1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	PACIFIC L.A. MARINE TERMINAL LLC
8	PIER 400, BERTH 408 PROJECT
9	DRAFT SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
10	SUBSEQUENT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
11	
12	PUBLIC HEARING
13	SAN PEDRO, CALIFORNIA
14	JUNE 26, 2008
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	REPORTED BY REGINA L. GARRISON, CSR NO. 12921
23	
24	
25	

1	I N D E X													
2	PUBLIC HEARING 4													
3														
4	PUBLIC COMMENTS PAGE													
5	Clay Sandidge 23													
6	Gary Gregory 24													
7	Hud Warren 26													
8	Joel Thurwachter 27													
9	Larry Keller													
10	Camilla Townsend 29													
11	Tracey Chavira													
12	Dan Hoffman													
13	Bill Walles													
14	Charlie Rico													
15	Alexander Pugh													
16	John Schafer													
17	Peter Warren													
18	Eddie Barnes 40													
19	Hamish List 41													
20	Larry Henderson 42													
21	Kathleen Woodfield 43													
22	Fran Siegel 46													
23	Daniel Nord 48													
24	David Otterstein 51													
25	Janet Gunter													

1	PUBLI	C COMM	MENTS	(CO	NTI	NUE	ED)					P.	AGE
2		Bill I	yte .			•	•		•			•	55
3		Alexar	ndro (Grac	ia	•							56
4		Tom Mc	xley			•	•		•			•	57
5		Terry	Marti	in		•	•		•			•	58
6													
7													
8													
9													
10													
11													
12													
13													
14													
15													
16													
17													
18													
19													
20													
21													
22													
23													
24													
25													

```
2
         and thank you for adjusting your schedules a little.
 3
         Sorry for starting a little late here. Thank you for
         coming. I want to welcome you to the Pacific L.A.
 5
        Marine LLC, Pier 400, Berth 408 Project.
 6
                   This is the public meeting for the draft
 7
         EIR/EIS. A lot of you probably know it as either
 8
         "Pacific Energy" or "Plains." It's the same thing.
 9
         This is the oil -- crude oil tanker on Pier 400.
10
                   So, again, welcome. I'm going to
         introduce -- my name is Lena Maun-DeSantis. I'm here
11
12
         for the Port of Los Angeles. I'm going to introduce
13
         the Corps, the Army Corps of Engineers, Colonel
14
         Magness, to give a little presentation for us tonight.
                   COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Lena.
15
                   And good evening, everyone. Oh, I'm not on.
16
17
         Good evening. Whoa. I don't think I need the mic.
18
                   I'm Colonel Tom Magness, and I am the
19
         district commander of the Los Angeles District of the
20
         U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and -- I'll put it back
21
         this far, if that still works -- and I do want to
22
         apologize for starting late. It's not my personal
23
         standard.
```

MS. MAUN-DESANTIS: Hi everybody. Welcome

1

24

25

On behalf of the Corps, I would like to

welcome you all to this meeting, in which we are also

conducting in Spanish as a courtesy to you, the
interested public. And I'm going to read from prepared
remarks, because we have found, through a number of
these, that it's best that we stay to the script, and
then we'll have further opportunities to improvise as
we go down the road.

As you know, the Port of Los Angeles has applied to my agency for a permit to construct a marine crude oil terminal at Berth 408 on Pier 400, including wharves, tank farms and pipelines. Some of the project's facilities would also be constructed on Pier 300 and the mainland.

This is a supplemental action to the creation and use of the Pier 400 landfill in the outer harbor, which was addressed in the 1992 deep-draft environmental impact statement and environmental impact report. The joint supplemental environmental impact statement and subsequent environmental impact report, which you are currently reviewing, addresses the need for a deep-draft liquid bulk terminal on Pier 400 as identified in the 1992 environmental document.

Under our Federal Permit Program, the Corps of Engineers is responsible for regulating dredge and fill activities in waters in the United States, including activities that may affect navigation. The

Port's proposed activities at Berth 408 are regulated under both Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and

Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act.

Federal actions, such as Section 404 and

Section 10, permit decisions are subject to compliance
with a variety of federal environmental laws.

Consequently, the Corps has a responsibility to
evaluate the environmental impacts that would be caused
by the proposed project prior to making a permit
decision.

In meeting its regulatory responsibilities, the Corps is neither a project proponent nor an opponent. In addition to evaluating the environmental direct, indirect and cumulative impacts of the Port's proposed project, the Corps must determine whether the proposed project is the least environmentally damaging, practicable alternative that meets the overall project purpose.

Also, no permit can be granted if we find that the proposal is contrary to the public interest. The public interest determination requires a careful weighing of those factors relevant to the particular project. The project's benefits must be balanced against its reasonably foreseeable detriments.

For purposes of the testimony I will hear

- tonight, I will concentrate on issues specifically
- 2 related to the Port's proposed Pacific L.A. Marine
- 3 Terminal LLC Crude Oil Terminal at Berth 408 on
- 4 Pier 400.
- 5 At this public hearing, the Corps is
- 6 requesting input from the general public concerning
- 7 specific physical, biological and human-use factors
- 8 that should be evaluated in greater detail as part of
- 9 the final supplemental environmental impact statement
- 10 and subsequent environmental impact report in the Corps
- 11 permit action for the proposed project.
- 12 The Corps would like to emphasize that we
- will carefully consider all comments that we receive
- for the proposed project, and they will be given full
- 15 consideration as part of my final permit decision.
- Some speakers will be opposed to the project, while
- 17 others will be in favor. I hope and expect that you
- 18 will respect opposing views and allow speakers to make
- 19 their statements without interference.
- 20 Following this hearing, all parties will be
- 21 given until July 29th to provide any written testimony
- 22 or rebuttals.
- 23 Ms. Lena Maun-DeSantis, from the Port of
- Los Angeles, will now provide a 10- to 15-minute
- 25 presentation on the project. Following this

- 1 presentation, I will discuss how we will take oral
- 2 testimony from you this evening.
- 3 Until then, if you know you would like to
- 4 speak tonight, please fill out a speaker card and give
- 5 it to one of the Corps or Port staff at the desk. And
- I think you've already figured out how to make that
- 7 process work. If you need a card, please do all you
- 8 can, raise your hand. We do not want to miss anyone's
- 9 opportunity to speak. And this will help us then
- 10 transition to the public-input session.
- 11 So, Ms. Lena, please give us your
- 12 presentation.
- MS. MAUN-DESANTIS: Thank you.
- 14 I'm just going to speak from over -- speak
- from over here -- although this doesn't seem to be
- 16 working, so maybe not. Oh, there we go -- so you all
- 17 can see the presentation a little bit better.
- 18 Again, I'm Lena Maun-DeSantis. I'm the Port
- 19 of Los Angeles CEQA supervisor of the CEQA program for
- 20 the Port of Los Angeles in the environmental division,
- 21 and I also am the project manager for this EIR/EIS, on
- the EIR side.
- 23 I also want to introduce Spencer MacNeil,
- 24 who, from the Corps, is the project manager on the EIS
- 25 side. This is a joint document, but we would -- as the

- 1 Colonel has alluded to, we do need permits from the
- 2 Army Corps of Engineers to go forward with this
- 3 project. So we are --
- 4 COLONEL MAGNESS: Excuse me, Ms. Lena. I
- 5 want to apologize for that. I should have introduced
- 6 my right-hand man. He is the person who is my project
- 7 manager, and I am negligent in doing so. This is
- 8 Dr. Spencer MacNeil, and he is my project manager for
- 9 this project, and he's obviously very close to the
- 10 input that you've already been provided in the overall
- 11 project.
- 12 I also wanted to introduce Ms. Regina
- Garrison, who probably never enters her own name into
- 14 the public record, but she is our court reporter. And
- as we go through the course of tonight, be mindful of
- the fact that, as we speak, she's typing. And should
- 17 we need to take a break in order for her to stretch her
- 18 fingers, we'll provide accommodations for that.
- 19 Thank you, Regina.
- Go ahead, Ms. Lena.
- MS. MAUN-DESANTIS: Thank you.
- 22 So I'll go through a quick presentation, an
- overview of the project, and then we'll get into the
- 24 public comments.
- 25 So this is a draft subsequent and

supplemental EIR/EIS. This is the -- it's a NEPA/CEQA term of art, but basically both allude to the fact that there was a document, the deep-draft navigational impact report, EIR/EIS, in 1992 that established the land and talked -- on Pier 400 -- and talked about an oil terminal out there. So we are using that document as the basis for the document that we're doing. We do fully analyze the construction and operation, though, of this marine terminal. So there's a couple of key features for this project. The project is full build-out -- full

project. The project is full build-out -- full build-out, and we assume that there will be a lease starting in 2010. So there's a bit of construction that will have to come first. It's not like one of our existing container terminals, where there's already container terminals on the ground, and they'll kind of do the construction while they're already operating this one. There needs to be full construction.

There's actually nothing out there right now, besides land, of course.

So there's two aspects of this project: One is Berth 408, the marine terminal, and then the other is that there are at least some tank farms and pipelines, and those will be on Pier 300. So the marine terminal will be at 400. There will be some

- 1 pipelines connecting it to some tank farms on Pier 300.
- So, on Berth 408, there's some wharf
- 3 construction and then terminal construction. That's
- 4 the first part of the project. We'll have two storage
- 5 tanks, plus a surge tank, and then buildings and pumps.
- 6 So the ship will come in. It will offload its -- and
- 7 it will offload its crude into these holding tanks, and
- 8 then they will get moved to Pier 300.
- 9 Again, there will be a 30-year lease starting
- in 2010. There will be AMP facilities on the wharf,
- 11 and there will be a lead-certified administration
- 12 building.
- 13 The tank farm and pipelines, this will be on
- Pier 300, and I'll show you -- there's a figure over
- there, a big blowup, so you can look at it after the
- meeting, if you would like, and there's also going to
- 17 be a figure in a minute here, but they will have 14
- tanks on Pier 300 in the area where the LAXT domes used
- 19 to be. And again, I'll show you a figure in a minute.
- 20 We'll have some tanks, the farm operations,
- 21 some buildings and structures to operate those tanks,
- and then the pipelines connecting, again, the Pier 400
- site to the Pier 300 site, and the Pier 300 site to
- 24 existing facilities within the Port of L.A. and
- 25 Wilmington. There -- the crude will only travel by

- 1 pipeline off the terminal. There will be no truck or
- 2 rail trips as part of this project.
- 3 So here's the -- the very, very kind of
- 4 hard-to-read figure. Again, I apologize for that.
- 5 Again, there is a blowup over there. You're more than
- 6 welcome at the end -- and in the draft EIR/EIS, there's
- 7 a much better figure, as well as some of the handouts
- 8 we have here today. It's up on our website. I'll get
- 9 into that more in a little while.
- But again, the Berth, it's down on Pier 400.
- 11 That area there, we have the ship that will come in.
- 12 It will offload its crude. That crude will go through
- pipes -- I do actually -- might have a laser. Oops.
- Nope. That's not a laser.
- Anyway, to the terminal that --
- 16 COLONEL MAGNESS: I have one.
- MS. MAUN-DESANTIS: Thank you.
- 18 So, again, you have the -- the ships will
- 19 come in there to the new berth that will need to be
- 20 constructed. It will offload the crude through via
- 21 pipelines to some storage and holding tanks, though
- 22 that crude will go through a pipeline. This will all
- 23 be new pipeline into some new tanks over on Pier 300.
- 24 Again, this is through the LAX -- or what's referred to
- as the "LAXT sites." You might hear that.

And then it would be pumped off via a few pipelines. So, here, it gets to an existing pipeline, and then we are building some more pipeline to the Valero refinery. There's also some connections to

5 Exxon.

So this project, the proposed project, here's a little bit about the throughput. Again, some things to keep in mind, the CEQA and NEPA baseline for this one is 2004, although the NEPA baseline does grow, where the CEQA baseline stays in 2004, nothing's happening. Basically the same idea, though, there's nothing out there right now.

If any of you have been to Pier 400, you know that Maersk is there, but there is not a crude oil facility right now, and there is actually an empty piece of land near the Least Tern nesting site where the storage tanks will go. And the LAXT, again, that's where the other storage tanks will go, because there's nothing there. So it's considered a zero baseline.

Again, maybe different from some of our other terminal EIRs, where you have a baseline of existing operations, and then you're looking at the increase in operations. Here, you're looking at the operations starting, and we need to construct the facility.

25 So the proposed project, again, you have the

- marine terminals and the total tanks, the different

 acreages, and you have the throughput. So it does grow

 over time, and you also have those ship calls that grow
- 4 over time as well.

reduced project.

9

- This draft EIR/EIS analyzes -- it's a

 proposed project, a No Federal Action/No Project, and

 in this case, it was considered to be the same thing,

 because, again, there is nothing out there, and a
- So here's just a quick table of some of the 10 differences in those alternatives. Those are 11 12 the alternatives that were fully analyzed, coequally 13 analyzed, in the draft EIR/EIS. There are also a 14 number of alternatives that may have been thought of or suggested to us or presented to us, or we thought of 15 16 them ourselves, or it came from the Army Corps, that we 17 looked at, and we realized that it didn't meet the 18 project objectives or there was something wrong with 19 them.
- So we discussed them. We just don't

 coequally analyze them. So we actually look at more

 alternatives than this, but these are the three that

 are carried forward in the document with a complete

 analysis.
- 25 So there are a number of impacts after we do

- 1 this. So, again, zero baseline. We look at the
- 2 proposed project. Air quality, we'll have construction
- 3 emissions that will remain significant, even after
- 4 mitigation. We have greenhouse gas emissions and
- 5 criteria pollutants. This project, as a health risk,
- 6 is below our significance level.
- 7 Biological resources, we -- there is a
- 8 potential impact to the California Least Tern. Again,
- 9 I just want to go back to the figure to show you that
- 10 we have a Least Tern nesting site located right here on
- 11 Pier 400. It's a protected site of an endangered
- 12 species. We will be doing everything in our power to
- make sure that this operation does not negatively
- 14 impact those Least Terns. And we can, because we have
- 15 to keep -- we're federally mandated to protect those
- species.
- 17 We do take a little bit of a conservative
- 18 stance, and we say that there is the potential that
- 19 something could happen over the life of construction.
- 20 And because of that, we want to call it "significant."
- 21 There is a number of mitigation measures, though, in
- 22 place to ensure that that doesn't happen.
- 23 Geology, we often find geology to be a risk.
- 24 This is a seismically active area. Any new facility
- 25 would create seismic activity.

- Noise, there will be some construction noise.
- 2 There is -- again, I'm going to flip back. We have a
- 3 big operation over here with Maersk, but we also
- 4 have -- there's a Coast Guard station right here and a
- 5 federal prison right there. So that's really where the
- 6 noise impacts are coming from during construction, or
- 7 that is what they're affecting. Some residences kind
- 8 of closer than our other residences.
- 9 Water quality, again, there is the potential
- 10 for discharges, although we're trying to do everything
- in our power. We cannot preclude that there may be an
- 12 illegal discharge. We call that significant.
- 13 Recreation, there is the possibility of
- 14 spills. Again, there's a number of mitigation measures
- 15 to try to make sure that this never happens, including
- double-hull tankers, but we know that there is a
- possibility for it, so we want to make sure we do
- 18 everything possible to make sure that doesn't happen.
- 19 And then, again, hazards, it's the same
- thing, where there's a potential for possible spills.
- 21 So there are some impacts that are less than
- 22 significant after mitigation, meaning we found an
- 23 impact. It was significant. We applied mitigation,
- 24 and we found that it was not significant any longer.
- 25 Utilities and public service, transportation,

groundwater and soils. Again, transportation is really
looking at the construction, so there will be some
trucks and employees during the construction and then
some employees during the operation. That's where
we're looking at in transportation. There are no truck
trips in the operation or rail trips in the operation

7 with this project. Groundwater and soils are also

8 something we were able to mitigate.

So then there's some less-than-significant impacts, things that we didn't find that were significant, although some of these do actually have some mitigation measures anyway. But we found that they were less significant, even before we applied those mitigation measures. That would be aesthetics, cultural resources and land use, marine vessel transportation and population and housing.

So here's just a few of the mitigation
measures. This is -- it's just a quick overview, a
quick summary. There's -- some of these things get
phased in over time. The document goes through those.

I was just trying to summarize so everybody could get a
quick snapshot.

For instance, under "air quality operation," we have vessel speed reduction. We're going to be requiring low-sulfur fuel for those ships, alternative

- 1 maritime power.
- 2 Some of these rates are not going to be maybe
- 3 the phase-ins that you see with the container
- 4 terminals. This is a slightly different facility.
- 5 It's more of a public facility where the terminal
- 6 operator, Plains, really doesn't have a -- they kind
- 7 of -- a contract with these ships as they're coming in.
- 8 So they don't have a direct relationship with them, so
- 9 they have less control than a container terminal that
- 10 has a terminal operator in a container term- -- with an
- 11 apparent subsidy relationship.
- 12 So -- but they are being required to AMP, and
- we will phase that in. We're asking Plains to ensure
- that that happens, and it's going to be a requirement
- of their lease.
- 16 Slide valves, again, all of these
- ship-mitigation measures, we've had -- Plains, all of
- 18 these will become part of their lease, so they will
- 19 have to make sure this happens to their ships.
- 20 There is a general mitigation measure that
- 21 talks about making things in the future. We look at
- 22 periodic review of new technology. We have some
- greenhouse-gas-mitigation measures. The terminal
- building will be lead. We're asking the tenant to do
- 25 an energy audit periodically to see how -- this is

- actually a good thing for them, too, to see how maybe
- they can use a little less energy, save a little money,
- 3 and we can save some greenhouse gases.
- 4 Solar panels that support -- we're taking
- 5 responsibility for that, and that's part of our
- 6 solar-panel project. We'll be putting some solar
- 7 panels out there, tree plants and recycling, things
- 8 like that, greenhouse gases.
- 9 Hazards, again, we have -- these are just a
- 10 few of them. Again, I'm just putting some highlights
- on here, double-hull vessels. There are single-hull
- 12 vessels out there. We are requiring that all vessels
- 13 that call at this terminal be double-hulled to ensure
- that their spill is less likely to occur.
- 15 Quick-release couplings, under biology,
- 16 again, because we have the Least Tern out there. We
- 17 have probably more biological mitigation measures than
- I've ever seen on a project, to make sure that the
- 19 Least Tern is not impacted by this project or affected
- 20 by this project.
- 21 So we -- the document is out. It's been out
- for about three or four weeks now, and we have about
- 23 three or four weeks left to go. So we're in the middle
- of the public-review period. We -- speaking of
- 25 executive summary, if you would like to call that

- number there, if they're available, you can get those
 for free.
- The full document is also on our website at portoflosangeles.org. The public notice is also on the Army Corps' website. And hard copies, if anyone would like to see a hard copy, we have them available. You can come in, make an appointment. We can get you set up in a room. You can look at it for as long as you want.
- There even is some after-hour possibilities
 with some of our guards downstairs. And then, in our
 local libraries, there are hard copies there as well,
 so if you would like to thumb through a hard copy.
 They are also available for purchase. They're very
 large.

16

17

18

19

- So I'm going to kind of skip this a little bit, because I think Colonel Magness is going to tell us a little bit more about the public-comment period and what we need to do.
- Again, comments are accepted until July 29th.

 The comments must be sent to both Spencer MacNeil and
 Ralph Appy. So if you get to that, Spencer MacNeil is,
 again, the Corps contact, and Dr. Ralph Appy is -- many
 of you probably know who he is. He is the head of our
 environmental division. He would get those for the

- 1 Port of Los Angeles.
- I do have our addresses on another slide, so
- 3 I'll leave that up during the public-comment period of
- 4 this meeting. So I will let Colonel Magness say a
- 5 couple of words, and then I'll conclude the end of the
- 6 presentation.
- 7 COLONEL MAGNESS: And can we assume that the
- 8 names and the addresses are also on the website?
- 9 MS. MAUN-DESANTIS: Yes, they are. They're
- 10 also in the executive summary. They're in the -- if
- 11 anybody has taken one of the summary documents that
- 12 were provided tonight, they're on the last page of that
- as well. So there's a number of places you can find
- 14 that.
- 15 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Lena.
- 16 We will now take oral testimony from members
- 17 of the public. And during this session, speakers will
- 18 be given three minutes to make their comments, and we
- 19 have a system here by which we will monitor three
- 20 minutes. I'm mindful of the fact that the last time we
- 21 did a public hearing, it was the night of the -- one of
- 22 the Laker games, and so no one objected to the
- three-minute time limit.
- 24 But I'll do my best to not be rude in letting
- 25 you know when your time is up, when your three minutes

- 1 is up. But it's only because we want to provide the
- 2 opportunity to everyone to say what they need to say,
- 3 and we're mindful of the fact that there are many ways
- 4 by which they can revise and extend their remarks,
- 5 providing the final written testimony if required.
- 6 Again, if you would like to speak during this
- 7 session, you should fill out a speaker card. I have
- 8 about a dozen. I imagine there's a few more coming,
- 9 and we will not miss anyone.
- 10 All oral or written testimony will become
- 11 part of the administrative record for this permit
- 12 application. Once we have the written transcripts of
- 13 the testimony, they will be published on my regulatory
- 14 division's website, so on the Army Corps' website,
- 15 regulatory division, and you can find this testimony,
- and it will also be on the Port's website.
- 17 As you make your comments, please note that
- 18 you're being timed. There are some lights here.
- 19 Obviously, red is -- means your time is up; yellow
- 20 means you have one minute left. And again, respect
- 21 these time limits so that all who desire to speak have
- the opportunity.
- What I'll do is call up two people. I'll
- 24 call two names, one being the speaker and one being the
- 25 next person, so we can keep the flow going. And I'll

- 1 let everyone know who the next speaker is, so if you
- 2 would put yourself in the ready position and then
- 3 approach the mic when it's your turn.
- 4 So the first two speakers, Clay Sandidge --
- 5 and I apologize if I don't get anyone's name correct.
- 6 First person is Clay Sandidge and followed by Gary
- 7 Gregory.
- 8 MS. MAUN-DESANTIS: I would just like to add
- 9 one more thing before we end the public-comment
- 10 period -- and actually, Colonel Magness reminded me of
- 11 this -- at the transshipping meeting, because I was a
- 12 bad example, but just to speak slowly and clearly,
- please, so we can get all of your comments in the
- 14 transcript.
- And just to reiterate, the transcript will
- 16 be -- it takes about two weeks, but it will be put up
- in the various places so everybody can have access to
- 18 it. Thank you. So this concludes the public -- the
- 19 presentation portion of the meeting. Thank you.
- 20 MR. SANDIDGE: Hi. Good evening. My name is
- 21 Clay Sandidge. I'm here representing Weston Solutions,
- 22 my employer. We employ over 100 people in the local
- area that rely on the port's future growth.
- I am also here representing FuturePorts.
- 25 FuturePorts is an organization, a consortium of

- 1 businesses that all have the same goal, and that goal
- 2 is to -- is for a vibrant and healthy economic and
- 3 environmental future for the ports, which is vital to
- 4 all of us.
- 5 We must meet the Port's environmental
- 6 challenges. But to do this, it is essential that we
- 7 build needed marine and land-side shipping facilities
- 8 and improve our transportation corridors. Without
- 9 these improvements, congestion and air quality will
- 10 only worsen.
- 11 Inaction or delay is not an option. In order
- 12 to green the ports, we must grow them. With this said,
- 13 FuturePorts and Weston Solutions support this project,
- and I want to be on record with that report.
- Thank you.
- 16 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Clay.
- Gary Gregory, please, followed by -- I'm
- sorry. There's -- the name is "Pacific L.A. Terminal,"
- 19 and I don't know who that person is. Is there someone
- 20 here from the Pacific L.A. Terminal as well? The
- 21 business is the Foreign Trade Association. I don't
- 22 have a name. Yes, sir. If you would be next then.
- 23 Gary, please.
- MR. GREGORY: Good evening. It's a pleasure
- 25 to be here. I'm Gary Gregory. I'm here representing

- two organizations: One is the California State Lands

 Commission, marine facilities division -- I'm the

 division chief there -- and the Propeller Club of the

 United States, the Ports of Los Angeles, Long Beach

 Chapter, of which I'm the president, two somewhat
- 5 Chapter, of which I'm the president, two somewhat

6 different organizations.

2.4

I would like to point out that the State

Lands Commission is a regulator of marine oil terminals
in California waters, and we look at this terminal and
say all of our rules and regulations will apply to it,
and they, in fact, mitigate many of the risks
associated with the operation of the terminal.

What this new terminal will bring is a terminal built to new standards. I'll call it our "MOTEM standard," marine oil terminal engineering and maintenance standards. This is a world-class new standard for design construction operation of these terminals, and we believe that it will significantly reduce the risks of moving oil through the Port of Los Angeles, and I think that that's very important.

We do support this project. And the State

Lands Commission will -- will be providing staff

comments before the deadline, formal comments.

From the Propeller Club side, while I turn my hat around here, I would like to say that the industry

- 1 here, the maritime industry, the Propeller Club, is
- 2 both a forum for conversation and information about the
- 3 maritime industry and goods movement, and we try hard
- 4 to promote education of those industries.
- 5 The Propeller Club is in support of this
- 6 project and this program. We believe that it is a
- 7 major step forward for the ports of Los Angeles and
- 8 Long Beach, and we would like to see this project move
- 9 forward. Thank you very much.
- 10 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Gary.
- 11 Yes, sir. And next, please, Joel
- 12 Thurwachter. Joel, if you would be in the ready
- 13 position for next.
- 14 Could you state your name, sir.
- MR. WARREN: Yes. I'm sorry for the error.
- My name is Hud Warren. I own a small
- 17 consulting agency, China West, but I'm here today as
- 18 president of the Foreign Trade Association.
- 19 Foreign Trade Association of Southern
- 20 California boasts over 300 members who live, work
- 21 and/or provide employment to this area. We reviewed
- 22 this project, and we feel that the necessary steps have
- 23 been taken to make sure that this program is
- 24 environmentally sensitive, and we would like to go on
- 25 record as supporting this project. Thank you.

- 1 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Hud.
- 2 Joel -- and, please, Larry Keller. Larry, if
- 3 you would be next, please.
- 4 MR. THURWACHTER: Thank you and good evening.
- 5 My name is Joel Thurwachter. I'm a business
- 6 representative for the International Union of Operating
- 7 Engineers, Local 12. Local 12 covers all of Southern
- 8 California and Southern Nevada with over 23,000
- 9 members, covering truck crane, inspection, survey,
- 10 concrete pumping, rock, sand and gravel, heavy
- 11 equipment operators and drudging.
- 12 I represent the Local 12 members who live and
- 13 work in the harbor area, some 800-members-plus. The
- 14 project not only will create jobs for the area, it will
- provide a safe, environmental-friendly terminal to help
- meet the high demands for California's future energy
- 17 needs.
- 18 Local 12 strongly supports the Pier 400,
- 19 Berth 408 liquid petroleum terminal project. Thank
- 20 you.
- 21 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Joel.
- 22 Larry, please. And then Camilla Townsend, if
- you would be next, please. Camilla.
- MR. KELLER: Good evening, Colonel Magness
- and Corps and Port of Los Angeles staff. Thank you for

- 1 allowing me to speak. My name is Larry Keller, and I'm
- 2 speaking tonight on behalf of the International
- 3 Business Association of Long Beach Chamber of
- 4 Commerce -- where I'm president of the association --
- 5 and my employer Kennedy/Jenks: Engineers and
- 6 Scientists.
- 7 I'm here tonight to speak in support of the
- 8 Pier 400 liquid bulk petroleum terminal. Many have
- 9 spoken already of a need for a new oil terminal in the
- 10 Los Angeles Basin to continue to supply our energy
- 11 needs, as well as to provide jobs.
- 12 But this is the right terminal in the right
- 13 place with the right features to do the job
- 14 effectively. Being located just inside the breakwater
- at Pier 400, it is a short and safe run into the deep
- 16 channel and berth with a minimum of maneuvering or
- 17 hazard.
- 18 The ability to accommodate VLCCs, very large
- 19 crude carriers, means that more oil is delivered on
- 20 fewer ships. This is, again, important as a safety
- feature and for the environment.
- 22 This latter point is very important. Coming
- as it does on the heels of the Port's Clean Air Action
- 24 Plan, the project has committed to unprecedented
- 25 measures to limit air emissions during navigation and

- 1 offloading of product.
- 2 The commitment to achieve this through the
- 3 use of AMP -- that is, cold ironing -- and possibly the
- 4 AMECS bonnet-on-the-stack technology is a first-ever
- 5 effort to deal with air emissions on nondedicated
- 6 routes and tankers -- that is, those that might call
- 7 only once and cannot be especially prepared with
- 8 air-mitigation measures.
- 9 The benefits to our air and to our health in
- 10 the basin are enormous. I applaud the Port and Plains
- 11 American for their hard work and commitment to bringing
- this about, and I strongly encourage you to proceed
- 13 with the project. Thank you very much.
- 14 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Larry.
- 15 Camilla. And after that, please, Tracey
- 16 Chavira, or Chabina? Chavira, Tracey.
- 17 Camilla, please.
- 18 MS. TOWNSEND: Thank you. Good evening. I'm
- 19 Camilla Townsend, president of the San Pedro Chamber of
- 20 Commerce. I'm here this evening on behalf of the
- 21 San Pedro Chamber of Commerce to express our support
- for the Pier 400, Berth 408 petroleum-receiving
- 23 terminal project proposed by Plains All American
- 24 Pipeline.
- 25 As an organization that promotes the economic

- 1 and environmental well-being of San Pedro, we strongly
- 2 believe that this project will benefit our community.
- 3 It is important to keep in mind that as critical as
- 4 this project is for our economy with the myriad
- 5 economic benefits it will bring to both the City of
- 6 Los Angeles and the state of California, the terminal
- 7 is being planned in the most environmentally
- 8 responsible manner possible.
- 9 It will set a new standard globally for
- 10 petroleum projects of this kind. We applaud the
- 11 proponent's commitment to low-sulfur diesel, cold
- ironing and objectives of the San Pedro Bay Clean Air
- 13 Action Plan.
- We are also impressed by the potential
- 15 adoption of new technologies, such as the Advanced
- Marine Emissions Control System. This commitment to
- 17 clean air within the harbor area is unmatched by any
- 18 other petroleum terminal in the Port of Los Angeles.
- 19 For these reasons, we support the
- 20 certification of the supplementary environmental impact
- 21 report, environmental impact study, and urge the prompt
- 22 approval of the project by the Port of Los Angeles.
- 23 Thank you.
- 24 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Camilla.
- 25 Tracey, and then Dan Hoffman, please.

MS. CHAVIRA: Good evening. My name is Tracey Chavira. I'm the director of government affairs at Central City Association. CCA is proud to support the proposed Pier 400, Berth 408 petroleum project and thanks the Board of Harbor Commissioners, Port of Los Angeles and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for bringing the stakeholders together on this important issue.

The existing infrastructure is Los Angeles is inadequate to accommodate the anticipated growth at the port. This project has responsible means to manage that growth while being mindful of the environment and the community.

The project will be a great source of economic stimulus for Southern California, as well as the state of -- excuse me -- for the Southern

California region, as well as the state, by creating 6300 full-time jobs and providing over \$500 million in wages. The state will receive over \$41 million in tax revenue; the County of Los Angeles will receive over \$4 million; and the City of Los Angeles will receive over 7 million.

The project is consistent with the Port's

Clean Air Action Plan and the mayor's vision to make

Los Angeles the greenest big city. Again, CCA strongly

- 1 supports the proposed Pier 400, Berth 408 petroleum
- 2 project and believes that this is the right way to
- 3 efficiently manage anticipated growth while managing
- 4 the impacts related to that growth. Thank you.
- 5 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Tracey.
- 6 Dan Hoffman and Bill Walles. Bill, you would
- 7 be next, please.
- 8 Dan.
- 9 MR. HOFFMAN: Good evening and thank you for
- 10 this opportunity. My name is Dan Hoffman. I'm the
- 11 executive director for the Wilmington Chamber of
- 12 Commerce. And the Wilmington Chamber of Commerce
- 13 supports this new deep-water terminal and recommends
- the approval of the draft SEIS and SEIR.
- The deep-water terminal at Pier 400 will
- accommodate the newest and largest tankers, and there's
- 17 obvious efficiencies to that. It will handle these
- ships in the most environmentally responsible manner
- 19 possible and set a world precedent for the way oil is
- 20 handled.
- 21 Plains All American Pipelines continue to
- look for new environmental efficiencies, such as the
- 23 Bonnet, that will even further eliminate pollutions,
- such as the SOx and NOx.
- This project has significant economic

- 1 benefits. It will create several thousand good-paying
- jobs during the course of construction. And after
- 3 that, 100 direct and indirect jobs.
- 4 There will be, obviously, tax revenues to
- 5 account for the additional -- from additional moneys
- 6 that it brings into Southern California. And when the
- 7 projective construction is completed -- or when the
- 8 project construction is completed, trucks will
- 9 virtually be eliminated, and operational air emissions
- will be offset by 120 percent.
- 11 Please approve the Pier 400 at Berth 408
- 12 project to ensure Southern California's vitality and
- 13 for our own green growth. I would also like to say on
- my own behalf that I have never seen a company so
- 15 thoroughly vet a project. Actually, I don't want to go
- in to anymore meetings for Plains. No offense, Dave.
- 17 But I was -- I actually was at one of the
- 18 meetings where the shipping companies were there, and
- 19 they explained the double-hull ships that would come
- 20 into this facility. They had people who explained the
- 21 pipes, how they're put together and how fuel is going
- 22 to be transferred and the tanks and the storage and how
- that's going to be handled.
- And I think they're a company that's going to
- 25 continue to make these kinds of environmental

- 1 improvements that will continue to make them the leader
- 2 in this industry. Thank you.
- 3 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Dan.
- Next up, Bill. And after Bill, please,
- 5 Charlie Rico.
- 6 MR. WALLES: Good evening, Colonel. I'm
- 7 speaking as a resident, personally. I live in Rancho
- 8 Pales Verde overlooking the port, and I want to go on
- 9 record as saying I support this project 100 percent.
- 10 And the only comments besides the obvious
- 11 that everybody has already said is: I must say that I
- 12 have had the opportunity to work in many parts of this
- 13 country, and I've been very impressed with the level of
- 14 competence displayed by both the Port and Plains and
- 15 the high level of integrity of all the companies
- 16 involved, and I'm just, as a resident, very happy with
- 17 that.
- 18 I think we certainly need the jobs. The jobs
- 19 are important. The area needs it, and that need for
- jobs has been balanced as best as possible with its
- 21 impact on the environment. Thank you.
- 22 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Bill.
- 23 Charlie Rico is the next speaker. And after
- 24 that, please, Alexander Pugh.
- 25 Charlie.

- MR. RICO: Colonel Magness, thank you very
 much for allowing me up here. I am a Wilmington -- I
 am a Wilmington resident. I haven't heard anybody here
 speak from Wilmington.

 I first met Mr. Wright about four years ago,
 - and I thought that this project would have been long, long ago constructed, but there were a few snags that were hit along the way.
- Anyway, I'm here to support the project at

 Pier 400 and Berth 408, and that is really all that I

 have to say. I just want to give my support to Dave

 Wright and Plains All American. Thank you.
- 13 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Charlie.

6

7

8

- 14 Alexander, and after that, please, John
 15 Schafer.
- MR. PUGH: Hi, good evening. My name is

 Alexander Pugh. I'm with the Los Angeles area chamber

 of commerce. I'll keep my comments brief, because most

 of what I'm going to say has already been said.
- The Los Angeles Chamber represents 1600
 member businesses and over 700,000 employees in the
 region. As an organization, we were instrumental in
 the founding of the Port of Los Angeles. And I have to
 say Pier 400 was built partially to accommodate
 large-energy imports for the Los Angeles region.

The Los Angeles region provides energy for
the entire -- almost the entire state of California,

Nevada and Arizona. And projects like this will
significantly improve the quality and throughput, as
well as reliability of oil imports into the region.

Since local and United States sources of o

- Since local and United States sources of oil are declining, it's clear that we're going to need to continue to import into our country. The environmental aspects of this project obviously are excellent and are the best in the world, and so we're very much encouraged by that.
 - And I would just say that Plains All American has been an excellent community partner and has been out working with as many people as they can find to get support for this project. So we're confident they're going to continue to work with us and the broader community at large. Thank you.
- 18 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Alexander.
- John Schafer. After John, please, Peter
 Warren.
- MR. SCHAFER: Okay. Hi, good evening. My
 name is John Schafer. I'm a resident of San Pedro, and
 a lifelong resident, and I'm speaking in favor of this
 project from many concerns, on many levels. And I'm
 going to try to use some unusual ones, because I know

1 you guys have been talking from a lot of aspects.

No. 1, from the environmental aspect, again,

I'm a lifelong resident, and we've -- my family's

suffered from some of the environmental problems that

occurred in the past. But I think what needs to occur

with this project and what this project has done is try

to use the latest technology to set precedents that are

not only going to occur here in Los Angeles but

throughout the world.

But we have to get started. We have to actually have the project as compared to something on paper or something that's theoretical, purely.

Secondly, one of the environmental edicts that are most commonly heard is the same "have a job that's close to home" and also having jobs that pay medical benefits and have -- can improve the quality of life.

I think Plains All American has made a commitment to create jobs that are not only with medical benefits and pensions, but also union. And so the workers there will have the opportunity to make sure that they work in a safe workplace and also in ones that will allow them to benefit their family, as their children are developing, and their spouses and we all get closer to retirement.

- 1 And the bottom line is that San Pedro, as we
- 2 try to make it -- continues to have the, quote,
- 3 unquote, "bedroom community," in other words, where
- 4 people are allowed to have little leagues, sports
- 5 activities near Wilmington, Long Beach, all of the
- above, what's important in order to save on gas and
- 7 everything else is that you're going to have a job
- 8 close to there.
- 9 And I think the Port of L.A. has, in the
- 10 past, a great hub of good jobs, and I think this Plains
- 11 All American project is an example of that.
- 12 So to conclude, I think that this project
- 13 needs, not only to be debated and vetted and so forth,
- 14 but needs to go forward in order for California and
- 15 Los Angeles to be the leader in green, not only in our
- state and our city, but the world. Thank you very
- 17 much.
- 18 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, John.
- 19 Peter Warren and then Tracey Chavira. Tracey
- 20 Chavira. We've already -- I'm sorry, Peter.
- MR. WARREN: No, no. That's fine.
- 22 COLONEL MAGNESS: She filled out two cards.
- 23 She was excited.
- 24 Eddie Barnes, please, would be on deck.
- 25 Peter.

1 MR. WARREN: Good evening. I'm the chair of
2 the Port and Environment Committee of the Coastal
3 San Pedro Neighborhood Council. We are going through
4 our process and will be submitting our comments in
5 written form.

2.4

What I'm here tonight to do is to observe, for people who are veterans of these events, this one is poorly attended. There's a good reason it's poorly attended. There are between 500 and 700 people two or three miles from here at a conflicting hearing on the Ponte Vista project.

The Costal San Pedro Neighborhood Council, when we became aware of the conflicting dates, communicated with the city and sought to get them to change the date of that planning department hearing.

We were unsuccessful in that.

I would urge the Port and the Corps to hold another evening hearing such as this to gather testimony. People who are familiar with community members, who typically turn out on both sides of this issue, cannot help but be aware that dozens, scores, perhaps hundreds of people who would have something to say about this and would like to testify about this are not being -- you know, unfortunately, are elsewhere. Thank you.

- 1 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Peter.
- 2 Eddie Barnes.
- 3
 I'm sorry, Eddie. Just a minute, please.
- 4 MR. BARNES: It's okay.
- 5 COLONEL MAGNESS: I already did that one.
- 6 And then Hamish List, and then we'll take, like, two
- 7 minutes for Regina to stretch her fingers.
- 8 Eddie, please.
- 9 MR. BARNES: Thank you. I'm Eddie Barnes,
- 10 southern regional director of the State Building &
- 11 Construction Trades Council of California. I represent
- 12 350,000 construction men and women in the building
- 13 trades industry.
- 14 I'm also the past business manager of Local
- Union 250, steamfitters and pipefitters, Local Gardena,
- 16 which does all your pipeline work from crude oil to gas
- 17 pipeline and then inception. I personally have been
- 18 involved in the project in that position, and I'm here
- 19 tonight to support this Pacific L.A. Marine Terminal.
- 20 I'm glad to see that the EIRs and SEIRs have
- 21 been so thorough. It has been six years to get through
- 22 this process to get to this point. I'd like to
- 23 compliment the Port in that regard.
- And as the industry knows and as you've heard
- 25 from the speakers in front of us, this is from the

- labor side of it, the jobs are not only needed, they
- will keep the community strong with a vibrant
- 3 workforce, as well as a skilled workforce from the
- 4 labor unions that are here.
- 5 And I'm here just to say the State Building
- 6 Trades, which represents 350,000 construction members
- 7 in Southern California and Northern California, state
- 8 of California, are in support of this project.
- 9 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Eddie.
- 10 Hamish, am I saying that right?
- 11 MR. LIST: Hamish.
- 12 COLONEL MAGNESS: Hamish.
- MR. LIST: Good evening. My name is Hamish
- 14 List. I have lived and worked in many countries around
- the world, and I would like to say that I support this
- 16 project because of the impressive research that has
- gone into developing what is going to be an
- 18 environmentally appropriate design.
- 19 And I would also like to say that I support
- 20 it as a local resident. I live and work in this area
- 21 with my family for a small business enterprise. And
- once again, I support this. Thank you.
- 23 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Hamish.
- 24 At this time, we're going to take two
- 25 minutes. Please stretch in place, and I'll call the

- 1 group to order, and we will continue. It looks like
- 2 we've got about a dozen more. And I just ask that you
- 3 not leave, if you could, and be respectful to the
- 4 people that still want to say their piece.
- 5 And like I said, we have about a dozen more,
- 6 so, please, two minutes. I'll put myself on the clock
- 7 here.
- 8 (Recess.)
- 9 COLONEL MAGNESS: Okay. We can get started
- 10 again, please. Thank you, everyone.
- 11 Please, could Larry Henderson -- Larry, could
- 12 you come forward and speak to us. And Kathleen
- Woodfield, if you would be next, please.
- 14 Larry.
- MR. HENDERSON: Hi, good evening. Thank you
- for allowing me to speak. Larry Henderson with IBEW,
- 17 Local 11. That's International Brotherhood of
- 18 Electrical Workers. We represent 8,000 members in
- 19 Los Angeles County, 1600 apprentices, and we're totally
- in support of this Pier 400 project.
- 21 It's a job opportunity for local hiring
- 22 apprenticeship-wise and other people that have
- 23 electrical experience. My position is organizer in
- 24 Local 11, San Pedro area. So we're -- we're totally in
- support of this, we need the work, and the country

- 1 needs the terminal. And thank you very much.
- 2 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Larry.
- 3 Kathleen, Kathleen Woodfield, and, please,
- 4 Fran Siegel. And, Fran, if you would be next.
- 5 MS. WOODFIELD: Good evening. I would like
- 6 to speak to the actual environmental impact report.
- 7 And one of my concerns is that the suggestion -- the
- 8 idea of having the ship berth within the elbow, there,
- 9 was rejected as being coequally evaluated, and I think
- 10 that's a problem. I think that there would be great
- 11 benefits for --
- 12 COLONEL MAGNESS: Would you like to use my
- 13 pointer?
- 14 MS. WOODFIELD: I wouldn't know how to do
- 15 that.
- 16 But do you see how they have the berthing on
- 17 the left?
- 18 COLONEL MAGNESS: Here you go. Just push
- 19 that button.
- MS. WOODFIELD: Okay.
- 21 -- have the berthing on the left here. I
- 22 would like to see an evaluation of berthing it here,
- 23 which was discounted so much so that it wasn't even
- 24 evaluated coequally in the EIR.
- 25 But what we think might be beneficial to

- doing that is containing a possible spill, having it
- 2 further away from the community and, aesthetically,
- 3 also having it away from the community. But there's
- 4 other reasons to have it further away from the
- 5 community, and that's noise and air impacts and all
- 6 kinds of other impacts.
- 7 So one of the reasons it was dismissed for
- 8 coequal evaluation is some sort of turning radius. But
- 9 a coequal evaluation would allow for ideas, like maybe
- 10 pulling back on, you know, the rock -- what is that --
- 11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The breakwater.
- 12 MS. WOODFIELD: -- the breakwater -- thank
- 13 you -- the rock breakwater. And other things could be
- 14 considered.
- 15 Also, I don't agree that there's no aesthetic
- impact. I think the methodology for determining that
- 17 there's no aesthetic impact is faulty. There is a
- 18 decision that since there is already a berth and the
- ship comes there, you know, twice a year, that means
- 20 it's the same as having a ship there, you know, every
- 21 day, but I don't agree with that.
- 22 I also think that this is an incompatible use
- 23 with Maersk terminal. And I think if you look at the
- 24 port master plan, you'll find that this was not
- 25 supposed to be a mixed-use situation here. This was

- 1 supposed to be a liquid bulk, even dry bulk, relocation
- 2 site.
- And so I think that needs to be taken into
- 4 consideration, too, because this is the last remaining
- 5 area where a relocation could occur, and it's being --
- it's being absorbed by a new project.
- 7 I would also like to say that relocating
- 8 creates lots of jobs. You know, I think when people
- 9 talk about jobs, they think that, you know, this is the
- only way you can have a job, is if you have this
- 11 project. There's all kinds of alternative types of
- projects that can bring many, many, many jobs.
- And I would just like to say that I, too,
- 14 like David Wright -- you know, if this was about David
- Wright, hey, count me in, you know. But I -- this is a
- man who has gained a lot of my respect, and I know
- 17 they're doing a lot of environmental mitigations, but
- 18 this is a zero-base project. There's no baseline here.
- 19 It's a zero baseline, so everything is going to cause
- 20 more pollution.
- 21 Thank you. I know my time's up.
- 22 COLONEL MAGNESS: Kathleen, first of all,
- 23 don't -- what did you do with my pointer?
- MS. WOODFIELD: I left it right here.
- 25 COLONEL MAGNESS: And could you tell me,

- because I can't tell from the card, what your
- 2 organization or affiliation is?
- 3 MS. WOODFIELD: San Pedro Peninsula
- 4 Homeowners Collation.
- 5 COLONEL MAGNESS: Okay. Thank you.
- 6 MS. WOODFIELD: Thank you.
- 7 COLONEL MAGNESS: Fran, and after Fran,
- 8 please, Daniel Nord.
- 9 MS. SIEGEL: Hi. My name is Fran Siegel, and
- 10 I'm a community member. I'm also a faculty member at
- 11 Cal State Long Beach, but I'm really here by myself.
- 12 And the thing, really, is that I have a series of
- 13 questions sort of unrelated that I would like to ask.
- 14 First of all, I'm not really sure why the
- 15 community is asked to give a response if the community
- is not here, and the event was scheduled at the same
- 17 time as another event where there's hundreds of
- 18 community members, and I would like that for the
- 19 record. So I appreciate that Peter Warren brought this
- 20 up.
- 21 I also feel, after hearing the description of
- 22 the project, that I'm going to be one of the endangered
- 23 species that are described. I -- you know, it really
- seems very sad, and I wonder when the area gets
- 25 declared uninhabitable.

- I also wondered about an evacuation plan, you
- 2 know. And, right now, there's, you know, two roads
- 3 that go into town. So I wonder, you know, if things
- 4 happen, how we get out of here.
- 5 And also, Exxon Mobil, that was brought up,
- 6 obviously has a very bad track record. And in fact, I
- 7 think it was today, the court case that they won and
- 8 ordered not to pay the fisherman anymore than \$15,000
- 9 for their, you know, not being able to fish in the area
- of Alaska that had the oil spill.
- I also questioned the comment "In order to
- 12 green the port, we must grow it." I find that really
- 13 strange. I think that greening the port obviously has
- 14 to happen by curtailing and being really responsible
- for some of the business that's already here.
- 16 And then my last question -- well, actually,
- 17 I have two questions. The last -- second-to-last
- 18 question, is about job -- well, three questions.
- 19 Sorry.
- 20 COLONEL MAGNESS: In a minute.
- MS. SIEGEL: Okay.
- 22 -- is about job creation. It sounds like the
- jobs that might be created are really short-term, not
- long-term. I also wonder about all the people that
- 25 spoke on behalf of businesses, how many of them

- 1 actually live in the area of San Pedro and Wilmington.
- 2 And I also, lastly, want to know where I go to sell my
- 3 house. Thank you.
- 4 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Fran.
- 5 I hope I said it -- is it Daniel?
- 6 MR. NORD: Yes.
- 7 THE COURT: Okay. Daniel Nord. And then
- 8 after Daniel, please, David Otterstein.
- 9 MR. NORD: Hi. I'm here to speak for myself.
- 10 I'm a community resident, and for my neighbor, who's at
- 11 the other meeting, but I will not take two full
- 12 people's time.
- Despite all the green flag-waving, we have
- 14 before us another massive greenhouse-emitting,
- polluting, quality-of-life-degrading, cancer-causing,
- 16 port industrial complex expansion plan. And as is
- typical, the draft EIR/EIS is full of loopholes,
- 18 contradictions, poorly founded assessments and bias.
- 19 For example, as typical of these EIRs, the
- 20 cumulative impacts of the project are not accurately
- 21 and clearly addressed. Directly related to this
- 22 project is significantly increased refinery production
- and output all around us in this densely populated
- 24 area. The increase in airborne toxins due to increased
- 25 local refinery output is a serious health hazard and

1 must be clearly quantified and specifically addressed.

And the continued degradation of this

community with associated blight, quality-of-life

issues, noise, the potential property devaluation is

not also adequately addressed. It's your

responsibility to do so.

The proposed new Pier 400 supertanker oil terminal consists of offloading facilities for massive oil tankers with a storage tank farm in the heart of the port, all proposed to be built in an earthquake-prone area. I simply don't understand this.

All, according to the SEIR, will cause significant negative environmental impacts, toxic emissions, odors, et cetera, all posing significant security risks. Again, we do not have an adequate evacuation plan, and all apparently sanctioned by the mayor's office, while continuing to wave the green flag and heavily lobbied for by business interests.

Building massive oil infrastructure at this point in our history is backwards thinking. Proponents are selling it by saying it may help gas prices locally, but most folks realize that oil prices are not set in San Pedro, and our lives and health and the health of our families are worth more than a few cents a year. And after the construction is over, there will

- only be a relatively few full-time jobs.
- 2 The Pier 400 supertanker oil terminal was
- 3 conceived for one main purpose. It's a business
- 4 proposition to create a turnstile for oil imports so
- 5 that a private company can charge a fee to get oil from
- 6 tankers through its privately owned pipeline to local
- 7 refineries.
- 8 The private company profits; the City profits
- 9 from tax revenues; and once again, San Pedro and local
- 10 residents will pay with their health and quality of
- 11 life. It's nothing more than that, except more toxic
- 12 pollution, more stench, more asthma, more premature
- 13 death, more degradation and blight in our community and
- 14 more backwards oil infrastructure at a time when we
- should be leaders investing in sustainable directions.
- Isn't this ironic that this is called a
- "crude oil terminal." We need you to put your money
- 18 where your mouth is. This project is a travesty, part
- of the ongoing massive and not-very-green expansion
- 20 plan for the Port of Los Angeles.
- 21 COLONEL MAGNESS: And --
- MR. NORD: To quote Nancy Reagan, "Just say
- 23 'no.'"
- 24 COLONEL MAGNESS: I'm going to have to say
- 25 "no" to any further time. Your time is up. Can you go

- 1 to your final statement there, please.
- 2 MR. NORD: Yes.
- 3 We have a fundamental right to breathe and be
- 4 spared from more environmental injustice in our
- 5 neighborhood. We need to move in a healthy and
- 6 sustainable direction. Help us out here, please. If a
- 7 project like this moves forward, particularly without
- 8 adequate assessment of off-port impacts, it's clear
- 9 that the Port's Cleaner Action Plan is little more than
- 10 a PR-driven mockery of the public. Thank you.
- 11 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Daniel.
- 12 David. After David, please, Janet Gunter.
- MR. OTTERSTEIN: Good evening. My name is
- 14 David Otterstein. I'm the assistant executive director
- of PIPE, Piping Industry Progress and Education. I'm
- here to stand in support of this project.
- 17 I'm impressed with the -- that this could be
- one of the most environmentally sensitive facilities of
- 19 this kind built in the world. I think that's extremely
- 20 important, and I think that evidence has been proven
- 21 tonight.
- 22 Also, I would like to talk about the jobs and
- the economy. There's going to be a tremendous influx
- of capital into our economy with this project. I have
- 25 over 400 members that live in this area that would love

- 1 to work on this project close to their homes. These
- 2 are trained apprentices and journeyman in the plumbing
- 3 and pipefitting industry, and a job in this area would
- 4 be -- would be well-welcomed to them.
- 5 Any influx in our economy around here is a
- 6 positive note. When people get good jobs with health
- 7 insurance, benefits and pensions, that money is paid
- 8 back tenfold in the community itself. We stand in
- 9 support of this project. Thank you.
- 10 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, David.
- 11 Janet. After -- well, David, you filled out
- 12 two cards. After Janet is William Lyte.
- MS. GUNTER: Good evening. First of all, I
- 14 want to commend Daniel Nord on his comments. I'm with
- the San Pedro and Peninsula Homeowners' Coalition.
- I will begin my testimony this evening by
- 17 first stating that, because the Port of L.A.'s master
- 18 plan is solidly out of compliance by its lack of
- 19 performance in establishing Pier 400 energy island as
- 20 directed in 1979, any and all permits since that breach
- 21 are inappropriate -- are inappropriate and flagrantly
- 22 illegal.
- 23 Amendment 12 to the port master plan
- specifies that 195 acres of Pier 400 will be a
- 25 petroleum terminal. The 15 acres assigned to this

- 1 project will not make that statement true.
- 2 Accordingly, the master plan is out of date. This
- 3 means that the Port's authority to issue coastal
- 4 development permits has lapsed. This project cannot be
- 5 approved until the project conforms to the master plan.
- The 1992 draft EIR/EIS, the deep-draft
- 7 EIR/EIS, specifies in great detail that one of the main
- 8 purposes of Pier 400 was to provide a remote location
- 9 to which the inappropriately located hazardous liquid
- 10 bulk facilities could be located away from the
- 11 community. The port attempts to get around this by
- saying that the risk management plan in Amendment 3
- 13 supersedes a later Pier 400 authorization in
- 14 Amendment 12. This rationale requires us to completely
- 15 disconnect with common logic.
- 16 It was interesting this evening to see Larry
- 17 Keller here, who is acting as director of the Port,
- 18 when the transformation from energy island, as a liquid
- 19 bulk facility, was changed to all container use. At
- 20 that point in time, it was minimized. Not only -- not
- 21 only the executive director, but the Army Corps of
- 22 Engineers minimized the use of petroleum, said there
- was no need for petroleum.
- So here we are now today, taking this paltry
- 25 15 acres and trying to make the dreams of this whole

- 1 Pier 400 energy island come true.
- 2 The other insulting thing is here we are in a
- 3 world where all we can do is talk about alternative
- 4 technology, alternative fuels, and we're going to
- 5 invest another -- all this money, all these millions
- 6 and millions of dollars into a fuel -- fossil fuel. I
- 7 mean, we're going the wrong way. It doesn't make any
- 8 sense.
- 9 Also, when you look at crude terminal oil --
- 10 oil terminal, it's already using on this plan's
- 11 extensive pipelines. That doesn't require terminal
- 12 space at all, really. There's no reason why this -- if
- 13 we needed this and it's a temporary use, because we'll
- 14 have alternatives in the future, it can't be on a
- 15 floating terminal. It can't be remotely located, so
- 16 that when it becomes obsolete, you don't have the
- 17 investment of that land. And maybe you've gone to wind
- or, pray tell, maybe you've gone to honoring the master
- 19 plan by relocating some of the hazardous stuff we're
- 20 already living with.
- 21 Thank you.
- 22 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Janet.
- 23 William. After William Lyte is Alexandro
- 24 Gracia.
- MR. GRACIA: Yes.

MR. LYTE: Yes, good evening. My name is

Bill Lyte. I'm the president of the Harbor Association

of Industry and Commerce. I'm also on the board of the

San Pedro Chamber and the Port of Los Angeles Community

Advisory Committee. I'm here representing the Harbor

Association.

2.4

The Harbor Association's hundred-plus members employ thousands of local residents in the engineering, transportation, energy and maritime sectors. We have followed this project since its inception and have looked forward since that time to the day we could speak here in support of this project.

The Harbor Association feels that this project is pivotal to the economic well-being of our region with our nearly 20 million residents, whose security and livelihoods are dependent upon the daily availability of petroleum products, which this project will help to ensure. Therefore, we strongly support the approval of this project.

On another subject, we are also working very closely with the San Pedro and Wilmington chambers to grow a major green technology industry right here in San Pedro, and our No. 1 supporter is David Wright. So we are working closely with him and feel that we can roll out the first wave of green technologies that can

- 1 be used in facilities like this all over the world, by
- 2 employing people and growing industry right here in
- 3 San Pedro and Wilmington. Thank you.
- 4 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Bill.
- 5 Alexandro -- am I saying that right?
- 6 MR. GRACIA: Yeah. Gracia.
- 7 COLONEL MAGNESS: Gracia.
- 8 And Tom Moxley, please, if you would be next.
- 9 MR. GRACIA: Good evening, Colonel. My name
- 10 is Alexandro Gracia from the Chamber of Commerce -- for
- 11 the Regional Hispanic Chamber of Commerce of Long
- 12 Beach, which is a nonprofit organization. I'm here to
- show support to the Plains All American Pipeline Pier
- 14 400 project.
- I have three essential messages to say on
- behalf of the Plains All American Pipeline Pier 400
- 17 project: One, supply California -- California's oil
- supply is drying up. Pier 400 will help Los Angeles
- 19 get the oil it needs.
- 20 Two, environment: Pier 400 will be one of
- 21 the most efficient, least-polluting facilities of its
- 22 kind in the world. It's in accord with the major Clean
- 23 Air Action Plan. The draft EIR/EIS found that the
- 24 projects refer to the no-build alternative and will
- 25 actually improve the air quality.

Economy: Jobs, jobs, jobs. Labor and 2 business both endorsed, the project has a budget of 3 approximately over \$500 million and will create 4 thousands of high-paying union jobs, boost our economy 5 and keep oil prices as low as possible. 6 Thank you, Colonel. 7 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you -- Alejandro or Alexandro? 8 9 MR. GRACIA: Alexandro. COLONEL MAGNESS: Alexandro. 10 Tom Moxley, please. And I imagine this would 11 12 serve as last call. I have Terry Martin, and unless 13 there are any others, that would be the final one. So 14 Tom Moxley and then Terry Martin. Tom, please. 15 MR. MOXLEY: My name is Tom Moxley, and I am 16 17 president of the L.A./Orange County Building and Construction Trades Council, and I thank you for this 18 19 time to be able to speak in favor of this project. 20 We represent 140,000 men and women in the 21 Building and Construction Trades Council in L.A. and 22 Orange County. Many of them live right here in this 23 area, Bloomington and the surrounding communities. They have children. They provide coaching experience, 24

and they -- then they put out in their community, not

1

25

- only -- not only in work.
- 2 These are jobs that they're looking forward
- 3 to close to home with gas prices the way they are. We
- 4 have followed -- the building trades have followed this
- 5 job for many years ever since David Wright came and
- 6 proposed it to us. We didn't rubber-stamp it
- 7 immediately.
- 8 We looked at his proposal. We built the
- 9 refineries. We looked at the new technology, the
- 10 health and safety of our members who live in the area,
- and we came to the conclusion that this is a project we
- 12 can support just because it was a -- proposed to do it
- on a project labor agreement.
- 14 And a big thing, we looked -- also looked at
- 15 the greening. It's labor who's pushing the green in
- the harbor, along with our activists for green. I grew
- 17 up in Long Beach, grew up here in the ports, and I've
- 18 seen a dramatic change. And we're in favor of this
- 19 project, and we look forward to going forward.
- Thank you for your time.
- 21 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Tom.
- 22 Terry Martin, please.
- 23 MR. MARTIN: Good evening. My name is Terry
- 24 Martin, a union representative for Local 250,
- 25 steamfitters, Los Angeles.

Six years ago, a fellow named David Wright

came to our local union and wanted to show us his

project. In my nine years as an agent, I've never seen

anybody love and fight for a project as hard as David

Wright.

You started this meeting out by saying you have to have prepared statements. Well, I had prepared statements, but by the time I got up here, everything was said, so I threw that away, so I'm just going to wing it.

I think, at the end of this, when this project gets going, we need to come up with a trophy for David Wright for most display of pens. This guy, at every meeting I went to, I get a new pen. I love it.

But again, we have hundreds of projects that we support. And like Brother Moxley said, we have to look at the green issue, too. I live in Belmont Shore, and right by Belmont Shore, there's 13 stacks. You got ADS (phonetic) and you've got Hanes (phonetic) Steam Plant right there. So, you know, I have to live with it, too. Redondo Beach firehouse, they gotta live with it, all the refineries — it's just the way it is here. That's life. But we do have to think of our children, their grandchildren's future.

- 1 With that, Local 250 supports this project.
- 2 The 400 members that David Otterstein from PIPE had
- 3 mentioned are 400 members from Local 250. We have
- 4 20,000 members, but 400 do live in the harbor area, and
- 5 they will have an opportunity, if approved, to work
- 6 this project, thanks to the communication between David
- Wright, the community, the environmental groups,
- 8 business and labor. Thank you.
- 9 COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Terry.
- 10 Folks, I believe that concludes our public
- 11 input by way of oral testimony. I am mindful of the
- 12 fact that the 29th of July is the close of the period
- in which we can entertain written comments, and I would
- 14 invite you to pursue those opportunities to revise and
- 15 extend your own personal remarks in where you think
- 16 there were people who are not able to provide comments
- 17 tonight, solicit theirs and suggest that they make them
- 18 formally through the process that Lena noted earlier.
- 19 I appreciate your comments, and I appreciate
- 20 your consideration for others. I know there's a
- 21 diversity of opinions on this project.
- 22 Fran, I would note that, while I cannot
- 23 account for the zip code for all of the speakers, I can
- 24 account for mine. And I live right there, perhaps
- 25 closer than anyone.

- 1 I'm mindful of the impacts of port 2 development and port projects, and, certainly, I will 3 consider your input and those that we received as we 4 look for the best way to balance the need for economic 5 development, the need for prosperity for people with 6 the mandate for environmental stewardship that the 7 Corps of Engineers has. And you can be assured that 8 all of that will be accounted for before I put a 9 signature on anything.
- I am a neighbor. Port issues affect me and
 my family every day, and I take this responsibility
 very seriously. Thank you for your comments and for
 being here tonight. Thanks.
- MS. MAUN-DESANTIS: I would just like to add a couple more things.
- 16 COLONEL MAGNESS: Sorry about that.
- MS. MAUN-DESANTIS: That's all right.
- I would like to thank you on behalf of the

 Port of Los Angeles for attending as well. I did hear

 a couple of things. Largely, everybody was in support.
- 21 Dr. Appy usually does a nice little wrap-up. I'm not
- going to try to replicate him. He's very good at that.
- 23 I'd fail miserably.
- But we did hear a lot of support for this
 project and the green growth and the jobs. We did also

hear some negative comments tonight. There are some

concerns. I just want to let you know that the Port of

Los Angeles remains committed to alternative fuel and

alternative technology. This project does not replace

5 that commitment.

2.4

This is a way to bring in crude oil that would come in anyway, because there is, in the short-term, a need for oil for many various things, in an environmentally responsible way. It replaces some of the lightering that's going on, which is the larger ships that stay offshore and do the small dirty ships back and forth. It replaces some truck trips where -- so that's how we're viewing this project, the Port of L.A.

That being said, this project does still have to go through the environmental process. We do still need to get everybody's comments, look at those comments, see if, based on those comments, we've done a good job on the document. If we feel that we have, we will bring it to our Board of Harbor Commissioners for certification.

They are the people that will approve the project -- certify the EIR -- that would be the first step -- and approve the project. The next step is that it will go to the Army Corps for their approval.

```
1
                    So there is some more steps in here. We will
 2
         listen everybody's comments, and we would like to thank
         you for participating tonight. We know, especially
 3
         with \ensuremath{\mathsf{--}} in regards that there was a competing meeting
 4
 5
         tonight, it's very appreciative that you showed up.
 6
         Thank you.
 7
                    COLONEL MAGNESS: Thank you, Lena.
 8
                    (Public hearing concluded at 7:31 p.m.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
3	
4	I, Regina L. Garrison, a Certified Shorthand
5	Reporter for the State of California, CSR No. 12921, do
6	hereby certify: That the proceedings were taken before
7	me at the time and place herein named; that the said
8	proceedings were reported by me in shorthand and
9	transcribed through computer-aided transcription, under
10	my direction; and that the foregoing is a true record
11	of the testimony elicited at said proceedings to the
12	best of my ability.
13	
14	I do further certify that I am a disinterested
15	person and am in no way interested in the outcome of
16	this action or connected with or related to any of the
17	parties in this action or to their respective counsel.
18	
19	In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand
20	this, 2008.
21	
22	
23	
24	REGINA L. GARRISON, CSR NO. 12921