2 Purpose of This Draft SEIS/SEIR.....	ES-2
4	ES.2.1 NEPA (USACE) Introduction	ES-3
5	ES.2.2 CEQA (LAHD) Introduction	ES-3
6	ES.2.3 Project Purpose	ES-4
7	ES.2.3.1 CEQA Project Objectives	ES-5
8	ES.2.3.2 NEPA Purpose	ES-5
9	ES.2.4 Baselines	ES-6
10	ES.2.4.1 CEQA Baseline.....	ES-6
11	ES.2.4.2 NEPA Baseline	ES-6
12	ES.3 Proposed Project	ES-7
13	ES.3.1 Overview.....	ES-7
14	ES.3.2 Project Description	ES-10
15	ES.3.2.1 Marine Terminal	ES-10
16	ES.3.2.2 Tank Farms	ES-14
17	ES.3.2.3 Pipelines.....	ES-14
18	ES.3.2.4 Project Operations.....	ES-15
19	ES.4 Alternatives to the Project.....	ES-16
20	ES.4.1 Basis of the Alternatives	ES-16
21	ES.4.2 Alternatives Considered.....	ES-16
22	ES.4.3 Alternatives Analyzed in This Draft SEIS/SEIR	ES-16
23	ES.4.3.1 No Federal Action/No Project Alternative	ES-17
24	ES.4.3.2 Reduced Project Alternative	ES-19
25	ES.4.4 Alternatives Eliminated from Further Consideration	ES-19
26	ES.5 Environmental Impacts	ES-20
27	ES.5.1 Impacts Not Considered in This Draft SEIS/SEIR	ES-20
28	ES.5.2 Impacts of the Proposed Project	ES-21
29	ES.5.2.1 Unavoidable Significant Impacts.....	ES-53
30	ES.5.2.2 Summary of Significant Impacts That Can Be	
31	Mitigated, Avoided, or Substantially Lessened	ES-55
32	ES.5.2.3 Summary of Less Than Significant Impacts	ES-56
33	ES.5.2.4 Cumulative Impacts	ES-56
34	ES.5.2.5 Environmental Justice.....	ES-57

1		ES.5.2.6 Socioeconomic and Growth Inducing Impacts	ES-57
2		ES.5.2.7 Significant Irreversible Changes to the	
3		Environment	ES-58
4		ES.5.3 Environmentally Preferred and Environmentally Superior	
5		Alternative	ES-58
6	ES.6	Public Comment	ES-59
7		ES.6.1 Issues Raised.....	ES-59
8		ES.6.2 Issues to Be Resolved	ES-64
9		ES.6.3 Responses to NOI/NOP	ES-64
10		ES.6.4 PCAC Issues Raised/Resolution.....	ES-64
11		ES.6.5 Community Benefits Agreement	ES-64
12	1	INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
13	1.1	Background.....	1-2
14	1.1.1	Project History and Environmental Documentation	1-2
15	1.1.1.1	Deep Draft Navigational Improvements Project.....	1-2
16	1.1.1.2	Pacific L.A. Marine Terminal Project.....	1-2
17	1.1.2	Project Location and Brief Project Overview	1-4
18	1.1.3	Overview of Crude Oil Demand and Supply in Southern	
19		California	1-7
20	1.1.3.1	Oil Supply and Demand.....	1-14
21	1.1.3.2	Trend toward Larger Vessels	1-17
22	1.1.3.3	Inadequate Berthing Capacity.....	1-17
23	1.1.3.4	Need for Increased Crude Oil Tank Capacity	1-19
24	1.1.4	General Description of Crude Oil Vessel and Terminal	
25		Operations.....	1-20
26	1.2	Purposes of an EIS and EIR.....	1-21
27	1.2.1	NEPA and the Purpose of an EIS	1-21
28	1.2.2	CEQA and the Purpose of an EIR	1-22
29	1.3	Lead, Responsible, and Trustee Agencies	1-22
30	1.4	Scope and Content of the Draft SEIS/SEIR.....	1-25
31	1.4.1	Scope of Analysis	1-26
32	1.4.2	Intended Uses of This Draft SEIS/SEIR.....	1-29
33	1.4.2.1	USACE Use	1-29
34	1.4.2.2	LAHD Use	1-30
35	1.4.2.3	Other Uses	1-31
36	1.4.3	Draft SEIS/SEIR Organization	1-31
37	1.5	Key Principles Guiding Preparation of This Draft SEIS/SEIR.....	1-32
38	1.5.1	Emphasis on Significant Environmental Effects	1-32
39	1.5.2	Forecasting.....	1-33
40	1.5.3	Reliance on Environmental Thresholds and Substantial	
41		Evidence	1-33
42	1.5.4	Disagreement Among Experts	1-34
43	1.5.5	NEPA and CEQA Baselines	1-34
44	1.5.5.1	NEPA Baseline	1-34
45	1.5.5.2	CEQA Baseline.....	1-35

1	1.5.6	Duty to Mitigate.....	1-35
2	1.5.7	Requirements to Evaluate Alternatives.....	1-37
3	1.6	Port of Los Angeles Environmental Initiatives.....	1-38
4	1.6.1	Port Environmental Policy.....	1-38
5	1.6.2	Environmental Plans and Programs.....	1-39
6	1.6.2.1	Clean Air Action Plan.....	1-39
7	1.6.2.2	Environmental Management System.....	1-40
8	1.6.2.3	Other Environmental Programs.....	1-41
9	1.6.3	Port of Los Angeles Leasing Policy.....	1-45
10	1.6.4	Aesthetic Mitigation Projects.....	1-45
11	1.6.5	Port Community Advisory Committee.....	1-46
12	1.7	Availability of the Draft SEIS/SEIR.....	1-46
13	2	PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....	2-1
14	2.1	Introduction and Project Overview.....	2-1
15	2.1.1	Proposed Project Summary.....	2-1
16	2.1.2	Proposed Project Throughput Comparison.....	2-2
17	2.1.3	Need for Additional Capacity.....	2-5
18	2.2	Existing Conditions.....	2-6
19	2.2.1	Regional Context.....	2-6
20	2.2.2	Project Setting.....	2-6
21	2.2.3	Project Sites and Surrounding Uses.....	2-9
22	2.2.3.1	Marine Terminal Site.....	2-9
23	2.2.3.2	Tank Farm Sites.....	2-9
24	2.2.3.3	Pipeline Routes and Pigging Station Site.....	2-9
25	2.2.4	Historic Use of Project Sites.....	2-10
26	2.3	Project Purpose.....	2-11
27	2.3.1	CEQA Project Objectives.....	2-11
28	2.3.2	NEPA Purpose and Need.....	2-11
29	2.4	Proposed Project.....	2-12
30	2.4.1	Project Elements.....	2-12
31	2.4.2	Facility Design and Configuration.....	2-14
32	2.4.2.1	Marine Terminal.....	2-14
33	2.4.2.2	Tank Farms.....	2-20
34	2.4.2.3	Pipelines.....	2-24
35	2.4.3	Construction.....	2-37
36	2.4.3.1	Schedule and Labor Force.....	2-37
37	2.4.3.2	Marine Terminal Construction.....	2-42
38	2.4.3.3	Tank Farm Construction.....	2-42
39	2.4.3.4	Pipeline Construction.....	2-42
40	2.4.3.5	General Construction Practices.....	2-43
41	2.4.4	Operations.....	2-48
42	2.4.4.1	Tanker Operations.....	2-48
43	2.4.4.2	Marine Terminal Operations.....	2-50
44	2.4.4.3	Tank Farm Operations.....	2-51
45	2.4.4.4	Pipeline Operations.....	2-51

1		2.4.4.5	Operational Features Common to All Project	
2			Components	2-52
3		2.4.5	Project Agreement History	2-55
4	2.5		Alternatives.....	2-55
5		2.5.1	Background to the Alternatives Analysis	2-55
6		2.5.2	Alternatives Evaluated in This Draft SEIS/SEIR	2-61
7		2.5.2.1	No Federal Action/No Project Alternative	2-62
8		2.5.2.2	Reduced Project Alternative	2-68
9		2.5.3	Alternatives Considered and Withdrawn.....	2-72
10		2.5.3.1	Expansion of Other Crude Oil Terminals Within	
11			the Port of Los Angeles	2-73
12		2.5.3.2	Use of Existing/Planned Berth(s) Within the Port	
13			of Los Angeles.....	2-76
14		2.5.3.3	Development of a Terminal on a New Landfill	
15			Inside the Port.....	2-83
16		2.5.3.4	Use, Expansion, or Construction of a Crude Oil	
17			Marine Terminal in a Port Other Than the Port of	
18			Los Angeles	2-83
19		2.5.3.5	Offshore Mooring Site with Tank Farm Facilities	
20			on Terminal Island.....	2-85
21		2.5.3.6	Ship to Bay Area and Pipeline to Southern	
22			California.....	2-86
23		2.5.3.7	Constrain Vessel Sizes at Berth 408.....	2-88
24		2.5.3.8	Alternative Tank Farm Configurations.....	2-89
25		2.5.3.9	Non-shipping Use of Pier 400 Project Site.....	2-90
26		2.5.3.10	Relocation of Existing Liquid Bulk Terminals to	
27			Pier 400.....	2-91
28		2.5.3.11	New Container Terminal on Pier 400.....	2-95
29		2.5.3.12	Refined Product/Alternative Fuels Import	
30			Terminal on Pier 400	2-96
31		2.5.3.13	Renewable Energy Generation Facility	2-97
32	2.6		Project Baselines.....	2-98
33		2.6.1	NEPA Baseline	2-98
34		2.6.2	CEQA Baseline.....	2-99
35	2.7		Relationship to Existing Statutes, Plans, Policies, and Other	
36			Regulatory Requirements	2-99
37	3		AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS.....	3.0-1
38		3.0	Introduction.....	3.0-1
39		3.0.1	Terminology Used in This Environmental Analysis	3.0-2
40		3.0.2	Requirements to Evaluate Alternatives.....	3.0-3
41		3.0.3	Description of Environmental Setting	3.0-3
42	3.1		Aesthetics and Visual Resources	3.1-1
43		3.1.1	Introduction.....	3.1-1
44		3.1.1.1	Relationship to the 1992 Deep Draft Final	
45			EIS/EIR.....	3.1-2
46		3.1.2	Environmental Setting	3.1-4

1		3.1.2.1	Critical Public Views	3.1-7
2		3.1.2.2	Existing Visual Resource Condition	3.1-46
3		3.1.3	Applicable Regulations	3.1-59
4		3.1.3.1	Port Master Plan	3.1-59
5		3.1.3.2	City of Los Angeles General Plan	3.1-61
6		3.1.3.3	Planning and Zoning Code	3.1-64
7		3.1.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3.1-65
8		3.1.4.1	Methodology	3.1-65
9		3.1.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.1-69
10		3.1.4.3	Impacts and Mitigation	3.1-74
11		3.1.4.4	Mitigation Monitoring	3.1-128
12		3.1.5	Significant Unavoidable Impacts	3.1-129
13	3.2		Air Quality and Meteorology	3.2-1
14		3.2.1	Introduction	3.2-1
15		3.2.1.1	Relationship to 1992 Deep Draft Final EIS/EIR	3.2-1
16		3.2.2	Environmental Setting	3.2-3
17		3.2.2.1	Regional Climate and Meteorology	3.2-3
18		3.2.2.2	Air Pollutants and Air Monitoring	3.2-5
19		3.2.2.3	Greenhouse Gases	3.2-12
20		3.2.2.4	Sensitive Receptors	3.2-15
21		3.2.2.5	Existing Emissions at the Berth 408 Terminal and	
22			Associated Project Sites	3.2-16
23		3.2.2.6	Existing Emissions at Other Crude Oil Marine	
24			Terminals Within the San Pedro Bay Ports	3.2-16
25		3.2.3	Applicable Regulations	3.2-17
26		3.2.3.1	Federal Regulations	3.2-17
27		3.2.3.2	State Regulations and Agreements	3.2-20
28		3.2.3.3	Local Regulations and Agreements	3.2-23
29		3.2.3.4	Los Angeles Harbor Department Clean Air	
30			Policy	3.2-26
31		3.2.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3.2-26
32		3.2.4.1	Methodology	3.2-27
33		3.2.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.2-28
34		3.2.4.3	Emissions for the Proposed Project	3.2-32
35		3.2.4.4	Emissions for the No Federal Action/No Project	
36			Alternative	3.2-42
37		3.2.4.5	Emissions for the Reduced Project Alternative	3.2-43
38		3.2.4.6	Proposed Project and Alternatives: Impacts and	
39			Mitigation	3.2-43
40		3.2.4.7	Mitigation Monitoring	3.2-145
41		3.2.4.8	Summary of Impact Determinations	3.2-151
42		3.2.4.9	Significant Unavoidable Adverse Impacts	3.2-151
43		3.2.4.10	Health Risk Assessment	3.2-151
44	3.3		Biological Resources	3.3-1
45		3.3.1	Introduction	3.3-1
46		3.3.1.1	Relationship to 1992 Deep Draft Final EIS/EIR	3.3-1
47		3.3.2	Environmental Setting	3.3-4

1		3.3.2.1	Terrestrial Habitats	3.3-6
2		3.3.2.2	Benthic Environments.....	3.3-8
3		3.3.2.3	Water Column Habitats	3.3-10
4		3.3.2.4	Water Birds	3.3-12
5		3.3.2.5	Special Status Species.....	3.3-13
6		3.3.2.6	Wildlife Movement Corridors.....	3.3-20
7		3.3.2.7	Invasive Species.....	3.3-21
8		3.3.2.8	Significant Ecological Areas	3.3-22
9		3.3.2.9	Essential Fish Habitat	3.3-22
10		3.3.2.10	Wetlands and Other Special Habitats	3.3-22
11	3.3.3		Applicable Regulations.....	3.3-24
12	3.3.4		Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	3.3-27
13		3.3.4.1	Methodology.....	3.3-27
14		3.3.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.3-29
15		3.3.4.3	Project Impacts and Mitigation.....	3.3-30
16		3.3.4.4	Mitigation Monitoring	3.3-112
17	3.4		Cultural Resources.....	3.4-1
18		3.4.1	Introduction.....	3.4-1
19		3.4.1.1	Relationship to 1992 Deep Draft Final EIS/EIR.....	3.4-1
20		3.4.2	Environmental Setting	3.4-2
21		3.4.2.1	Prehistoric Setting.....	3.4-3
22		3.4.2.2	Ethnographic Setting.....	3.4-4
23		3.4.2.3	Historic Setting	3.4-5
24		3.4.2.4	Paleontological Setting	3.4-9
25		3.4.2.5	Proposed Project Site	3.4-9
26		3.4.3	Applicable Regulations.....	3.4-12
27		3.4.3.1	Federal Regulations	3.4-12
28		3.4.3.2	State Regulations	3.4-14
29		3.4.3.3	Local Regulations	3.4-16
30		3.4.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	3.4-19
31		3.4.4.1	Methodology.....	3.4-19
32		3.4.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.4-20
33		3.4.4.3	Project Impacts and Mitigation.....	3.4-21
34		3.4.4.4	Mitigation Monitoring	3.4-32
35	3.5		Geology.....	3.5-1
36		3.5.1	Introduction.....	3.5-1
37		3.5.1.1	Relationship to 1992 Deep Draft Final EIS/EIR.....	3.5-1
38		3.5.2	Environmental Setting	3.5-3
39		3.5.2.1	Regional Setting.....	3.5-3
40		3.5.3	Applicable Regulations.....	3.5-13
41		3.5.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	3.5-15
42		3.5.4.1	Methodology.....	3.5-15
43		3.5.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.5-16
44		3.5.4.3	Project Impacts and Mitigation.....	3.5-17
45		3.5.4.4	Mitigation Monitoring	3.5-61
46	3.6		Ground Transportation and Circulation	3.6-1

1	3.6.1	Introduction.....	3.6-1
2	3.6.1.1	Relationship to 1992 Deep Draft Final EIS/EIR.....	3.6-1
3	3.6.2	Environmental Setting	3.6-4
4	3.6.2.1	Regional and Local Access	3.6-4
5	3.6.2.2	Existing Area Traffic Conditions.....	3.6-7
6	3.6.2.3	Existing Transit Service.....	3.6-10
7	3.6.3	Applicable Regulations.....	3.6-10
8	3.6.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	3.6-11
9	3.6.4.1	Methodology.....	3.6-11
10	3.6.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.6-13
11	3.6.4.3	Project Impacts and Mitigation.....	3.6-15
12	3.6.4.4	Mitigation Monitoring	3.6-45
13	3.7	Groundwater and Soils.....	3.7-1
14	3.7.1	Introduction.....	3.7-1
15	3.7.1.1	Relationship to 1992 Deep Draft Final EIS/EIR.....	3.7-1
16	3.7.2	Environmental Setting	3.7-1
17	3.7.2.1	Groundwater	3.7-2
18	3.7.2.2	Soil Conditions	3.7-5
19	3.7.2.3	Soil and Groundwater Investigations.....	3.7-5
20	3.7.3	Applicable Regulations.....	3.7-12
21	3.7.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	3.7-13
22	3.7.4.1	Methodology.....	3.7-13
23	3.7.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.7-15
24	3.7.4.3	Project Impacts and Mitigation.....	3.7-16
25	3.7.4.4	Mitigation Monitoring	3.7-62
26	3.8	Land Use.....	3.8-1
27	3.8.1	Introduction.....	3.8-1
28	3.8.1.1	Relationship to the 1992 Deep Draft Final	
29		EIS/EIR.....	3.8-1
30	3.8.2	Environmental Setting	3.8-2
31	3.8.2.1	Onsite Land Uses	3.8-2
32	3.8.2.2	Surrounding Land Uses	3.8-5
33	3.8.3	Applicable Regulations.....	3.8-6
34	3.8.3.1	State Lands Commission	3.8-7
35	3.8.3.2	California Coastal Commission	3.8-7
36	3.8.3.3	The Port of Los Angeles Master Plan (PMP)	3.8-8
37	3.8.3.4	City of Los Angeles General Plan	3.8-9
38	3.8.3.5	Zoning Designations	3.8-12
39	3.8.3.6	Port and City of Long Beach	3.8-13
40	3.8.3.7	Southern California Association of Governments	
41		Regional Comprehensive Plan.....	3.8-14
42	3.8.3.8	San Pedro Bay Ports Clean Air Action Plan.....	3.8-14
43	3.8.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	3.8-15
44	3.8.4.1	Methodology.....	3.8-15
45	3.8.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.8-17
46	3.8.4.3	Project Impacts and Mitigation.....	3.8-18
47	3.8.4.4	Mitigation Monitoring	3.8-35

1	3.9	Marine Transportation	3.9-1
2	3.9.1	Introduction.....	3.9-1
3	3.9.1.1	Relationship to the 1992 Deep Draft Final	
4		EIS/EIR.....	3.9-1
5	3.9.2	Environmental Setting	3.9-3
6	3.9.2.1	Regional Overview	3.9-3
7	3.9.2.2	Navigational Hazards.....	3.9-4
8	3.9.2.3	Vessel Navigation at the Ports of Los Angeles	
9		and Long Beach	3.9-7
10	3.9.2.4	Factors Affecting Vessel Traffic Safety	3.9-9
11	3.9.3	Applicable Regulations.....	3.9-12
12	3.9.3.1	International Maritime Organization (IMO).....	3.9-12
13	3.9.3.2	Federal Agencies.....	3.9-12
14	3.9.3.3	State Agencies.....	3.9-14
15	3.9.3.4	Other Organizations and Regional Programs	3.9-14
16	3.9.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	3.9-16
17	3.9.4.1	Methodology.....	3.9-16
18	3.9.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.9-17
19	3.9.4.3	Project Impacts and Mitigation.....	3.9-18
20	3.9.4.4	Mitigation Monitoring	3.9-29
21	3.10	Noise.....	3.10-1
22	3.10.1	Introduction.....	3.10-1
23	3.10.1.1	Relationship to the 1992 Deep Draft Final	
24		EIS/EIR.....	3.10-1
25	3.10.2	Environmental Setting	3.10-3
26	3.10.2.1	Regional Setting.....	3.10-3
27	3.10.2.2	Noise Fundamentals.....	3.10-4
28	3.10.2.3	Decibels and Frequency.....	3.10-5
29	3.10.2.4	Human Response to Noise.....	3.10-6
30	3.10.2.5	Noise and Health.....	3.10-6
31	3.10.2.6	Sound Propagation.....	3.10-7
32	3.10.2.7	Existing Noise Environment.....	3.10-8
33	3.10.2.8	Proposed Project Sites Setting	3.10-9
34	3.10.2.9	Noise-Sensitive Land Uses	3.10-11
35	3.10.3	Applicable Regulations.....	3.10-12
36	3.10.4	Impacts and Mitigations.....	3.10-14
37	3.10.4.1	Methodology.....	3.10-14
38	3.10.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.10-16
39	3.10.4.3	Project Impacts and Mitigation.....	3.10-18
40	3.10.4.4	Mitigation Monitoring	3.10-41
41	3.11	Recreation.....	3.11-1
42	3.11.1	Introduction.....	3.11-1
43	3.11.1.1	Relationship to the 1992 Deep Draft Final	
44		EIS/EIR.....	3.11-1
45	3.11.2	Environmental Setting	3.11-3
46	3.11.2.1	Regional Recreational Setting.....	3.11-3
47	3.11.2.2	Local Recreational Setting.....	3.11-4

1		3.11.2.3 Project Vicinity	3.11-5
2	3.11.3	Applicable Regulations.....	3.11-10
3	3.11.4	Impacts and Mitigations.....	3.11-12
4		3.11.4.1 Methodology	3.11-12
5		3.11.4.2 Thresholds of Significance	3.11-13
6		3.11.4.3 Project Impacts and Mitigation.....	3.11-13
7		3.11.4.4 Mitigation Monitoring	3.11-37
8	3.12	Risk of Upset & Hazardous Materials	3.12-1
9		3.12.1 Introduction.....	3.12-1
10		3.12.1.1 Relationship to the 1992 Deep Draft Final	
11		EIS/EIR.....	3.12-1
12	3.12.2	Environmental Setting	3.12-3
13		3.12.2.1 Regional Overview	3.12-3
14		3.12.2.2 Hazardous Materials	3.12-12
15		3.12.2.3 Public Emergency Services	3.12-15
16		3.12.2.4 Port of Los Angeles Risk Management Plan	3.12-15
17		3.12.2.5 Homeland Security	3.12-16
18		3.12.2.6 Security Measures at the Port of Los Angeles	3.12-16
19	3.12.3	Applicable Regulations.....	3.12-20
20		3.12.3.1 Agencies	3.12-20
21		3.12.3.2 Regulations and Policies.....	3.12-26
22		3.12.3.3 Other Requirements	3.12-29
23	3.12.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	3.12-30
24		3.12.4.1 Methodology	3.12-30
25		3.12.4.2 Thresholds of Significance	3.12-42
26		3.12.4.3 Impacts and Mitigation	3.12-43
27		3.12.4.4 Mitigation Monitoring	3.12-109
28	3.13	Utilities and Public Services	3.13-1
29		3.13.1 Introduction.....	3.13-1
30		3.13.1.1 Relationship to 1992 Deep Draft EIS/EIR.....	3.13-1
31	3.13.2	Environmental Setting	3.13-3
32		3.13.2.1 Public Services.....	3.13-3
33		3.13.2.2 Utilities	3.13-5
34	3.13.3	Applicable Regulations.....	3.13-10
35		3.13.3.1 The Maritime Transportation Security Act.....	3.13-10
36		3.13.3.2 California Urban Water Management Act.....	3.13-10
37		3.13.3.3 California Solid Waste Reuse and Recycling	
38		Access Act	3.13-11
39		3.13.3.4 AB939: California Integrated Waste Management	
40		Act	3.13-11
41		3.13.3.5 California's Building Code CCR, Title 24, Part 6.....	3.13-11
42		3.13.3.6 City of Los Angeles Plans and Directives	3.13-11
43	3.13.4	Impacts and Mitigations.....	3.13-13
44		3.13.4.1 Methodology	3.13-13
45		3.13.4.2 Thresholds of Significance	3.13-20
46		3.13.4.3 Project Impacts and Mitigation.....	3.13-21
47		3.13.4.4 Mitigation Monitoring	3.13-58

1		3.13.5 Significant Unavoidable Impacts.....	3.13-59
2	3.14	Water Quality, Sediments, & Oceanography.....	3.14-1
3		3.14.1 Introduction.....	3.14-1
4		3.14.1.1 Relationship to 1992 Deep Draft Final EIS/EIR.....	3.14-1
5		3.14.2 Environmental Setting.....	3.14-3
6		3.14.2.1 Regional Setting.....	3.14-4
7		3.14.2.2 Water Quality.....	3.14-5
8		3.14.2.3 Marine Sediments.....	3.14-12
9		3.14.2.4 Oceanography.....	3.14-13
10		3.14.3 Applicable Regulations.....	3.14-15
11		3.14.3.1 Clean Water Act (CWA).....	3.14-15
12		3.14.3.2 Porter-Cologne Act of 1972.....	3.14-16
13		3.14.3.3 Water Quality Control Plan, Los Angeles Region	
14		(Basin Plan, Adopted 1994).....	3.14-16
15		3.14.3.4 State Water Resources Control Board,	
16		Stormwater Permits.....	3.14-17
17		3.14.3.5 State Water Resources Control Board, Standard	
18		Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plans.....	3.14-18
19		3.14.3.6 California Toxics Rule (CTR) of 2000 (40 CFR	
20		Part 131).....	3.14-18
21		3.14.3.7 Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure.....	3.14-18
22		3.14.4 Impacts and Mitigations.....	3.14-18
23		3.14.4.1 Methodology.....	3.14-18
24		3.14.4.2 Thresholds of Significance.....	3.14-20
25		3.14.4.3 Project Impacts and Mitigation.....	3.14-21
26		3.14.4.4 Mitigation Monitoring.....	3.14-77
27		3.14.5 Significant Unavoidable Impacts.....	3.14-78
28	3.15	Population and Housing.....	3.15-1
29		3.15.1 Introduction.....	3.15-1
30		3.15.1.1 Relationship to the 1992 Deep Draft Final	
31		EIS/EIR.....	3.15-1
32		3.15.2 Environmental Setting.....	3.15-1
33		3.15.2.1 Population.....	3.15-2
34		3.15.2.2 Housing.....	3.15-3
35		3.15.3 Applicable Regulations.....	3.15-3
36		3.15.4 Impacts and Mitigations.....	3.15-3
37		3.15.4.1 Methodology.....	3.15-3
38		3.15.4.2 Thresholds of Significance.....	3.15-4
39		3.15.4.3 Project Impacts and Mitigation.....	3.15-5
40		3.15.4.4 Mitigation Monitoring.....	3.15-19
41	4	CUMULATIVE ANALYSIS.....	4-1
42		4.1 Introduction.....	4-1
43		4.1.1 Requirements for Cumulative Impact Analysis.....	4-1
44		4.1.2 Projects Considered in the Cumulative Analysis.....	4-3
45		4.1.2.1 Past Projects.....	4-3
46		4.1.2.2 Current and Future Projects.....	4-5

1	4.2	Cumulative Impact Analysis for the Proposed Project	4-5
2	4.2.1	Aesthetics and Visual Resources	4-5
3	4.2.1.1	Scope of Analysis	4-5
4	4.2.1.2	Cumulative Impact AES-1: Adverse Impacts on a Scenic Vista – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable	4-21
5			
6	4.2.1.3	Cumulative Impact AES-2: Damage to Scenic Resources within View from a State Scenic Highway – No Impact.....	4-27
7			
8	4.2.1.4	Cumulative Impact AES-3: Degradation of Existing Visual Character or Quality of a Site and its Surroundings – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable	4-28
9			
10	4.2.1.5	Cumulative Impact AES-4: Light and Glare – No Impact	4-36
11			
12	4.2.1.6	Cumulative Impact AES-5: Negative Shadow Effects – No Impact	4-37
13			
14	4.2.1.7	Cumulative Impact AES-6: Inconsistency with Applicable Rules and Regulations – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-37
15			
16	4.2.2	Air Quality and Meteorology.....	4-39
17	4.2.2.1	Scope of Analysis	4-39
18	4.2.2.2	Cumulative Impact AQ-1: Potential for Construction to Produce a Cumulatively Considerable Increase of a Criteria Pollutant for which the Project Region is in Nonattainment Under a National or State Ambient Air Quality Standard – Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable.....	4-39
19			
20	4.2.2.3	Cumulative Impact AQ-2: Potential for Construction to Produce Emissions That Exceed an Ambient Air Quality Standard or Substantially Contribute to an Existing or Projected Air Quality Standard Violation – Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable	4-41
21			
22	4.2.2.4	Cumulative Impact AQ-3: Potential for Operation to Produce a Cumulatively Considerable Increase of a Criteria Pollutant for which the Project Region is in Nonattainment Under a National or State Ambient Air Quality Standard – Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable	4-42
23			
24	4.2.2.5	Cumulative Impact AQ-4: Potential for Operation to Produce Emissions that Exceed an Ambient Air Quality Standard or Substantially Contribute to an Existing or Projected Air Quality Standard Violation – Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable	4-43
25			
26			
27			
28			
29			
30			
31			
32			
33			
34			
35			
36			
37			
38			
39			
40			
41			
42			
43			
44			
45			
46			
47			
48			

1		4.2.2.6	Cumulative Impact AQ-5: Potential for	
2			Operation to Create Objectionable Odors at the	
3			Nearest Sensitive Receptor – Cumulatively	
4			Considerable and Unavoidable	4-44
5		4.2.2.7	Cumulative Impact AQ-6: Exposure of receptors	
6			to significant levels of toxic air contaminants	
7			(TACs) – Cumulatively Considerable and	
8			Unavoidable	4-45
9		4.2.2.8	Cumulative Impact AQ-7: Potential conflict with	
10			or obstruction of implementation of an applicable	
11			AQMP – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-47
12		4.2.2.9	Cumulative Impact AQ-8: Potential Contribution	
13			to Global Climate Change – Cumulatively	
14			Considerable and Unavoidable	4-48
15	4.2.3		Biological Resources	4-49
16		4.2.3.1	Scope of Analysis	4-49
17		4.2.3.2	Cumulative Impact BIO-1: Cumulative Impacts	
18			to Special Status Species – Cumulatively	
19			Considerable and Unavoidable	4-50
20		4.2.3.3	Cumulative Impact BIO-2: Cumulative	
21			Alteration or Reduction of Natural Habitats,	
22			Special Aquatic Sites, or Plant Communities –	
23			Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable	4-54
24		4.2.3.4	Cumulative Impact BIO-3: Cumulative	
25			Interference with Migration or Movement	
26			Corridors – No Impact	4-56
27		4.2.3.5	Cumulative Impact BIO-4: Cumulative	
28			Disruption of Local Biological Communities –	
29			Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable	4-56
30	4.2.4		Cultural, Archaeological, and Paleontological Resources	4-62
31		4.2.4.1	Scope of Analysis	4-62
32		4.2.4.2	Cumulative Impact CR-1a: Cumulative Impacts	
33			on Archaeological or Ethnographic Resources –	
34			Less Than Cumulatively Considerable with	
35			Mitigation	4-62
36		4.2.4.3	Cumulative Impact CR-1b: Cumulative Impacts	
37			on Historic Architectural Resources – No Impact	4-64
38		4.2.4.4	Cumulative Impact CR-2: Cumulative Impacts	
39			on Paleontological Resources – No Impact	4-65
40	4.2.5		Geology.....	4-65
41		4.2.5.1	Scope of Analysis	4-65
42		4.2.5.2	Cumulative Impact GEO-1: Fault rupture,	
43			seismic ground shaking, liquefaction, or other	
44			seismically induced ground failure –	
45			Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable	4-66
46		4.2.5.3	Cumulative Impact GEO-2: Tsunamis or Seiches	
47			– Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable	4-68

1	4.2.5.4	Cumulative Impact GEO-3: Land	
2		Subsidence/Settlement – Less Than Cumulatively	
3		Considerable	4-70
4	4.2.5.5	Cumulative Impact GEO-4: Expansive Soils –	
5		Less Than Cumulatively Considerable	4-71
6	4.2.5.6	Cumulative Impact GEO-5: Landslides or	
7		Mudflows – No Impact.....	4-72
8	4.2.5.7	Cumulative Impact GEO-6: Unstable Soil	
9		Conditions from Excavation, Grading or Fill –	
10		Less Than Cumulatively Considerable	4-72
11	4.2.5.8	Cumulative Impact GEO-7: Destruction or	
12		Modification of One or More Prominent	
13		Geologic or Topographic Features – No Impact.....	4-73
14	4.2.5.9	Cumulative Impact GEO-8: Permanent Loss of	
15		Availability of Known Significant Mineral	
16		Resource – Less Than Cumulatively	
17		Considerable	4-73
18	4.2.6	Ground Transportation and Circulation	4-74
19	4.2.6.1	Scope of Analysis	4-74
20	4.2.6.2	Cumulative Impact TRANS-1: Construction	
21		Traffic – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable	
22		with Mitigation	4-75
23	4.2.6.3	Cumulative Impact TRANS-2: Intersection	
24		Volume/ Capacity Ratio Effects – Less Than	
25		Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-80
26	4.2.6.4	Cumulative Impact TRANS-3: Public Transit	
27		Use – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable	4-82
28	4.2.6.5	Cumulative Impact TRANS-4: Freeway	
29		Congestion – Less Than Cumulatively	
30		Considerable	4-83
31	4.2.6.6	Cumulative Impact TRANS-5: Traffic Delay	
32		Due to Increase in Rail Activity – No Impact.....	4-84
33	4.2.7	Groundwater and Soils.....	4-85
34	4.2.7.1	Scope of Analysis	4-85
35	4.2.7.2	Cumulative Impact GW-1: Exposure of soils	
36		containing toxic substances and petroleum	
37		hydrocarbons – Less Than Cumulatively	
38		Considerable With Mitigation	4-85
39	4.2.7.3	Cumulative Impact GW-2: Release of	
40		contaminants to soils and groundwater in such	
41		concentrations that existing local, state, or federal	
42		statutes would be violated – Less Than	
43		Cumulatively Considerable with Mitigation.....	4-87
44	4.2.7.4	Cumulative Impact GW-3: Movement of,	
45		expansion of, or increase in existing contaminants	
46		– Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable	4-89
47	4.2.7.5	Cumulative Impact GW-4: Change in potable	
48		water levels – No Impact	4-91

1		4.2.7.6	Cumulative Impact GW-5: Reduction in potable groundwater recharge capacity – No Impact4-91
2			
3		4.2.7.7	Cumulative Impact GW-6: Violation of regulatory water quality standards at an existing production well – No Impact4-91
4			
5			
6	4.2.8	Land Use.....4-92	
7		4.2.8.1	Scope of Analysis4-92
8		4.2.8.2	Cumulative Impact LU-1: Cumulative impacts on existing and future land use/density designations in Community Plans, redevelopment plans, or specific plans – No Impact.....4-92
9			
10			
11			
12		4.2.8.3	Cumulative Impact LU-2: Cumulative impacts on land use consistency with the General Plan or adopted environmental goals and policies contained in other applicable plans – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable.....4-92
13			
14			
15			
16			
17		4.2.8.4	Cumulative Impact LU-3: Cumulative impacts on the types and/or extent of existing land uses in the Project area – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable4-94
18			
19			
20			
21		4.2.8.5	Cumulative Impact LU-4: Cumulative impacts on dividing or isolating existing neighborhoods, communities, or land uses – No Impact.....4-95
22			
23			
24		4.2.8.6	Cumulative Impact LU-5: Cumulative impacts on secondary impacts to surrounding land uses – No Impact4-96
25			
26			
27	4.2.9	Marine Transportation4-97	
28		4.2.9.1	Scope of Analysis4-97
29		4.2.9.2	Cumulative Impact VT-1: Creation of Navigation Hazards – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable4-97
30			
31			
32	4.2.10	Noise.....4-100	
33		4.2.10.1	Scope of Analysis4-101
34		4.2.10.2	Cumulative Impact NOI-1: Construction Noise – Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable4-102
35			
36		4.2.10.3	Cumulative Impact NOI-2: Nighttime Construction – No Impact.....4-103
37			
38		4.2.10.4	Cumulative Impact NOI-3: Operational Noise Would Not Exceed Existing Ambient Noise Levels at Sensitive Receivers – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable.....4-103
39			
40			
41			
42	4.2.11	Recreation.....4-105	
43		4.2.11.1	Scope of Analysis4-105
44		4.2.11.2	Cumulative Impact REC-1: Cumulative substantial loss or diminished quality of recreational, educational, or visitor-oriented opportunities, facilities, or resources – Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable4-105
45			
46			
47			
48			

1	4.2.11.3	Cumulative Impact REC-2: Cumulative demand	
2		for recreation and park services that exceeds the	
3		available resources – Less Than Cumulatively	
4		Considerable	4-107
5	4.2.12	Risk of Upset/Hazardous Materials	4-107
6	4.2.12.1	Scope of Analysis	4-107
7	4.2.12.2	Cumulative Impact RISK-1: Potential for	
8		accidental releases of hazardous materials during	
9		construction – Less Than Cumulatively	
10		Considerable	4-108
11	4.2.12.3	Cumulative Impact RISK-2: Potential for	
12		accidental crude oil spill with frequency and	
13		severity of consequence considered significant	
14		using the frequency/consequences matrix –	
15		Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable	4-109
16	4.2.12.4	Cumulative Impact RISK-3: Potential for	
17		accidental release and subsequent fire or	
18		explosion that would adversely affect residents or	
19		businesses using the frequency/consequences	
20		matrix – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable.....	4-114
21	4.2.12.5	Cumulative Impact RISK-4: Interference with an	
22		existing emergency response or evacuation plan –	
23		No Impact	4-116
24	4.2.12.6	Cumulative Impact RISK-5: Terrorist Attack –	
25		Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable	4-117
26	4.2.13	Utilities and Public Services	4-118
27	4.2.13.1	Scope of Analysis	4-118
28	4.2.13.2	Cumulative Impact PS-1: Cumulative Impacts on	
29		Police Protection Services and Infrastructure –	
30		Less Than Cumulatively Considerable	4-119
31	4.2.13.3	Cumulative Impact PS-2: Cumulative Impacts on	
32		Fire Protection Services and Infrastructure – Less	
33		Than Cumulatively Considerable	4-120
34	4.2.13.4	Cumulative Impact PS-3: Cumulative Impacts on	
35		Water, Wastewater, or Storm Drain Utility Lines	
36		– Less Than Cumulatively Considerable	4-122
37	4.2.13.5	Cumulative Impact PS-4: Cumulative Impacts on	
38		Water, Wastewater, and Solid Waste Facility	
39		Capacities – Less Than Cumulatively	
40		Considerable with Mitigation	4-124
41	4.2.14	Water Quality, Sediments, and Oceanography	4-129
42	4.2.14.1	Scope of Analysis	4-129
43	4.2.14.2	Cumulative Impact WQ-1: Cumulative	
44		Discharge Effects to Water and Sediment Quality	
45		– Cumulatively Considerable and Unavoidable	4-129
46	4.2.14.3	Cumulative Impact WQ-2: Cumulative Flooding	
47		Impacts – Less Than Cumulatively Considerable	4-133
48	4.2.14.4	Cumulative Impact WQ-3: Cumulative Loss of	
49		Surface Water or Adverse Changes in Surface	

1		Water Movement – Less Than Cumulatively	
2		Considerable	4-134
3	4.2.14.5	Cumulative Impact WQ-4: Cumulative	
4		Acceleration of Rates of Erosion and	
5		Sedimentation – Less Than Cumulatively	
6		Considerable	4-135
7	4.2.15	Population and Housing	4-136
8	4.2.15.1	Scope of Analysis	4-136
9	4.2.15.2	Cumulative Impact POP-1: Causes growth or	
10		accelerates development in an undeveloped area	
11		that exceeds projected/planned levels and that	
12		would result in an adverse physical change to the	
13		environment – Less Than Cumulatively	
14		Considerable	4-137
15	4.2.15.3	Cumulative Impact POP-2: Introduces unplanned	
16		infrastructure that was not previously evaluated	
17		in the adopted plans – Less Than Cumulatively	
18		Considerable	4-138
19	4.3	Cumulative Impact Analysis for Alternatives.....	4-140
20	4.3.1	No Federal Action/No Project Alternative	4-140
21	4.3.2	Reduced Project Alternative	4-140
22	5	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE.....	5-1
23	5.1	Introduction.....	5-1
24	5.1.1	Background.....	5-1
25	5.1.2	Relationship to 1992 Deep Draft FEIS/FEIR	5-2
26	5.2	Environmental Setting	5-2
27	5.3	Applicable Regulations.....	5-6
28	5.3.1	Executive Order 12898: Federal Actions to Address	
29		Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-	
30		Income Populations	5-6
31	5.3.2	Council on Environmental Quality: Environmental Justice -	
32		Guidance under the National Environmental Policy Act.....	5-12
33	5.3.3	California Government Code Sections 65041-65049; Public	
34		Resources Code Sections 71110-71116.....	5-14
35	5.3.4	California State Lands Commission Environmental Justice	
36		Policy	5-15
37	5.3.4	City of Los Angeles General Plan	5-16
38	5.3.6	South Coast Air Quality Management District:	
39		Environmental Justice Program	5-16
40	5.4	Assessment	5-17
41	5.4.1	Methodology.....	5-17
42	5.4.2	Proposed Project and Cumulative Effects.....	5-19
43	5.4.2.1	Evaluation of Disproportionately High and	
44		Adverse Effects on Minority and Low-Income	
45		Populations	5-21

1	5.4.2.2	Summary of Impacts that Would Not Cause	
2		Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects on	
3		Minority and Low-Income Populations	5-26
4	5.4.2.3	Beneficial Impacts	5-32
5	5.4.3	No Federal Action/No Project Alternative	5-32
6	5.4.4	Reduced Project Alternative	5-38
7	5.4.5	Summary of Disproportionate Effects on Minority and Low-	
8		Income Populations	5-42
9	5.5	Public Outreach.....	5-46
10	5.5.1	Alternative Forms of Distribution.....	5-46
11	5.5.2	Spanish Translation	5-47
12	6	SUMMARY OF ALTERNATIVES.....	6-1
13	6.1	Introduction.....	6-1
14	6.2	NEPA Evaluation of Alternatives.....	6-2
15	6.2.1	NEPA Requirements.....	6-2
16	6.2.2	NEPA Alternatives Comparison.....	6-3
17	6.3	CEQA Requirements to Evaluate Alternatives.....	6-5
18	6.3.1	CEQA Requirements	6-5
19	6.3.2	CEQA Alternatives Comparison	6-6
20	6.4	Analysis of Impacts of Alternatives.....	6-7
21	6.4.1	Resources with Unavoidable Significant Impacts	6-7
22	6.4.1.1	Air Quality	6-8
23	6.4.1.2	Biological Resources	6-9
24	6.4.1.3	Geology.....	6-10
25	6.4.1.4	Noise	6-11
26	6.4.1.5	Recreation	6-11
27	6.4.1.6	Risk of Upset/Hazardous Materials	6-12
28	6.4.1.7	Water Quality, Sediments, and Oceanography	6-13
29	6.4.2	Resources with Significant Impacts That Can Be Mitigated	
30		to Less Than Significant	6-13
31	6.4.2.1	Ground Transportation and Circulation.....	6-13
32	6.4.2.2	Groundwater and Soils.....	6-14
33	6.4.2.3	Utilities and Public Services	6-14
34	6.5	Environmentally Preferred and Superior Alternative	6-14
35	7	SOCIOECONOMIC ANALYSIS	7-1
36	7.1	Introduction.....	7-1
37	7.2	Environmental Setting	7-1
38	7.2.1	Socioeconomic Topical Areas	7-1
39	7.2.1.1	Employment and Income	7-1
40	7.2.1.2	Population	7-9
41	7.2.1.3	Housing.....	7-9
42	7.2.2	Socioeconomic Effects	7-12
43	7.2.2.1	Proposed Project Effects.....	7-14
44	7.2.2.2	No Federal Action/No Project Alternative Effects	7-17

1	7.2.2.3	Reduced Project Alternative Effects	7-19
2	8	GROWTH-INDUCING IMPACTS.....	8-1
3	8.1	Introduction.....	8-1
4	8.2	Summary of Growth-Inducing Impacts	8-1
5	8.2.1	Direct Growth-Inducing Impacts	8-2
6	8.2.2	Indirect Growth-Inducing Impacts.....	8-2
7	9	SIGNIFICANT IRREVERSIBLE CHANGES	9-1
8	9.1	Introduction.....	9-1
9	9.2	Analysis of Irreversible Changes	9-1
10	10	REFERENCES	10-1
11	11	LIST OF PREPARERS	11-1
12	11.1	Los Angeles Harbor Department	11-1
13	11.2	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	11-1
14	11.3	Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC)	11-1
15	11.3.1	Project Management Team	11-1
16	11.3.2	SAIC Technical Team	11-2
17	11.3.3	SAIC Production Team.....	11-2
18	11.4	Sub Consultant Firms.....	11-3
19	12	ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, & GLOSSARY.....	12-1

20

21 LIST OF FIGURES

22	ES-1	Proposed Project Site Locations	ES-8
23	ES-2	Proposed Project Site Locations (Aerial View).....	ES-11
24	1-1	Regional Vicinity of the Proposed Project Site	1-5
25	1-2	Proposed Project Site Locations	1-6
26	1-3	Crude Oil Supply Sources to California Refineries.....	1-9
27	1-4	Projected Future Crude Oil Supply Sources for Southern California.....	1-10
28	1-5	Petroleum Infrastructure in the Los Angeles Basin	1-12
29	1-6	Active Crude Oil Offloading Berths, Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach	1-13
30	1-7	Projected Demand for Crude Oil Marine Imports to Southern California (Incremental over 2004)	1-16
31	2-1	Proposed Project Site Locations (Aerial View).....	2-3
32	2-2	Existing Conditions at the Proposed Project Site	2-7
33	2-3	Face C of the Proposed Crude Oil Marine Terminal on Pier 400.....	2-15
34	2-4	Proposed Tank Farm Site 1 (Pier 400 Tank Farm).....	2-21
35	2-5	Proposed Tank Farm Site 2 (Terminal Island Tank Farm).....	2-22
36	2-6	Proposed Pipeline Segments 1, 2a, 2b, and 2c.....	2-27
37	2-7	Proposed Pipeline Segment 3	2-29
38	2-8	Proposed Pipeline Segments 4 and 5	2-31
39	2-9	Proposed Pipeline Segment 4 – East End	2-33
40	2-10	Proposed Site B Pigging Station and Pipeline Segments 3, 4, and 5 – East End	2-39
41	2-11	Proposed Project Construction Schedule.....	2-41
42	2-12	Proposed Project Temporary Construction Yards	2-45

1	2-13	Proposed Project (Alternative B) for the 2020 Plan EIS/EIR.....	2-57
2	2-14	Approved Pier 400 Project as Part of Deep Draft Navigational Improvements, Los	
3		Angeles and Long Beach Harbors, San Pedro Bay, California	2-59
4	2-15	Temporary Container Terminal Storage at Pier 400 Under No Federal Action/No	
5		Project Alternative.....	2-64
6	2-16	Existing Berths at the San Pedro Bay Ports with Capacity to Receive Increased	
7		Crude Oil Deliveries.....	2-67
8	2-17	Alternative Berth Locations Considered and Eliminated	2-74
9	3.1-1	Aerial Photograph of Pier 400, taken in February 2004	3.1-5
10	3.1-2	Map Showing the Viewing Positions Used in the Aesthetics/Visual Resources	
11		Assessment	3.1-9
12	3.1-3	The Panorama Seen from West End of Cabrillo Beach Fishing Pier (VP 1), Looking	
13		West to Northwest toward the San Pedro Bluffs, Rancho Palos Verdes, Cabrillo	
14		Beach, Fort MacArthur and Cabrillo Marina.....	3.1-13
15	3.1-4	The Panorama Seen from West End of Cabrillo Beach Fishing Pier (VP 1), Looking	
16		North to Northeast toward the Cabrillo Marina, West Channel, Watchorn Basin,	
17		Port of Los Angeles Liquid Bulk Terminal	3.1-15
18	3.1-5	The Panorama Seen from West End of Cabrillo Beach Fishing Pier (VP 1), Looking	
19		Northeast toward the APL and APM Terminals on Piers 300 and 400, and the Site	
20		for the Proposed Marine Terminal, and Tank Farm Site 1	3.1-17
21	3.1-6	The Night Lighting at Dusk Seen in a Panoramic View from the West End of	
22		Cabrillo Beach Fishing Pier (VP 1), Looking Northeast toward the Site for the	
23		Proposed Marine Terminal.....	3.1-19
24	3.1-7	The Panorama Seen from Cabrillo Beach (VP 2), Looking Northeast to Southeast	
25		over Los Angeles Liquid Bulk Terminal and Reservation Point toward APM	
26		Terminal Cranes, Pier 400 Face D, and Tank Farm Site 1	3.1-21
27	3.1-8	Panoramas Showing Pier 400, Seen from the Southeast Corner of the Intersection of	
28		Carolina Street and 37th Street in San Pedro Bluffs (VP 3) and from Lookout Point	
29		Park (VP 4).....	3.1-23
30	3.1-9	View to the Northeast from within Angel’s Gate Park at a Point 120 feet Southeast	
31		of the Korean “Bell of Friendship” Pavilion (VP 5); and Looking Southeast from a	
32		Point along the South Side of the Pavilion (VP 6).	3.1-25
33	3.1-10	Views to the South and Southwest from a Point within Angel’s Gate Park along the	
34		South side of the Korean “Bell of Friendship” Pavilion (VP 6).	3.1-27
35	3.1-11	Views Looking East to Southeast from a Point along the East Side of Visitor Center	
36		Parking Lot for Deane Dana Friendship Park and Nature Center (VP 7), and Gazebo	
37		at Averill Park (VP 8).....	3.1-29
38	3.1-12	The Panoramic View across Ports O’ Call Village and the Main Channel from VP 9	
39		at San Pedro Plaza Park between 9th St. and 10th St., Looking toward LAHD Berths	
40		238-240.....	3.1-31
41	3.1-13	Panoramic View across the Main Channel Looking toward LAHD Berths 238-240	
42		from the Patio at Ports O’ Call Restaurant (VP 10).....	3.1-33
43	3.1-14	Views across the Main Channel Looking toward LAHD Berths 238-240 from Ports	
44		O’ Call Village at Simon’s Waterfront Banquet Center (VP 11) and Fisherman’s	
45		Seafood Restaurant (VP 12)	3.1-35
46	3.1-15	The View from Knoll Hill, in San Pedro, Looking East to Southeast; the View from	
47		Banning’s Landing, in Wilmington, Looking South	3.1-37
48	3.1-16	The Existing View from Cabrillo Beach Fishing Pier (VP 1), Looking to the	
49		Northeast toward the Site for the Proposed Marine Terminal; A Photo-Simulation	
50		of the Marine Terminal, Dockside Equipment and Buildings, and Tank Farm Site 1.....	3.1-79

1	3.1-17	The Existing View from Cabrillo Beach Fishing Pier (VP 1), Looking to the	
2		Northeast toward the Site for the Proposed Marine Terminal; A Photo-Simulation of	
3		the Marine Terminal, Tank Farm Site 1, and a Docked Max-VLCC Marine Tanker.	3.1-81
4	3.1-18	The Existing View from Lookout Point Park (VP 4), Looking to the Northeast and	
5		Including the Site for the Proposed Marine Terminal; (Bottom): A Photo-simulation	
6		of the Marine Terminal, Dockside Equipment and Buildings, and Tank Farm Site 1.....	3.1-87
7	3.1-19	The Existing View from Lookout Point Park (VP 4), Looking to the Northeast and	
8		Including the Site for the Proposed Marine Terminal; A Photo-Simulation of the	
9		Marine Terminal, Administration Building, Tank Farm Site 1, and a Docked Max-	
10		VLCC Marine Tanker.....	3.1-89
11	3.2-1	Proposed Project without Mitigation: Residential Cancer Risk under CEQA	3.2-75
12	3.2-2	Proposed Project with Mitigation: Residential Cancer Risk under CEQA.....	3.2-77
13	3.2-3	Proposed Project without Mitigation: Residential Cancer Risk under NEPA.....	3.2-79
14	3.2-4	Proposed Project with Mitigation: Residential Cancer Risk under NEPA.....	3.2-83
15	3.2-5	No Federal Action/No Project Alternative: Residential Cancer Risk	
16		under CEQA	3.2-109
17	3.2-6	Reduced Project Alternative without Mitigation: Residential Cancer Risk	
18		under CEQA	3.2-131
19	3.2-7	Reduced Project Alternative with Mitigation: Residential Cancer Risk under CEQA.....	3.2-133
20	3.2-8	Reduced Project Alternative without Mitigation: Residential Cancer Risk	
21		under NEPA.....	3.2-137
22	3.2-9	Reduced Project Alternative with Mitigation: Residential Cancer Risk	
23		under NEPA.....	3.2-139
24	3.3-1	Simulated Views of Tank Farm Site 1 from the Least Tern Nesting Site	3.3-53
25	3.5-1	Local Faults and Geologic Structures – West Los Angeles Basin.....	3.5-4
26	3.5-2	Palos Verdes Fault Zone – Los Angeles Harbor Area.....	3.5-5
27	3.6-1	Local Circulation Network	3.6-5
28	3.7-1	Hydrogeologic Conditions, Pipeline Segment 3 South	3.7-3
29	3.7-2	Hydrogeologic Conditions, Pipeline Segments 3 West and East	3.7-4
30	3.8-1	Planning Areas in the Vicinity of the Proposed Project and Alternatives	3.8-3
31	3.9-1	Vessel Navigation Safety Areas at the San Pedro Bay Ports.....	3.9-8
32	3.10-1	Noise Measurement Locations	3.10-10
33	3.10-2	Potential For Structural Damage	3.10-25
34	3.10-3	Annoyance From Vibration	3.10-26
35	3.11-1	Recreation Areas.....	3.11-6
36	3.12-1	Sensitive Receptors in the Project Area.....	3.12-5
37	3.12-2	Los Angeles Area Petroleum Facilities Infrastructure.....	3.12-7
38	3.12-3	History of Oil Spills in the San Pedro Bay Ports (number of spills)	3.12-11
39	3.12-4	Quantities of Oil Spilled in the COTP Area (gallons)	3.12-11
40	3.12-5	Risk Matrix	3.12-32
41	3.12-6	SCADA System Leak Detection Performance Curves.....	3.12-36
42	3.12-7	SCADA System Leak Detection Performance Curves.....	3.12-37
43	3.12-8	Risk Matrix of Crude Oil Tanker Spills – Open Ocean.....	3.12-45
44	3.12-9	Risk Matrix of Crude Oil Tanker Spills – Port of Los Angeles Waters	3.12-47
45	3.12-10	Risk Matrix of Crude Oil Unloading Spills	3.12-52
46	3.12-11	Risk Matrix for Pipeline Crude Oil Spills into Port of Los Angeles Waters.....	3.12-58
47	3.12-12	Risk Matrix for Pipeline Failure Thermal Radiation Hazards.....	3.12-64
48	3.12-13	Risk Matrix for Tank Farm Failure Thermal Radiation Hazards	3.12-66
49	3.12-14	Risk Matrix of Crude Oil Tanker Spills – Open Ocean.....	3.12-75
50	3.12-15	Risk Matrix of Crude Oil Tanker Spills – Port of Los Angeles Waters	3.12-77
51	3.12-16	Risk Matrix of Crude Oil Unloading Spills.....	3.12-82

1 3.12-17 Risk Matrix of Crude Oil Tanker Spills – Open Ocean.....3.12-90
 2 3.12-18 Risk Matrix of Crude Oil Tanker Spills – Port of Los Angeles Waters3.12-91
 3 3.12-19 Risk Matrix of Crude Oil Unloading Spills.....3.12-97
 4 4-1 Cumulative Projects Location Map4-7
 5 5-1 Percent Minority Population.....5-7
 6 5-2 Percent Low-Income Population5-9
 7 5-3 No Federal Action/No Project Alternative (with Mitigation) Minus CEQA Baseline:
 8 Affected Area with Increased Residential Cancer Risk of 10 in a Million Cases or
 9 More5-35
 10

11 **LIST OF TABLES**

12 ES-1 Summary of Proposed Project and Baselines ES-10
 13 ES-2 Summary of Proposed Project and Alternatives in 2040 ES-17
 14 ES-3 Summary of Potential Significant Impacts and Mitigation for the Proposed Project
 15 and Alternatives ES-22
 16 ES-4 Summary of Responses to the NOI/NOP and Public Meeting ES-60
 17 ES-5 PCAC Comments/Issues Raised and Resolution..... ES-64
 18 1-1 Classes and Characteristics of Oil Tankers..... 1-11
 19 1-2 Existing Crude Oil Berths Near LA Basin 1-14
 20 1-3 Agencies Expected to Use this SEIS/SEIR 1-23
 21 1-4 Organization and Contents of the Draft SEIS/SEIR..... 1-31
 22 2-1 Project Throughput Comparison..... 2-5
 23 2-2 Operational Details and Physical Elements of the Berth 408 Marine Terminal 2-16
 24 2-3 Tank Farm Site Descriptions 2-23
 25 2-4 Pipeline Segment 1 2-25
 26 2-5 Pipeline Segments 2a, 2b, and 2c 2-25
 27 2-6 Existing 36-Inch Diameter Pipelines 2-26
 28 2-7 Proposed Pipeline Segments 3, 4, and 5 2-36
 29 2-8 Construction Facilities and Staging Areas..... 2-47
 30 2-9 Vessel Mix and Terminal Throughput Under the Proposed Project..... 2-49
 31 2-10 No Federal Action/No Project Alternative Throughput Comparison 2-65
 32 2-11 Vessel Mix and Terminal Throughput Under the No Federal Action/No Project
 33 Alternative (Compared to 2004 Baseline) 2-65
 34 2-12 Reduced Project Alternative Throughput Comparison..... 2-69
 35 2-13 Vessel Mix and Terminal Throughput Under the Reduced Project Alternative..... 2-70
 36 2-14 Facilities Identified as Inconsistent with Port’s Risk Management Plan at the Time
 37 of Approval of the Plan (1987)..... 2-92
 38 2-15 Compliance Status of Port Liquid Bulk Facilities with the Risk Management Plan 2-94
 39 2-16 Applicable Statutes, Plans, Policies, and Other Regulatory Requirements 2-100
 40 3.1-1 Critical Views Assessed, their Existing Visual Condition, and their Application to
 41 the Proposed Project and/or Its Alternatives..... 3.1-11
 42 3.1-2 Relationship of Impact Intensity and Visual Sensitivity to an Effect’s Being
 43 Perceived as a Substantial (Significant), Adverse Impact on Visual Quality 3.1-67
 44 3.1-3 Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for
 45 Aesthetics/Visual Resources Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives 3.1-130
 46 3.2-1 Adverse Effects Associated with the Criteria Pollutants 3.2-6
 47 3.2-2 Maximum Pollutant Concentrations Measured at the North Long Beach Monitoring
 48 Station..... 3.2-9

1	3.2-3	Maximum Pollutant Concentrations Measured for the Port Air Quality Monitoring Program	3.2-9
2			
3	3.2-4	Potential Climate Change Impacts on Temperature, Sea Level and Precipitation	3.2-13
4	3.2-5	SCAQMD Thresholds for Construction Emissions.....	3.2-29
5	3.2-6	SCAQMD Thresholds for Ambient Air Quality Concentrations Associated with Project Construction	3.2-30
6			
7	3.2-7	SCAQMD Thresholds for Operational Emissions.....	3.2-30
8	3.2-8	SCAQMD Thresholds for Ambient Air Quality Concentrations Associated with Project Operations	3.2-31
9			
10	3.2-9	Regulations and Agreements Assumed in the Construction Emissions	3.2-34
11	3.2-10	Regulations and Agreements Assumed as Part of the Proposed Project Operational Emissions.....	3.2-35
12			
13	3.2-11	Peak Daily Emissions for Proposed Project Construction Phase I Activities without Mitigation	3.2-44
14			
15	3.2-12	Peak Daily Emissions for Proposed Project Construction Phase II Activities without Mitigation	3.2-45
16			
17	3.2-13	Peak Daily Emissions for Proposed Project Construction Phase I Activities with Mitigation	3.2-50
18			
19	3.2-14	Peak Daily Emissions for Proposed Project Construction Phase II Activities with Mitigation	3.2-50
20			
21	3.2-15	Maximum Offsite Ambient Concentrations – Proposed Project Construction without Mitigation	3.2-51
22			
23	3.2-16	Maximum Offsite Ambient Concentrations – Proposed Project Construction with Mitigation	3.2-52
24			
25	3.2-17	Average Daily Emissions for Proposed Project Operations without Mitigation	3.2-54
26	3.2-18	Daily Emissions Scenario for Proposed Project Operations Without Mitigation (Scenario 3).....	3.2-55
27			
28	3.2-19	Daily Emissions Scenario for Proposed Project Operations Without Mitigation (Scenario 4).....	3.2-56
29			
30	3.2-20	Daily Emissions Scenario for Proposed Project Operations Without Mitigation (Scenario 5).....	3.2-56
31			
32	3.2-21	Peak Daily Emissions for Proposed Project Operations Without Mitigation	3.2-56
33	3.2-22	Comparison between San Pedro Bay Ports CAAP Control Measures and PLAMT Crude Oil Terminal SEIS/SEIR Proposed Mitigation Measures	3.2-62
34			
35	3.2-23	Average Daily Emissions for Proposed Project Operation with Mitigation.....	3.2-67
36	3.2-24	Peak Daily Emissions for Proposed Project Operation with Mitigation.....	3.2-68
37	3.2-25	Peak Daily Emissions for Proposed Project Operation With Mitigation.....	3.2-69
38	3.2-26	Offsite Ambient Air Pollutant Concentrations Associated with Operation of the Proposed Project without Mitigation	3.2-70
39			
40	3.2-27	Offsite Ambient Air Pollutant Concentrations Associated with Operation of the Proposed Project with Mitigation	3.2-71
41			
42	3.2-28	Maximum Cancer and Non-Cancer Health Risk Impacts from Operation of the Proposed Project without Mitigation under CEQA	3.2-74
43			
44	3.2-29	Maximum Cancer and Non-Cancer Health Risk Impacts from Operation of the Proposed Project with Mitigation under CEQA	3.2-81
45			
46	3.2-30	Maximum Cancer and Non-Cancer Health Risk Impacts from Operation of the Proposed Project without Mitigation under NEPA.....	3.2-81
47			
48	3.2-31	Maximum Cancer and Non-Cancer Health Risk Impacts from Operation of the Proposed Project with Mitigation under NEPA.....	3.2-82
49			
50	3.2-32	Annual 2005 Statewide PM and Ozone Health Effects Associated with Ports and Goods Movement in California	3.2-85
51			

1	3.2-33	Average Annual GHG Emissions for Proposed Project Construction without Mitigation	3.2-92
2			
3	3.2-34	Average Annual GHG Emissions for Proposed Project Construction with Mitigation.....	3.2-92
4	3.2-35	Average Annual GHG Emissions for Proposed Project Operation without Mitigation	3.2-93
5	3.2-36	Project Applicability Review of Potential GHG Emission Reduction Strategies.....	3.2-95
6	3.2-37	Average Annual GHG Emissions for Proposed Project Operation with Mitigation	3.2-98
7	3.2-38	Operational Emissions (Average Daily Basis) from the No Federal Action/No Project Alternative.....	3.2-102
8			
9	3.2-39	Peak Daily Emissions for No Federal Action/No Project Alternative.....	3.2-103
10	3.2-40	Comparison of Peak Daily Emissions for No Federal Action/No Project Alternative to Significance Thresholds	3.2-103
11			
12	3.2-41	Offsite Ambient Air Pollutant Concentrations Associated with Operation of the No Federal Action/No Project Alternative	3.2-105
13			
14	3.2-42	Maximum Cancer and Non-Cancer Health Risk Impacts from the No Federal Action/No Project Alternative under CEQA	3.2-108
15			
16	3.2-43	Average Annual GHG Emissions Associated with the No Federal Action/No Project Alternative	3.2-112
17			
18	3.2-44	Peak Daily Emissions for Reduced Project Alternative Construction Phase I Activities without Mitigation.....	3.2-115
19			
20	3.2-45	Peak Daily Emissions for Reduced Project Alternative Construction Phase II Activities without Mitigation.....	3.2-115
21			
22	3.2-46	Peak Daily Emissions for Reduced Project Alternative Construction Phase I Activities with Mitigation.....	3.2-117
23			
24	3.2-47	Peak Daily Emissions for Reduced Project Alternative Construction Phase II Activities with Mitigation.....	3.2-117
25			
26	3.2-48	Maximum Offsite Ambient Concentrations – Reduced Project Alternative Construction without Mitigation.....	3.2-118
27			
28	3.2-49	Maximum Offsite Ambient Concentrations – Reduced Project Construction with Mitigation	3.2-119
29			
30	3.2-50	Average Daily Emissions for Reduced Project Alternative Operation without Mitigation	3.2-120
31			
32	3.2-51	Peak Daily Emissions for Reduced Project Alternative Operations Without Mitigation	3.2-122
33			
34	3.2-52	Comparison of Peak Daily Emissions for Reduced Project Alternative Operation to Significance Thresholds Without Mitigation.....	3.2-122
35			
36	3.2-53	Average Daily Emissions for Reduced Project Alternative with Mitigation.....	3.2-123
37	3.2-54	Peak Daily Emissions for Reduced Project Alternative Operations With Mitigation	3.2-124
38	3.2-55	Comparison of Peak Daily Emissions for Reduced Project Alternative Operation to Significance Thresholds with Mitigation.....	3.2-125
39			
40	3.2-56	Offsite Ambient Air Pollutant Concentrations for Reduced Project Alternative Operation without Mitigation	3.2-126
41			
42	3.2-57	Offsite Ambient Air Pollutant Concentrations for Reduced Project Alternative Operation with Mitigation	3.2-127
43			
44	3.2-58	Maximum Cancer and Non-Cancer Health Risk Impacts for Reduced Project Alternative without Mitigation under CEQA	3.2-130
45			
46	3.2-59	Maximum Cancer and Non-Cancer Health Risk Impacts for Reduced Project Alternative with Mitigation under CEQA	3.2-135
47			
48	3.2-60	Maximum Cancer and Non-Cancer Health Risk Impacts for Reduced Project Alternative without Mitigation under NEPA.....	3.2-136
49			
50	3.2-61	Maximum Cancer and Non-Cancer Health Risk Impacts for Reduced Project Alternative with Mitigation under NEPA.....	3.2-141
51			

1	3.2-62	Average Annual GHG Emissions for Reduced Project Alternative Construction without Mitigation	3.2-142
2			
3	3.2-63	Average Annual GHG Emissions for Reduced Project Alternative Construction with Mitigation	3.2-142
4			
5	3.2-64	Average Annual GHG Emissions for Reduced Project Alternative without Mitigation	3.2-143
6			
7	3.2-65	Average Annual GHG Emissions for Reduced Project Alternative with Mitigation	3.2-144
8	3.2-66	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Air Quality Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives	3.2-152
9			
10	3.3-1	Special Status Bird Species in the Proposed Project Area.....	3.3-14
11	3.3-2	Fisheries Management Plan Species in the Proposed Project Area.....	3.3-23
12	3.3-3	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Biological Resources Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives.....	3.3-104
13			
14	3.4-1	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Cultural Resources Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives.....	3.4-34
15			
16	3.5-1	Known Earthquakes with Richter Magnitude Greater than 5.5 in the Los Angeles Basin Area	3.5-6
17			
18	3.5-2	Hazardous Faults and Earthquake Parameters - Los Angeles Basin Area.....	3.5-8
19	3.5-3	Proposed Project Impacts at Shore and Backland Elevations.....	3.5-23
20	3.5-4	Proposed Project Impacts at Shore and Backland Elevations.....	3.5-24
21	3.5-5	No Federal Action/No Project Alternative Impacts at Shore and Backland Elevations	3.5-38
22			
23	3.5-6	No Federal Action/No Project Alternative Impacts at Shore and Backland Elevations	3.5-38
24			
25	3.5-7	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Geology Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives	3.5-57
26			
27	3.6-1	Existing 2004 Local Roadway Traffic Volumes (ADT)	3.6-8
28	3.6-2	Relationship Between Level of Service and V/C Ratio at Signalized Intersections.....	3.6-9
29	3.6-3	2004 Existing Conditions Intersection Levels of Service (LOS).....	3.6-9
30	3.6-4	Distribution of Construction Workers for Proposed Project	3.6-16
31	3.6-5	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Project Construction with 2010 Adjusted Baseline (CEQA).....	3.6-19
32			
33	3.6-6	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Project Construction with 2010 Adjusted Baseline (NEPA)	3.6-19
34			
35	3.6-7	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Project Construction with 2010 Adjusted Baseline (CEQA) and MM TRANS-1	3.6-20
36			
37	3.6-8	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Project Construction with 2010 Adjusted Baseline(NEPA) and MM TRANS-1	3.6-22
38			
39	3.6-9	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Project Operations with 2010 Adjusted Baseline(CEQA).....	3.6-24
40			
41	3.6-10	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Project Operations with 2010 Adjusted Baseline(NEPA)	3.6-26
42			
43	3.6-11	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Ground Transportation Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives.....	3.6-42
44			
45	3.7-1	Known Soil and Groundwater Contamination in the Project Area.....	3.7-17
46	3.7-2	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Groundwater and Soils Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives.....	3.7-66
47			
48	3.8-1	Designated Uses for Port of Los Angeles Master Plan Planning Areas	3.8-9
49	3.8-2	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Land Use Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives	3.8-36
50			
51	3.9-1	Vessel Calls at the Port of Los Angeles.....	3.9-5

1	3.9-2	Allisions, Collisions, and Groundings – San Pedro Bay Ports (1996-2005)	3.9-6
2	3.9-3	Vessel Accident Rates	3.9-6
3	3.9-4	Number of VTS-recorded “Close Quarters” Incidents, 1998-2003	3.9-7
4	3.9-5	Water Depths within Port of Los Angeles	3.9-11
5	3.9-6	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Marine Transportation Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives	3.9-31
6			
7	3.10-1	Definitions of Acoustical Terms	3.10-4
8	3.10-2	Typical Noise Levels in the Environment	3.10-6
9	3.10-3	Ambient Noise Measurement Results in San Pedro and Wilmington	3.10-11
10	3.10-4	Land Use Noise Compatibility Guidelines	3.10-17
11	3.10-5	Construction Equipment Noise Emission Levels	3.10-18
12	3.10-6	Noise Emission Levels used in Construction Impact Assessment	3.10-19
13	3.10-7	Estimated Pipeline Construction-Related Noise Impacts on Most Sensitive Receptors	3.10-21
14			
15	3.10-8	Estimated Terminal Construction-Related Noise Impacts on Most Sensitive Receptors	3.10-21
16			
17	3.10-9	Peak Hour Operational Equipment Noise	3.10-28
18	3.10-10	Daytime Terminal Operation-Related Noise Impacts on Sensitive Receptors	3.10-29
19	3.10-11	Nighttime Terminal Operation-Related Noise Impacts on Sensitive Receptors	3.10-29
20	3.10-12	Fencing, Lighting, and Paving Construction-Related Noise Impacts on Most Sensitive Receptors	3.10-32
21			
22	3.10-13	Nighttime Reduced Project Terminal Operation-Related Noise Impacts on Sensitive Receptors	3.10-40
23			
24	3.10-14	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Noise Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives	3.10-45
25			
26	3.11-1	Parks and Recreation Centers within a 2-mile (3.2 km) Radius of the Proposed Project Sites	3.11-7
27			
28	3.11-2	Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor Marinas	3.11-11
29	3.11-3	Long Beach Area Marine Recreation Facilities	3.11-11
30	3.11-4	Land Use Noise Thresholds	3.11-14
31	3.11-5	Estimated Terminal Construction-Related Noise Impacts on Recreational Receptors	3.11-15
32	3.11-6	Construction-Related Noise Impacts from Tank Farm Locations on Recreational Receptors	3.11-16
33			
34	3.11-7	Estimated Pipeline Construction-Related Noise Impacts on Recreational Receptors	3.11-17
35	3.11-8	Tanker Vessel Call Increases Under No Federal Action/No Project Alternative Relative to CEQA Baseline (2004)	3.11-26
36			
37	3.11-9	Tank Vessel Call Increases Under Reduced Project Alternative at Existing Terminals, Relative to CEQA Baseline (2004)	3.11-34
38			
39	3.11-10	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Recreation Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives	3.11-38
40			
41	3.12-1	San Pedro Bay Ports Annual Crude Oil and Petroleum Product Throughput (mby)	3.12-6
42	3.12-2	Statistical Facts on Worldwide Oil Spills from Oil Transportation Vessels	3.12-9
43	3.12-3	MSRC Response Equipment at the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach	3.12-13
44	3.12-4	Criticality and Frequency Classifications	3.12-33
45	3.12-5	Frequencies of Open Ocean Transit Oil Spills	3.12-46
46	3.12-6	Frequencies of Accidents and/or Oil Spills within the Port of Los Angeles Waters	3.12-46
47	3.12-7	Frequencies of Oil Spills within the Port of Los Angeles Waters for Majority Double-Hull Tank Vessels	3.12-49
48			
49	3.12-8	Proposed Project Pipeline Characteristics	3.12-55
50	3.12-9	Proposed Project Pipeline Failure Rates	3.12-56
51	3.12-10	Plains Pipeline System Failure Rates and Worst Case Oil Spill Volumes	3.12-57

1	3.12-11	Proposed Project Tank and Tank Farm Parameters.....	3.12-65
2	3.12-12	Frequencies of Open Ocean Transit Oil Spills	3.12-74
3	3.12-13	Frequencies of Accidents and/or Oil Spills within the Port of Los Angeles Waters	3.12-76
4	3.12-14	Frequencies of Oil Spills within the Port of Los Angeles Waters for Majority	
5		Double-Hull Tank Vessels.....	3.12-79
6	3.12-15	Frequencies of Open Ocean Transit Oil Spills	3.12-92
7	3.12-16	Frequencies of Accidents and/or Oil Spills within the Port of Los Angeles Waters	3.12-93
8	3.12-17	Frequencies of Oil Spills within the Port of Los Angeles Waters for Double-Hull	
9		Tank Vessels.....	3.12-94
10	3.12-18	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Risk of	
11		Upset/Hazardous Materials Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives.....	3.12-105
12	3.13-1	Port Police Demand	3.13-14
13	3.13-2	Water Demands	3.13-15
14	3.13-3	Wastewater Generation.....	3.13-16
15	3.13-4	Solid Waste Generation	3.13-16
16	3.13-5	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Utilities and	
17		Public Services Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives.....	3.13-60
18	3.14-1	Section 303(d) Listed Waters in LA Harbor.....	3.14-5
19	3.14-2	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Water Quality	
20		Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives	3.14-71
21	3.15-1	Population and Housing by Place and Community Plan Area (1990-2004)	3.15-2
22	3.15-2	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Population and	
23		Housing Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives.....	3.15-20
24	4-1	Related and Cumulative Projects.....	4-9
25	4-2	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Project Construction with Cumulative	
26		Projects and CEQA Baseline	4-78
27	4-3	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Project Construction with Cumulative	
28		Projects and NEPA Baseline	4-78
29	4-4	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Project Construction with Related Cumulative	
30		Projects with CEQA Baseline and MM TRANS-1	4-79
31	4-5	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Project Construction with Related Cumulative	
32		Projects with NEPA Baseline and MM TRANS-1	4-79
33	4-6	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Project Operations with Cumulative with	
34		CEQA Baseline.....	4-81
35	4-7	Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Project Operations with Cumulative with	
36		NEPA Baseline	4-81
37	4-8	Vessel Calls at San Pedro Bay Ports.....	4-99
38	5-1	Minority and Low-Income Populations.....	5-3
39	5-2	Minority and Low-Income Characteristics in the Vicinity of the Proposed Project	
40		Site (by Census Tract)	5-4
41	5-3	Summary of Environmental Justice Effects.....	5-43
42	6-1	Summary of Proposed Project and Alternatives in 2040.....	6-2
43	6-2	Summary of NEPA Significance Analysis by Alternative	6-3
44	6-3	Comparison of Alternatives to the Proposed Project (NEPA Impacts)	6-4
45	6-4	Comparison of Alternatives to the NEPA Baseline.....	6-5
46	6-5	Summary of CEQA Significance Analysis by Alternative.....	6-6
47	6-6	Comparison of Alternatives to the Proposed Project (with Mitigation; CEQA	
48		Impacts)	6-7
49	7-1	Total Civilian Employment by County (1990-2006).....	7-2
50	7-2	Total Civilian Employment Projection by County and City (2010-2045).....	7-3
51	7-3	Unemployment Rate (percent) by County (1990-2004)	7-4

1	7-4	Population by Region, County, Place, and Community Plan Area (1990-2005)	7-5
2	7-5	Housing Characteristics in 2000	7-11

APPENDICES

3			
4	A	Notice of Intent/Notice of Preparation/Initial Study (NOI/NOP/IS)	
5	B	PCAC and NNI Mitigation Measures	
6	C	PCAC Project Involvement	
7	D	Throughput and Vessel Mix Methodology	
8		D1 Throughput Projection and Vessel Mix Methodology	
9		D2 California's Uncertain Oil Future	
10		D3 Southern California Petroleum Market Assessment	
11	E	Project Description Detailed Elements	
12	F	Evaluation of a Single Point Mooring Offshore the POLA as a Permitting Alternative to	
13		Pier 400, Berth 408 for Crude Oil Import	
14	G	The Visual Modification Class Approach to Assessing Impacts on Aesthetics/Visual	
15		Resources	
16	H	Air Quality Technical Appendices	
17		H1 Construction Emissions	
18		H2 Operation Emissions	
19		H3 Health Risk Assessments: Background	
20		H4 Health Risk Assessment Documentation	
21		H5 Health Risk Assessment Calculations	
22		H6 List of Selected References for Ultrafine Particles	
23		H7 Additional References for Air Quality	
24	I	Caulerpa Protocol and Executive Summary of Biological Baseline Survey	
25	J	Draft Biological Assessment	
26	K	Essential Fish Habitat Assessment	
27	L	Navcon Engineering Noise Reports: Pier 400	
28	M	Tsunami Hazard Assessment	
29	N	Ground Transportation Analysis Methodology	
30	O	Tetra Tech Site Assessment Report and Supplemental Data	
31	P	Noise Model Results and Supporting Material	
32	Q	Draft Section 404(b)(1) Alternatives Analysis	
33	R	Risk of Upset/Hazard Modeling Results	
34	S	Utilities and Public Services Supplemental Information	
35	T	Environmental Justice	

This page intentionally left blank.