

	Page
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	

Executive Summary	ES-1
ES.1 Introduction.....	ES-1
ES.2 Purpose of this Draft EIS/EIR.....	ES-2
ES.2.1 CEQA Introduction.....	ES-2
ES.2.2 NEPA Introduction	ES-3
ES.2.3 CEQA Purpose.....	ES-3
ES.2.4 USACE Purpose and Need	ES-4
ES.2.5 Baselines	ES-4
ES.3 Proposed Project	ES-5
ES.3.1 Overview.....	ES-5
ES.3.2 Local Setting.....	ES-7
ES.3.3 Project Site and Surrounding Uses	ES-7
ES.3.4 Project Construction	ES-7
ES.4 Alternatives to the Project.....	ES-10
ES.4.1 Basis of Alternatives.....	ES-10
ES.4.2 Alternatives Considered.....	ES-10
ES.4.3 Alternatives Eliminated from Further Consideration.....	ES-14
ES.5 Environmental Impacts	ES-15
ES.5.1 Impacts Considered in this Draft EIS/EIR.....	ES-15
ES.5.2 Impacts of the Proposed Project and Alternatives	ES-15
ES.5.3 Environmentally Preferred and Environmentally Superior Alternative.....	ES-40
ES.5.4 Public Comment	ES-41
ES.5.5 Issues to be Resolved.....	ES-47
Chapter 1 Introduction	1-1
1.1 Introduction.....	1-2
1.2 Background.....	1-2
1.2.1 Project Location and Brief Project Overview	1-2
1.2.2 Goods Movement Overview	1-4
1.2.3 San Pedro Bay Ports Cargo Growth and Port Capacity	1-13
1.3 Purpose of an EIS/EIR.....	1-17

1 1.3.1 NEPA and the Purpose of an EIS 1-17

2 1.3.2 CEQA and the Purpose of an EIR..... 1-17

3 1.4 Lead, Responsible, and Trustee Agencies 1-18

4 1.5 Scope and Content of the Draft EIS/EIR 1-22

5 1.5.1 Scope of Analysis 1-30

6 1.5.2 Intended Uses of This Draft EIS/EIR 1-31

7 1.5.3 Draft EIS/EIR Organization..... 1-33

8 1.6 Key Principles Guiding Preparation of this Draft EIS/EIR 1-35

9 1.6.1 Emphasis on Significant Environmental Effects 1-35

10 1.6.2 Forecasting..... 1-36

11 1.6.3 Reliance on Environmental Thresholds and Substantial Evidence..... 1-36

12 1.6.4 Disagreement Among Experts 1-36

13 1.6.5 NEPA and CEQA Baselines 1-37

14 1.6.6 Duty to Mitigate..... 1-38

15 1.6.7 Requirements to Evaluate Alternatives..... 1-39

16 1.7 Port of Los Angeles Environmental Initiatives..... 1-40

17 1.7.1 LAHD’s Environmental Policy..... 1-40

18 1.7.2 Environmental Plans and Programs 1-41

19 1.8 Availability of the Draft EIS/EIR 1-47

20 **Chapter 2 Project Description 2-1**

21 2.1 Introduction..... 2-4

22 2.2 Background and Project Overview 2-4

23 2.2.1 Port Planning..... 2-4

24 2.2.2 Project Overview 2-6

25 2.3 NEPA Purpose and Need..... 2-6

26 2.3.1 Project Purpose 2-6

27 2.3.2 Project Need..... 2-7

28 2.4 CEQA Project Objectives 2-7

29 2.5 Project Location and Setting..... 2-8

30 2.5.1 Regional Setting..... 2-8

31 2.5.2 Local Setting..... 2-8

32 2.5.3 Project Site and Surrounding Uses 2-8

33 2.5.4 Existing Terminal Facilities and Operations..... 2-9

34 2.5.5 Historical Use of the Proposed Project Site..... 2-13

35 2.6 Proposed Project Development..... 2-14

36 2.6.1 Proposed Project Elements 2-14

37 2.6.2 Proposed Project Operations..... 2-19

38 2.7 Analysis Baselines 2-20

39 2.7.1 CEQA Baseline..... 2-21

1 2.7.2 NEPA Baseline2-21

2 2.8 Federal Scope of Analysis2-22

3 2.9 Alternatives2-24

4 2.9.1 Alternatives Evaluated in this Draft EIS/EIR2-24

5 2.9.2 Alternatives Considered but not Further Evaluated2-27

6 2.10 Relationship to Existing Statutes, Plans, Policies, and Other Regulatory

7 Requirements2-30

8 **Chapter 3 Environmental Analysis3-1**

9 Introduction3-1

10 Terminology Used in This Environmental Analysis3-2

11 Requirements to Evaluate Alternatives3-3

12 **Section 3.1 Aesthetics and Visual Resources.....3.1-1**

13 3.1.1 Introduction.....3.1-3

14 3.1.2 Environmental Setting3.1-4

15 3.1.3 Applicable Regulations.....3.1-10

16 3.1.4 Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....3.1-11

17 3.1.5 Significant Unavoidable Impacts3.1-36

18 **Section 3.2 Air Quality and Meteorology3.2-1**

19 3.2.1 Introduction.....3.2-5

20 3.2.2 Environmental Setting3.2-5

21 3.2.3 Applicable Regulations.....3.2-14

22 3.2.4 Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....3.2-30

23 3.2.5 Significant Unavoidable Impacts3.2-235

24 **Section 3.3 Biological Resources3.3-1**

25 3.3.1 Introduction.....3.3-3

26 3.3.2 Environmental Setting3.3-3

27 3.3.3 Applicable Regulations.....3.3-25

28 3.3.4 Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....3.3-29

29 3.3.5 Significant Unavoidable Impacts3.3-81

30 **Section 3.4 Cultural Resources.....3.4-1**

31 3.4.1 Introduction.....3.4-3

32 3.4.2 Environmental Setting3.4-3

33 3.4.3 Applicable Regulations.....3.4-12

34 3.4.4 Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....3.4-19

35 3.4.5 Significant Unavoidable Impacts3.4-38

36 **Section 3.5 Geology.....3.5-1**

37 3.5.1 Introduction.....3.5-3

38 3.5.2 Environmental Setting3.5-3

39 3.5.3 Applicable Regulations.....3.5-12

1 3.5.4 Impacts and Mitigation Measures..... 3.5-14

2 3.5.5 Significant Unavoidable Impacts..... 3.5-60

3 **Section 3.6 Greenhouse Gas Emissions3.6-1**

4 3.6.1 Introduction..... 3.6-3

5 3.6.2 Environmental Setting..... 3.6-3

6 3.6.3 Applicable Regulations..... 3.6-5

7 3.6.4 Impacts and Mitigation Measures..... 3.6-21

8 3.6.5 Significant Unavoidable Impacts..... 3.6-64

9 **Section 3.7 Ground Transportation.....3.7-1**

10 3.7.1 Introduction..... 3.7-2

11 3.7.2 Environmental Setting..... 3.7-2

12 3.7.3 Applicable Regulations..... 3.7-19

13 3.7.4 Impacts and Mitigation Measures..... 3.7-20

14 3.7.5 Significant Unavoidable Impacts..... 3.7-114

15 **Section 3.8 Groundwater and Soils.....3.8-1**

16 3.8.1 Introduction..... 3.8-5

17 3.8.2 Environmental Setting..... 3.8-5

18 3.8.3 Applicable Regulations..... 3.8-13

19 3.8.4 Impacts and Mitigation Measures..... 3.8-18

20 3.8.5 Significant Unavoidable Impacts..... 3.8-48

21 **Section 3.9 Hazards and Hazardous Materials.....3.9-1**

22 3.9.1 Introduction..... 3.9-3

23 3.9.2 Environmental Setting..... 3.9-3

24 3.9.3 Applicable Regulations..... 3.9-13

25 3.9.4 Impacts and Mitigation Measures..... 3.9-19

26 3.9.5 Significant Unavoidable Impacts..... 3.9-80

27 **Section 3.10 Land Use3.10-1**

28 3.10.1 Introduction..... 3.10-2

29 3.10.2 Environmental Setting..... 3.10-2

30 3.10.3 Applicable Regulations..... 3.10-5

31 3.10.4 Impacts and Mitigation Measures..... 3.10-14

32 3.10.5 Significant Unavoidable Impacts..... 3.10-36

33 **Section 3.11 Marine Transportation.....3.11-1**

34 3.11.1 Introduction..... 3.11-4

35 3.11.2 Environmental Setting..... 3.11-4

36 3.11.3 Applicable Regulations..... 3.11-13

37 3.11.4 Impacts and Mitigation Measures..... 3.11-16

38 3.11.5 Significant Unavoidable Impacts..... 3.11-36

1 **Section 3.12 Noise3.12-1**

2 3.12.1 Introduction..... 3.12-3

3 3.12.2 Environmental Setting 3.12-8

4 3.12.3 Applicable Regulations..... 3.12-11

5 3.12.4 Impacts and Mitigation Measures 3.12-13

6 3.12.5 Significant Unavoidable Impacts 3.12-44

7 **Section 3.13 Public Services.....3.13-1**

8 3.13.1 Introduction..... 3.13-2

9 3.13.2 Environmental Setting 3.13-2

10 3.13.3 Applicable Regulations..... 3.13-6

11 3.13.4 Impacts and Mitigation Measures 3.13-8

12 3.13.5 Significant Unavoidable Impacts 3.13-24

13 **Section 3.14 Utilities and Service Systems.....3.14-1**

14 3.14.1 Introduction..... 3.14-3

15 3.14.2 Environmental Setting 3.14-3

16 3.14.3 Applicable Regulations..... 3.14-10

17 3.14.4 Impacts and Mitigation Measures 3.14-16

18 3.14.5 Significant Unavoidable Impacts 3.14-55

19 **Section 3.15 Water Quality, Sediments, and Oceanography3.15-1**

20 3.15.1 Introduction..... 3.15-2

21 3.15.2 Environmental Setting 3.15-2

22 3.15.3 Applicable Regulations..... 3.15-15

23 3.15.4 Impacts and Mitigation Measures 3.15-24

24 3.15.5 Significant Unavoidable Impacts 3.15-86

25 **Chapter 4 Cumulative Analysis4-1**

26 4.1 Introduction..... 4-3

27 4.1.1 Requirements for Cumulative Impact Analysis 4-3

28 4.1.2 Projects Considered in the Cumulative Analysis 4-4

29 4.2 Cumulative Impact Analysis..... 4-19

30 4.2.1 Aesthetics and Visual Resources 4-19

31 4.2.2 Air Quality and Meteorology 4-27

32 4.2.3 Biological Resources 4-42

33 4.2.4 Cultural Resources 4-60

34 4.2.5 Geology 4-64

35 4.2.6 Greenhouse Gas Emissions 4-75

36 4.2.7 Ground Transportation..... 4-77

37 4.2.8 Groundwater and Soils 4-112

38 4.2.9 Hazards and Hazardous Materials 4-117

39 4.2.10 Land Use 4-131

1 4.2.11 Marine Transportation4-135

2 4.2.12 Noise4-138

3 4.2.13 Public Services.....4-143

4 4.2.14 Utilities.....4-147

5 4.2.15 Water Quality, Sediments, and Oceanography4-156

6 4.3 Alternatives.....4-166

7 4.3.1 Alternative 1 – No Project4-166

8 4.3.2 Alternative 2 – No Federal Action.....4-167

9 4.3.3 Alternative 3 – Reduced Project: Improve Berths 217–220 Only4-168

10 **Chapter 5 Environmental Justice.....5-1**

11 5.1 Introduction.....5-3

12 5.2 Environmental Setting5-3

13 5.2.1 Minority and Low-Income Populations5-3

14 5.3 Applicable Regulations.....5-7

15 5.3.1 Executive Order 12898: Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice

16 in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations5-7

17 5.3.2 Council on Environmental Quality: Environmental Justice—Guidance under

18 the National Environmental Policy Act5-8

19 5.3.3 California Government Code Sections 65041–65049; Public Resources Code

20 Sections 71110–71116.....5-10

21 5.3.4 California State Lands Commission Environmental Justice Policy.....5-11

22 5.3.5 City of Los Angeles General Plan5-11

23 5.3.6 South Coast Air Quality Management District: Environmental Justice

24 Program.....5-12

25 5.4 Assessment.....5-12

26 5.4.1 Methodology.....5-12

27 5.4.2 Proposed Project and Cumulative Effects.....5-15

28 5.4.3 Alternative 1 – No Project5-33

29 5.4.4 Alternative 2 – No Federal Action.....5-34

30 5.4.5 Alternative 3 – Reduced Project: Improve Berths 217–220 Only5-34

31 5.4.6 Summary of Disproportionate Effects on Minority and/or Low-Income

32 Populations.....5-39

33 5.5 Public Outreach.....5-39

34 5.5.1 Alternative Forms of Distribution.....5-40

35 5.5.2 Spanish Translation.....5-40

36 **Chapter 6 Comparison of Alternatives6-1**

37 6.1 Introduction.....6-2

38 6.2 CEQA Evaluation of Alternatives6-3

39 6.2.1 CEQA Requirements6-3

40 6.2.2 CEQA Alternatives Comparison.....6-3

1 Section 3.10, Land Use 10-26

2 Section 3.11, Marine Transportation 10-27

3 Section 3.12, Noise 10-28

4 Section 3.13, Public Services..... 10-28

5 Section 3.14, Utilities and Service Systems..... 10-30

6 Section 3.15, Water Quality, Sediments, and Oceanography 10-31

7 Chapter 4, Cumulative Analysis..... 10-36

8 Chapter 5, Environmental Justice..... 10-42

9 Chapter 6, Project Alternatives..... 10-43

10 Chapter 7, Socioeconomics 10-43

11 Chapter 8, Growth-Inducing Impacts 10-45

12 Chapter 9, Significant Irreversible Impacts..... 10-45

13 **Chapter 11 List of Preparers and Contributors..... 11-1**

14 11.1 Los Angeles Harbor Department 11-1

15 11.2 U. S. Army Corps of Engineers 11-1

16 11.3 ICF International..... 11-1

17 11.3.1 Project Management Team 11-1

18 11.3.2 Technical Team..... 11-2

19 11.3.3 Production Team..... 11-2

20 11.4 ICF International Subconsultant Firms 11-2

21 11.4.1 iLanco Environmental..... 11-2

22 11.4.2 Castle Environmental..... 11-2

23 11.4.3 ENVIRON 11-2

24 11.4.4 MBC Environmental..... 11-2

25 11.4.5 Raju Associates..... 11-3

26 11.4.6 Cambridge Systematics, Inc..... 11-3

27 **Chapter 12 Acronyms and Abbreviations 12-1**

28

1

Appendices

2	Appendix A	Notice of Intent/Notice of Preparation, Initial Study, and Scoping
3		Comments
4	Appendix A1	Notice of Intent/Notice of Preparation and Initial Study
5	Appendix A2	Notice of Intent/Notice of Preparation Public Review Period
6		Extension
7	Appendix A3	Scoping Comments
8	Appendix B	Air Quality Appendices
9	Appendix B1	Air Emissions
10	Appendix B2	Dispersion Modeling
11	Appendix B3	Health Risk Assessment
12	Appendix C	Biological Resources Appendices
13	Appendix C1	CNDDDB Summary Tables
14	Appendix C2	Photographs of Vegetation on the Project Site
15	Appendix C3	Essential Fish Habitat Assessment
16	Appendix D	Ground Transportation Appendices
17	Appendix D1	Existing Traffic Counts
18	Appendix D2	Intersection Level of Service Worksheets
19	Appendix D3	Freeway Analysis—HCS Level of Service Worksheets
20	Appendix E	Regulatory Records Database Report
21	Appendix F	Sediment Characterization Report
22		

Figures

1			
2			Follows Page
3	ES-1	Regional Location Map	ES-2
4	ES-2	Project Vicinity Map.....	ES-8
5	1-1	Goods Movement Chain: Transportation Distribution	1-4
6	1-2	General Container Terminal Operations	1-6
7	1-3	Local Cargo Distribution from Port of Los Angeles.....	1-10
8	1-4	Transloaded Intermodal Cargo Flow	1-10
9	1-5	Direct Intermodal Cargo Flow	1-10
10	1-6	Location of Existing Near-Dock and Off-Dock Railyards	1-12
11	1-7	Cargo Forecasts for the San Pedro Bay Port Complex	1-14
12	1-8	San Pedro Bay Port Complex On-Dock Railyards	1-16
13	2-1	Regional Location Map	2-8
14	2-2	Project Vicinity Map.....	2-8
15	2-3	Project Site Map.....	2-8
16	2-4	Photographs of Existing YTI Terminal and Equipment	2-10
17	2-5	Photographs of Existing YTI Terminal and Equipment	2-10
18	2-6	Photographs of Existing YTI Terminal and Equipment	2-10
19	2-7	Proposed Site Plan	2-14
20	2-8	Berths 214–216 Dredging and King/Sheet Piling.....	2-14
21	2-9	Berths 217–220 Dredging and Sheet Piling.....	2-16
22	2-10	Permit Area Map.....	2-24
23	3.1-1	Location of Viewpoints and Scenic Routes	3.1-8
24	3.1-2	Photo Simulation Looking East from Catalina Express (VP 1).....	3.1-8

1 3.1-3 Photo Simulation Looking Southeast from Wilmington Waterfront Park
 2 (VP 2)..... 3.1-10

3 3.1-4 Photo Simulation Looking South from Bannings Landing (VP 3)..... 3.1-10

4 3.2-1 Sensitive Receptors..... on page 3.2-14

5 3.2-2 NO_x Emission Trends for the Proposed Project CEQA/NEPA Impacts on page 3.2-99

6 3.2-3 VOC Emission Trends for the Proposed Project CEQA/NEPA Impacts ... on page 3.2-100

7 3.2-4 Isopleths of Residential Lifetime Cancer Risk: Unmitigated Proposed Project
 8 Minus Future CEQA Baseline on page 3.2-115

9 3.2-5 Isopleths of Occupational Lifetime Cancer Risk: Unmitigated Proposed
 10 Project Minus Future CEQA Baseline on page 3.2-116

11 3.2-6 Isopleths of Residential Lifetime Cancer Risk: Mitigated Proposed Project
 12 Minus Future CEQA Baseline on page 3.2-121

13 3.2-7 Isopleths of Occupational Lifetime Cancer Risk: Mitigated Proposed Project
 14 Minus Future CEQA Baseline on page 3.2-122

15 3.2-8 Isopleths of Residential Lifetime Cancer Risk: Unmitigated Proposed Project
 16 Minus NEPA Baseline on page 3.2-125

17 3.2-9 Isopleths of Occupational Lifetime Cancer Risk: Unmitigated Proposed
 18 Project Minus NEPA Baseline..... on page 3.2-126

19 3.3-1 Location of Inner Harbor Habitat Areas in Los Angeles Harbor..... 3.3-4

20 3.3-2 Least Tern Nesting at Los Angeles Harbor Nesting Sites, 1981–2012..... 3.3-12

21 3.7-1 Project Study Area and Intersections..... 3.7-2

22 3.7-2 Study Area Freeway Segments 3.7-6

23 3.7-3 Map of Southern California Freight Railroad Lines 3.7-18

24 3.7-4. Total Arrivals and Departures for an Isolated Blockage..... 3.7-24

25 3.8-1 Previous Soil and Groundwater Investigation Locations..... 3.8-6

26 3.10-1 Port Master Plan Land Use Designations 3.10-8

27 3.11-1 Precautionary Area and Designated Vessel Traffic Lanes..... 3.11-4

28 3.12-1 Noise Measurement Locations..... 3.12-10

29 3.13-1 Public Service Facilities..... 3.13-4

30 3.15-1 Location of Inner Harbor Habitat Areas in Los Angeles Harbor and Sampling
 31 Stations LA30, LA41, and LA47 3.3.15-4

32 3.15-2 Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen, and Light Transmission off the YTI
 33 Terminal (Station LA 30) in January, April, July, and October 2012 3.15-6

1	3.15-3	Core Sampling Locations.....	3.15-12
2	4-1	Related and Cumulative Projects	4-6
3	5-1	Percent Minority Population.....	5-6
4	5-2	Percent Low-Income Population.....	5-6
5			

Tables

1			
2			On Page
3	ES-1	Existing and Projected Container Terminal Throughput and Activity	ES-6
4	ES-2	YTI Terminal Proposed Crane Modifications and Replacements	ES-9
5	ES-3	Summary of Proposed Project and Alternatives at Full Build-Out (2026)	ES-11
6	ES-4	Summary of Potential Impacts and Proposed Measures by Alternative	ES-17
7	ES-5	Proposed Project: Direct and Secondary Construction Employment over the	
8		Construction Period	ES-39
9	ES-6	Proposed Project: Net Direct and Secondary Operations Employment.....	ES-39
10	ES-7	Summary of Comments Received for the NOI/NOP.....	ES-41
11	1-1	San Pedro Bay Ports Direct Intermodal Cargo Forecast.....	1-16
12	1-2	Existing and Planned On-Dock Railyards	1-16
13	1-3	Agencies that Are Expected to Use This EIS/EIR.....	1-19
14	1-4	Summary of Key NOI/NOP Comments	1-23
15	1-5	Organization and Contents of the Draft EIS/EIR.....	1-34
16	2-1	Existing and Projected Container Terminal Throughput and Activity	2-3
17	2-2	Existing YTI Terminal Crane Specifications.....	2-10
18	2-3	YTI Terminal Proposed Crane Modifications and Replacement.....	2-16
19	2-4	Estimated Construction Phasing and Schedule.....	2-18
20	2-5	Terminal Throughput for NEPA Baseline Study Years.....	2-22
21	2-6	Summary of Proposed Project and Alternatives	2-25
22	2-7	Consistency with Applicable Plans, Policies, and Regulatory Requirements.....	2-31
23	3.1-1	Visual Modification Class Definitions.....	3.1-15
24	3.1-2	Summary of AES-5 Impacts for Proposed Project	3.1-21
25	3.1-3	Summary of AES-5 Impacts for Alternative 3	3.1-31

1 3.1-4 Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for
 2 Aesthetics and Visual Resources Associated with the Proposed Project and
 3 Alternatives 3.1-33

4 3.2-1 Adverse Effects Associated with Criteria Pollutants 3.2-7

5 3.2-2 Maximum Pollutant Concentrations Measured at the TITP 3.2-10

6 3.2-3 Regulations and Agreements Assumed in the Unmitigated Construction
 7 Emissions 3.2-34

8 3.2-4 Regulations and Agreements Assumed as Part of the Unmitigated
 9 Operational Emissions 3.2-38

10 3.2-5 Annual TEU Throughput, Annual Ship Calls by Ship Size, and Peak Day
 11 Activity 3.2-42

12 3.2-6 Annual and Peak Day CHE Activity and Size Parameters 3.2-45

13 3.2-7 Annual and Peak Day Truck Trips and Operating Hours 3.2-47

14 3.2-8 Annual and Peak Day Rail Locomotive Operations 3.2-49

15 3.2-9 AMP Power Generation 3.2-50

16 3.2-10 Annual 2005 Statewide PM and Ozone Health Effects Associated with Ports
 17 and Goods Movement in California 3.2-55

18 3.2-11 Peak Daily Operational Emissions: CEQA Baseline (lbs/day) 3.2-58

19 3.2-12 Peak Daily Construction Emissions—NEPA Baseline (lbs/day) 3.2-59

20 3.2-13 Peak Daily Operational Emissions—NEPA Baseline (lbs/day) 3.2-60

21 3.2-14 SCAQMD Thresholds for Construction Emissions 3.2-62

22 3.2-15 SCAQMD Thresholds for Ambient Air Quality Concentrations Associated
 23 with Project Construction 3.2-63

24 3.2-16 SCAQMD Thresholds for Operational Emissions 3.2-64

25 3.2-17 SCAQMD Thresholds for Ambient Air Quality Concentrations Associated
 26 with Project Operation 3.2-64

27 3.2-18 Peak Daily Construction Emissions without Mitigation—Proposed Project
 28 (lbs/day) 3.2-66

29 3.2-19 Peak Daily Combined Construction and Operational Emissions without
 30 Mitigation—Proposed Project (lbs/day) 3.2-68

31 3.2-20 Peak Daily Construction Emissions with Mitigation—Proposed Project
 32 (lbs/day) 3.2-70

33 3.2-21 Peak Daily Combined Construction and Operational Emissions with
 34 Mitigation—Proposed Project (lbs/day) 3.2-72

1 3.2-22 Maximum Offsite Ambient NO₂, SO₂, and CO Concentrations—Proposed
 2 Project Construction without Mitigation 3.2-74

3 3.2-23 Maximum Offsite Ambient PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Concentrations—Proposed
 4 Project Construction without Mitigation 3.2-75

5 3.2-24 Maximum Offsite Ambient NO₂, SO₂, and CO Concentrations—Proposed
 6 Project Combined Construction and Operation without Mitigation 3.2-76

7 3.2-25 Maximum Offsite Ambient PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Concentrations—Proposed
 8 Project Combined Construction and Operation without Mitigation 3.2-77

9 3.2-26 Maximum Offsite Ambient NO₂, SO₂, and CO Concentrations—Proposed
 10 Project Construction with Mitigation..... 3.2-79

11 3.2-27 Maximum Offsite Ambient PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Concentrations—Proposed
 12 Project Construction with Mitigation..... 3.2-80

13 3.2-28 Maximum Offsite Ambient NO₂, SO₂, and CO Concentrations—Proposed
 14 Project Combined Construction and Operation with Mitigation 3.2-81

15 3.2-29 Maximum Offsite Ambient PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Concentrations—Proposed
 16 Project Combined Construction and Operation with Mitigation 3.2-82

17 3.2-30 Peak Daily Operational Emissions without Mitigation—Proposed Project
 18 (lbs/day) 3.2-84

19 3.2-31 Peak Daily Operational Emissions with Mitigation—Proposed Project
 20 (lbs/day) 3.2-89

21 3.2-32 Comparison between San Pedro Bay Ports 2010 CAAP Update Control
 22 Measures and Proposed Project Mitigation Measures 3.2-92

23 3.2-33 Maximum Offsite NO₂, SO₂, and CO Concentrations—Proposed Project
 24 Operation without Mitigation 3.2-101

25 3.2-34 Maximum Offsite PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Concentrations—Proposed Project
 26 Operation without Mitigation 3.2-102

27 3.2-35 Maximum Offsite NO₂, SO₂ and CO Concentrations—Proposed Project
 28 Operation with Mitigation..... 3.2-104

29 3.2-36 Maximum Offsite PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Concentrations—Proposed Project
 30 Operation with Mitigation..... 3.2-105

31 3.2-37 Maximum CO Concentrations at the Henry Ford Avenue and Anaheim Street
 32 Intersection—Proposed Project without Mitigation 3.2-107

33 3.2-38 Maximum Incremental CEQA Health Impacts Associated with the Proposed
 34 Project without Mitigation..... 3.2-113

35 3.2-39 Maximum Incremental CEQA Health Impacts Associated with the Proposed
 36 Project with Mitigation 3.2-119

1 3.2-40 Maximum Incremental NEPA Health Impacts Associated with the Proposed
 2 Project without Mitigation 3.2-123

3 3.2-41 Comparison of Air Quality Impacts Associated with Proposed Project
 4 Alternatives 3.2-129

5 3.2-42 Peak Daily Operational Emissions—Alternative 1, No Project (lbs/day) 3.2-135

6 3.2-43 Maximum Offsite NO₂, SO₂, and CO Concentrations—Alternative 1
 7 Operation 3.2-138

8 3.2-44 Maximum Offsite PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Concentrations—Alternative 1 Operation 3.2-138

9 3.2-45 Maximum Incremental CEQA Health Impacts Associated with Alternative 1,
 10 No Project 3.2-143

11 3.2-46 Peak Daily Construction Emissions without Mitigation—Alternative 2, No
 12 Federal Action (lbs/day) 3.2-147

13 3.2-47 Peak Daily Combined Construction and Operational Emissions without
 14 Mitigation—Alternative 2, No Federal Action (lbs/day) 3.2-148

15 3.2-48 Peak Daily Construction Emissions with Mitigation—Alternative 2, No
 16 Federal Action (lbs/day) 3.2-150

17 3.2-49 Peak Daily Combined Construction and Operational Emissions with
 18 Mitigation—Alternative 2, No Federal Action (lbs/day) 3.2-151

19 3.2-50 Maximum Offsite Ambient NO₂, SO₂, and CO Concentrations—Alternative
 20 2 Construction without Mitigation 3.2-153

21 3.2-51 Maximum Offsite Ambient PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Concentrations—Alternative 2
 22 Construction without Mitigation 3.2-153

23 3.2-52 Maximum Offsite Ambient NO₂, SO₂, and CO Concentrations—Alternative
 24 2 Construction and Operation without Mitigation 3.2-154

25 3.2-53 Maximum Offsite Ambient PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Concentrations—Alternative 2
 26 Construction and Operation without Mitigation 3.2-155

27 3.2-54 Maximum Offsite Ambient NO₂, SO₂, and CO Concentrations—Alternative
 28 2 Construction with Mitigation 3.2-157

29 3.2-55 Maximum Offsite Ambient PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Concentrations—Alternative 2
 30 Construction with Mitigation 3.2-158

31 3.2-56 Maximum Offsite Ambient NO₂, SO₂, and CO Concentrations—Alternative
 32 2 Construction and Operation with Mitigation 3.2-159

33 3.2-57 Maximum Offsite Ambient PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Concentrations—Alternative 2
 34 Construction and Operation with Mitigation 3.2-160

35 3.2-58 Peak Daily Operational Emissions without Mitigation—Alternative 2, No
 36 Federal Action (lbs/day) 3.2-162

1 3.2-59 Peak Daily Operational Emissions with Mitigation—Alternative 2, No
 2 Federal Action (lbs/day) 3.2-164

3 3.2-60 Maximum Offsite NO₂, SO₂ and CO Concentrations Associated with
 4 Operation of Alternative 2 with Mitigation 3.2-167

5 3.2-61 Maximum Offsite PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Concentrations Associated with Operation
 6 of Alternative 2 with Mitigation 3.2-168

7 3.2-62 Maximum Incremental CEQA Health Impacts Associated with Alternative 2,
 8 No Federal Action without Mitigation..... 3.2-173

9 3.2-63 Maximum Incremental CEQA Health Impacts Associated with Alternative 2,
 10 No Federal Action with Mitigation..... 3.2-176

11 3.2-64 Peak Daily Construction Emissions without Mitigation—Alternative 3,
 12 Reduced Project (lbs/day)..... 3.2-180

13 3.2-65 Peak Daily Combined Construction and Operational Emissions without
 14 Mitigation—Alternative 3, Reduced Project (lbs/day) 3.2-181

15 3.2-66 Peak Daily Construction Emissions with Mitigation—Alternative 3, Reduced
 16 Project (lbs/day)..... 3.2-183

17 3.2-67 Peak Daily Combined Construction and Operational Emissions with
 18 Mitigation—Alternative 3, Reduced Project (lbs/day) 3.2-185

19 3.2-68 Maximum Offsite Ambient NO₂, SO₂, and CO Concentrations—Alternative
 20 3 Construction without Mitigation..... 3.2-187

21 3.2-69 Maximum Offsite Ambient PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Concentrations—Alternative 3
 22 Construction without Mitigation..... 3.2-188

23 3.2-70 Maximum Offsite Ambient NO₂, SO₂, and CO Concentrations—Alternative
 24 3 Construction and Operation without Mitigation 3.2-189

25 3.2-71 Maximum Offsite Ambient PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Concentrations—Alternative 3
 26 Construction and Operation without Mitigation 3.2-190

27 3.2-72 Maximum Offsite Ambient NO₂, SO₂, and CO Concentrations—Alternative
 28 3 Construction with Mitigation..... 3.2-192

29 3.2-73 Maximum Offsite Ambient PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Concentrations—Alternative 3
 30 Construction with Mitigation..... 3.2-193

31 3.2-74 Maximum Offsite Ambient NO₂, SO₂, and CO Concentrations—Alternative
 32 3 Construction and Operation with Mitigation 3.2-194

33 3.2-75 Maximum Offsite Ambient PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Concentrations—Alternative 3
 34 Construction and Operation with Mitigation 3.2-195

35 3.2-76 Peak Daily Operational Emissions without Mitigation—Alternative 3,
 36 Reduced Project (lbs/day)..... 3.2-198

1 3.2-77 Peak Daily Operational Emissions with Mitigation—Alternative 3, Reduced
 2 Project (lbs/day) 3.2-201

3 3.2-78 Maximum Offsite NO₂, SO₂, and CO Concentrations—Alternative 3
 4 Operation without Mitigation 3.2-204

5 3.2-79 Maximum Offsite PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Concentrations—Alternative 3 Operation
 6 without Mitigation 3.2-205

7 3.2-80 Maximum Offsite NO₂, SO₂ and CO Concentrations—Alternative 3
 8 Operation with Mitigation..... 3.2-207

9 3.2-81 Maximum Offsite PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Concentrations—Alternative 3 Operation
 10 with Mitigation 3.2-208

11 3.2-82 Maximum Incremental CEQA Health Impacts Associated with Alternative 3,
 12 Reduced Project without Mitigation 3.2-213

13 3.2-83 Maximum Incremental CEQA Health Impacts Associated with Alternative 3,
 14 Reduced Project with Mitigation 3.2-217

15 3.2-84 Maximum Incremental NEPA Health Impacts Associated with Alternative 3,
 16 Reduced Project without Mitigation 3.2-220

17 3.2-85 Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Air
 18 Quality Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives..... 3.2-223

19 3.3-1 Plant Species Observed at the YTI Terminal, June 2013 3.3-5

20 3.3-2 Threatened and Endangered Bird Species in the Proposed Project Area..... 3.3-10

21 3.3-3 Special-Status Bird Species (Designated by CDFW and USFWS) in the
 22 Proposed Project Area 3.3-13

23 3.3-4 Special-Status Bird Species (Designated by CDFW and USFWS) in the
 24 Proposed Project Area 3.3-16

25 3.3-5 Managed Fish/invertebrate Species Most Likely to Occur off the YTI
 26 Terminal in Los Angeles Harbor Based on Past Occurrences 3.3-20

27 3.3-6 Current Performance Standards for Ballast Water Treatment Prior to
 28 Discharge 3.3-28

29 3.3-7 Summary of Underwater Sound Levels Produced by Sheet and H Pile
 30 Installation 3.3-35

31 3.3-8 Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for
 32 Biological Resources Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives..... 3.3-76

33 3.4-1 Cultural Resource Studies Conducted within the Proposed Project Area..... 3.4-10

34 3.4-2 Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Cultural
 35 Resources Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives 3.4-36

1 3.5-1 Hazardous Faults and Maximum Earthquake Magnitudes—Los Angeles
 2 Basin Area..... 3.5-5

3 3.5-2 Historical Earthquakes at Los Angeles Basin Faults (with Magnitude Greater
 4 than 5.5) 3.5-6

5 3.5-3 Los Angeles Sea Level Rise Projections Relative to Year 2000 3.5-9

6 3.5-4 Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Geology
 7 Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives..... 3.5-54

8 3.6-1 Annual Operational GHG Emissions—CEQA Baseline 2012 (mty) 6-24

9 3.6-2 Annual Construction GHG Emissions – NEPA Baseline (mty) 6-26

10 3.6-3 Annual Operational GHG Emissions - NEPA Baseline (mty) 6-27

11 3.6-4 Construction GHG Emissions without Mitigation – Proposed Project (mty)..... 6-30

12 3.6-5 Construction and Operational GHG Emissions without Mitigation –
 13 Proposed Project (mty) 6-31

14 3.6-6 Construction GHG Emissions with Mitigation – Proposed Project (mty)..... 6-36

15 3.6-7 Construction and Operational GHG Emissions with Mitigation – Proposed
 16 Project (mty) 6-36

17 3.6-8 Operational GHG Emissions – Alternative 1 (mty)..... 6-42

18 3.6-9 Construction and Operational GHG Emissions without Mitigation –
 19 Alternative 2 (mty)..... 6-45

20 3.6-10 Construction and Operational GHG Emissions with Mitigation –
 21 Alternative 2 (mty)..... 6-48

22 3.6-11 Construction GHG Emissions without Mitigation – Alternative 3 (mty) 6-52

23 3.6-12 Construction and Operational GHG Emissions without Mitigation –
 24 Alternative 3 (mty)..... 6-53

25 3.6-13 Construction GHG Emissions With Mitigation – Alternative 3 (mty) 6-55

26 3.6-14 Construction and Operational GHG Emissions With Mitigation –
 27 Alternative 3 (mty)..... 6-56

28 3.6-15 Summary Matrix of Impacts and Mitigation Measures for GHG Associated
 29 with the Proposed Project and Alternatives 6-60

30 3.7-1 Level of Service Criteria—Intersections..... 3.7-7

31 3.7-2 Freeway Level of Service Criteria 3.7-10

32 3.7-3 Freeway CMP Level of Service Criteria..... 3.7-10

33 3.7-4 CEQA Baseline (2012) Intersection Level of Service..... 3.7-11

1 3.7-5 CEQA Baseline (2012) Freeway Level of Service 3.7-12

2 3.7-6 2012 Baseline Transit Service 3.7-14

3 3.7-7 Time Periods of the Day, 2012 3.7-27

4 3.7-8 Alameda Corridor Train Volume by Time of Day 3.7-27

5 3.7-9 BNSF Train Volume at Highgrove in Riverside County by Time of Day..... 3.7-28

6 3.7-10 Hourly Factors Applied to Average Daily Traffic, by County 3.7-28

7 3.7-11 BNSF San Bernardino Subdivision, from Hobart Yard to San Bernardino,
8 2012 Baseline..... 3.7-29

9 3.7-12 BNSF Cajon Subdivision from San Bernardino to Barstow, 2012 Baseline 3.7-32

10 3.7-13 UP Alhambra Subdivision from Los Angeles Transportation Center to Colton
11 Crossing, 2012 Baseline (Excluding Segment that Is Combined with UP LA
12 Subdivision)..... 3.7-33

13 3.7-14 UP Los Angeles Subdivision from East Los Angeles Yard to West Riverside
14 Junction, 2012 Baseline (Excluding Segment that Is Combined with UP
15 Alhambra Subdivision) 3.7-35

16 3.7-15 Combined UP Alhambra and LA Subdivisions in Pomona and Montclair
17 Area, 2012 Baseline 3.7-37

18 3.7-16 UP Yuma Subdivision from Colton Crossing to Indio, 2012 Baseline..... 3.7-38

19 3.7-17 Thresholds of Significance for At-Grade Crossings 3.7-49

20 3.7-18 Trip Generation Analysis Assumptions and Input Data for Berths 212–224 3.7-52

21 3.7-19 Intersection Level of Service Analysis—CEQA Baseline Compared to 2026
22 With Proposed Project 3.7-54

23 3.7-20 Intersection Level of Service Analysis—2026 NEPA Baseline Compared to
24 2026 With Proposed Project 3.7-55

25 3.7-21 CEQA Baseline Compared to With Proposed Project Freeway Analysis—
26 A.M. Peak 3.7-58

27 3.7-22 CEQA Baseline Compared to With Proposed Project Freeway Analysis—
28 P.M. Peak..... 3.7-59

29 3.7-23 2026 NEPA Baseline Compared to 2026 With Proposed Project Freeway
30 Analysis—A.M. Peak 3.7-60

31 3.7-24 2026 NEPA Baseline Compared to 2026 With Proposed Project Freeway
32 Analysis—P.M. Peak 3.7-61

33 3.7-25 CEQA Baseline Conditions (2012) Peak Month Average Daily Rail Volumes
34 and..... 3.7-63

1 3.7-26 BNSF San Bernardino Subdivision, from Hobart Yard to San Bernardino,
 2 2012 Baseline Plus Proposed Project..... 3.7-65

3 3.7-27 BNSF Cajon Subdivision, from San Bernardino to Barstow, 2012 Baseline
 4 Plus Proposed Project 3.7-69

5 3.7-28 UP Alhambra Subdivision from Los Angeles Transportation Center to Colton
 6 Crossing, 2012 Baseline Plus Proposed Project (Excluding Segment that Is
 7 Combined with UP LA Subdivision)..... 3.7-702

8 3.7-29 UP Los Angeles Subdivision from East Los Angeles Yard to West Riverside
 9 Junction, 2012 Baseline Plus Proposed Project (Excluding Segment that Is
 10 Combined with UP Alhambra Subdivision) 3.7-72

11 3.7-30 Combined UP Alhambra and LA Subdivisions in Pomona and Montclair
 12 Area, 2012 Baseline Plus Proposed Project..... 3.7-74

13 3.7-31 UP Yuma Subdivision from Colton Crossing to Indio, 2012 Baseline Plus
 14 Proposed Project 3.7-75

15 3.7-32 Intersection Level of Service Analysis—CEQA Baseline Compared to
 16 Alternative 1 (No Project)..... 3.7-81

17 3.7-33 CEQA Baseline Compared to Alternative 1 (No Project) Freeway Analysis—
 18 A.M. Peak 3.7-85

19 3.7-34 CEQA Baseline Compared to Alternative 1 (No Project) Freeway Analysis—
 20 P.M. Peak..... 3.7-86

21 3.7-35 Intersection Level of Service Analysis—CEQA Baseline Compared to
 22 Alternative 2 (No Federal Action) 3.7-91

23 3.7-36 NOP CEQA Baseline Compared to Alternative 2 (No Federal Action)
 24 Freeway Analysis—A.M. Peak..... 3.7-95

25 3.7-37 CEQA Baseline Compared to Alternative 2 (No Federal Action) Freeway
 26 Analysis—P.M. Peak..... 3.7-96

27 3.7-38 Intersection Level of Service Analysis—CEQA Baseline Compared to
 28 Alternative 3 (Reduced Project: Improve Berths 217–220 Only)..... 3.7-101

29 3.7-39 Intersection Level of Service Analysis—2026 NEPA Baseline Compared to
 30 2026 Alternative 3 (Reduced Project: Improve Berths 217–220 Only)..... 3.7-102

31 3.7-40 CEQA Baseline Compared to Alternative 3 (Reduced Project: Improve Berth
 32 217–220 Only) Freeway Analysis—A.M. Peak 3.7-105

33 3.7-41 CEQA Baseline Compared to Alternative 3 (Reduced Project: Improve Berth
 34 217–220 Only) Freeway Analysis—P.M. Peak 3.7-106

35 3.7-42 2026 NEPA Baseline Compared to 2026 Alternative 3 (Reduced Project:
 36 Improve Berths 217–220 Only) Freeway Analysis—A.M. Peak 3.7-107

1 3.7-43 2026 NEPA Baseline Compared to 2026 Alternative 3 (Reduced Project:
 2 Improve Berths 217–220 Only) Freeway Analysis—P.M. Peak 3.7-108

3 3.7-44 Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Ground
 4 Transportation Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives 3.7-111

5 3.8-1 Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for
 6 Groundwater and Soils Associated with the Proposed Project and
 7 Alternatives 3.8-43

8 3.9-1 Berths 212–224 [YTI] Hazardous and Nonhazardous Wastes Generated in
 9 2012 3.9-5

10 3.9-2 Facilities Containing Potentially Hazardous Materials and Hazardous Wastes
 11 at Berths 212–224 (YTI) Container Terminal 3.9-6

12 3.9-3 Risk Matrix 3.9-20

13 3.9-4 Criticality and Frequency Classifications 3.9-21

14 3.9-5 Proposed Project: Existing and Projected Cargo Throughput Volumes at YTI
 15 Terminal 3.9-27

16 3.9-6 Proposed Project: Existing and Projected Cargo Throughput Volumes at YTI
 17 Terminal 3.9-28

18 3.9-7 Proposed Project: Existing and Projected Truck Trips at YTI Terminal
 19 Relative to CEQA Baseline 3.9-31

20 3.9-8 Proposed Project: Existing and Projected Truck Trips at YTI Terminal
 21 Relative to NEPA Baseline 3.9-32

22 3.9-9 Alternative 1: Existing and Projected Cargo Throughput Volumes at YTI
 23 Terminal Relative to CEQA Baseline 3.9-47

24 3.9-10 Alternative 1: Existing and Projected Truck Trips at YTI Terminal Relative
 25 to CEQA Baseline 3.9-48

26 3.9-11 Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Hazards
 27 and Hazardous Materials Associated with the Proposed Project and
 28 Alternatives 3.9-76

29 3.10-1 Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Land Use
 30 Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives 3.10-34

31 3.11-1 Existing and Projected Terminal Throughput, Vessel Activity, and Vessel
 32 Size for the Proposed Project and Alternatives 3.11-2

33 3.11-2 Allisions, Collisions, and Groundings – Port Complex (1996–2012) 3.11-9

34 3.11-3 Number of VTS-Recorded Close-Quarters Incidents, 1998–2012 3.11-10

35 3.11-4 Water Depths within the Port of Los Angeles 3.11-12

1	3.11-5	Ship Calls at the Port of Los Angeles	3.11-12
2	3.11-6	Proposed Project Marine-Based Construction Equipment.....	3.11-19
3	3.11-7	Existing and Projected Annual Ship Calls at the Project Site at Full Build-	
4		Out (2026).....	3.11-21
5	3.11-8	Existing and Projected Annual Ship Calls at the Project Site at Full Build-	
6		Out (2026).....	3.11-25
7	3.11-9	Existing and Projected Annual Ship Calls at the Project Site at Full Build-	
8		Out (2026).....	3.11-27
9	3.11-10	Existing and Projected Annual Ship Calls at the Project Site at Full Build-	
10		Out (2026).....	3.11-31
11	3.11-11	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Marine	
12		Transportation Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives	3.11-34
13	3.12-1	Definitions of Acoustical Terms	3.12-3
14	3.12-2	Typical A-Weighted Sound Levels.....	3.12-5
15	3.12-3	Typical Levels of Groundborne Vibration.....	3.12-8
16	3.12-4	Long-Term Noise Monitoring Results.....	3.12-10
17	3.12-5	Short-Term Noise Monitoring Results.....	3.12-10
18	3.12-6	Land Use Noise Compatibility Guidelines	3.12-15
19	3.12-7	Typical Construction Equipment Noise Emission Levels	3.12-17
20	3.12-8	Noise Level and Equipment by Construction Activity	3.12-18
21	3.12-9	Estimated Construction Noise Levels at Nearest Noise-Sensitive Areas –	
22		Proposed Project	3.12-18
23	3.12-10	Estimated Construction Noise Levels at Nearest Noise-Sensitive Areas –	
24		Alternative 3	3.12-35
25	3.12-11	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Noise	
26		Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives.....	3.12-40
27	3.13-1	Port Police Standard Acceptable Response Times (minutes).....	3.13-5
28	3.13-2	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Public	
29		Services Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives	3.13-22
30	3.14-1	Water Demand at the Terminal.....	3.14-4
31	3.14-2	Wastewater Generation at the Terminal.....	3.14-5
32	3.14-3	Solid Waste Generation at the Terminal.....	3.14-9

1 3.14-4 Existing and Future Water Demand at the Terminal under Proposed Project
 2 and Alternative Scenarios 3.14-18

3 3.14-5 Existing and Future Wastewater Generation at the Terminal under Proposed
 4 Project and Alternative Scenarios..... 3.14-19

5 3.14-6 Existing and Future Solid Waste Generation at the Terminal under Proposed
 6 Project and Alternative Scenarios..... 3.14-20

7 3.14-7 Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Utilities
 8 Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives..... 3.14-51

9 3.15-1 Sediment Chemistry Results..... 3.15-12

10 3.15-2 Structural Control BMPs for Container Terminal Facility Activities..... 3.15-35

11 3.15-3 Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Water
 12 Quality, Sediments and Oceanography Associated with the Proposed Project
 13 and Alternatives 3.15-84

14 4-1 Related and Cumulative Projects 4-7

15 4-2 Threatened and Endangered and Special-Status Bird Species in the Proposed
 16 Project Area 4-44

17 4-3 Trip Generation Estimates for the Proposed Project..... 4-79

18 4-4 Intersection Level of Service Analysis—2026 No Project vs. 2026 With
 19 Proposed Project 4-87

20 4-5 Freeway Analysis: A.M. Peak—2026 No Project vs. 2026 With Proposed
 21 Project 4-93

22 4-6 Freeway Analysis: P.M. Peak—2026 No Project vs. 2026 With Proposed
 23 Project 4-94

24 4-7 2026 No Project Scenario and 2026 with proposed Project Scenario Peak
 25 Month Average Daily Rail Volumes by Segment, Trains per Day..... 4-97

26 4-8 BNSF San Bernardino Subdivision, from Hobart Yard to San Bernardino,
 27 2026 with Proposed Project Scenario 4-99

28 4-9 BNSF Cajon Subdivision from San Bernardino to Barstow, 2026 with
 29 Proposed Project Scenario 4-103

30 4-10 UP Alhambra Subdivision from Los Angeles Transportation Center (LATC)
 31 to Colton Crossing, 2026 with Proposed Project Scenario (Excluding
 32 Segment that Is Combined with UP LA Subdivision)..... 4-105

33 4-11 UP Los Angeles Subdivision from East Los Angeles Yard to West Riverside
 34 Junction, 2026 with Proposed Project Scenario (Excluding Segment that Is
 35 Combined with UP Alhambra Subdivision) 4-107

1 4-12 Combined UP Alhambra and LA Subdivisions in Pomona and Montclair
 2 Area, 2026 with Proposed Project Scenario.....4-109

3 4-13 UP Yuma Subdivision from Colton Crossing to Indio, 2026 with Proposed
 4 Project Scenario4-110

5 5-1 Minority and Low-Income Populations 5-4

6 5-2 Minority and Low-Income Characteristics in the Vicinity of the Proposed
 7 Project Site (2010 Census)..... 5-5

8 5-3 Summary of Disproportionate Effects on Minority and Low-Income
 9 Populations from the Proposed Project and Alternatives.....5-39

10 6-1 Summary of Proposed Project and Alternatives at Full Buildout (2026) 6-2

11 6-2 Summary of CEQA Significance Analysis by Alternative 6-3

12 6-3 Comparison of Alternatives to the Proposed Project..... 6-4

13 6-4 Summary of NEPA Significance Analysis by Alternative 6-7

14 6-5 Comparison of Alternatives to the NEPA Baseline 6-7

15 7-1 Total Civilian Employment by County (1992–2012) 7-4

16 7-2 Total Civilian Employment Projection by County and City (2020–2035) 7-5

17 7-3 Unemployment Rate (%) by County (1993–2012)..... 7-6

18 7-4 Total Farm and Non-Farm Employment for Los Angeles County, California
 19 (1990–2010)..... 7-7

20 7-5 Distribution of Direct Cargo Jobs by Place of Residency for the Port of Los
 21 Angeles 7-11

22 7-6 Occupational Breakdown (%) by Place of Residence (Zip Code Area) 2007–
 23 2011 5-Year Estimate (employed civilian population 16 years and over)..... 7-13

24 7-7 Household and Family Income by Source of Income by County, 2007–2011
 25 5-Year Estimate 7-14

26 7-8 Household and Family Income by Source of Income by Zip Code, 2007–
 27 2011 5-Year Estimate 7-15

28 7-9 Population by Region, County, and Local Jurisdictions (1990–2010) 7-16

29 7-10 Population Projections for Region and County (2010–2030)..... 7-17

30 7-11 Housing Characteristics (%) between 2007 and 2011 7-19

31 7-12 Existing Home Sale Prices by County (2002–2012) 7-22

32 7-13 New Home Sale Prices by County (2002–2012) 7-22

33 7-14 Average Home Sale Prices by Community (2001–2012)..... 7-25

1 7-15 Port Industry Activities Associated with Cargo Movement 7-31

2 7-16 Proposed Project: Direct and Secondary Construction Employment Over the
3 Two-Year Construction Period 7-38

4 7-17 Proposed Project: Net Direct and Secondary Long-Term Operations
5 Employment..... 7-39

6 7-18 Proposed Project: Gross Direct and Secondary Operations Employment 7-40

7 7-19 Alternative 1: Gross Direct and Secondary Operations Employment 7-43

8 7-20 Alternative 2: Direct and Secondary Construction Employment Over the
9 Construction Period 7-45

10 7-21 Alternative 2: Gross Direct and Secondary Operations Employment 7-45

11 7-22 Alternative 3: Direct and Secondary Construction Employment Over the
12 Two-Year Construction Period 7-48

13 7-23 Alternative 3: Net Direct and Secondary Operations Employment 7-48

14 7-24 Alternative 3: Gross Direct and Secondary Operations Employment 7-48

15 7-25 Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for
16 Socioeconomics Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives 7-50