

	Page
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	

Chapter 1	Introduction.....	1-1
1.1	Final EIS/EIR Organization	1-1
1.2	Existing Conditions	1-1
1.2.1	Regional Context	1-1
1.2.2	Project Setting	1-2
1.2.3	Project Site and Surrounding Uses	1-2
1.2.4	Historic Use of the Project Site	1-5
1.2.5	Existing Terminal Facilities and Operations	1-6
1.3	Project Purpose	1-10
1.3.1	CEQA Project Objectives.....	1-10
1.3.2	NEPA Purpose and Need	1-11
1.3.3	Federal Scope of Analysis	1-11
1.4	Proposed Project	1-13
1.4.1	Proposed Project Elements	1-13
1.5	Port of Los Angeles Plans and Programs.....	1-21
1.5.1	Clean Air Action Plan (CAAP).....	1-21
1.5.2	Water Resources Action Plan (WRAP)	1-23
1.5.3	Port of Los Angeles Sustainable Construction Guidelines	1-23
1.5.4	Other Environmental Programs	1-23
1.6	Changes to the Draft EIS/EIR	1-27
1.7	References	1-27
Chapter 2	Response to Comments	2-1
2.1	Distribution of the Draft EIS/EIR.....	2-1
2.2	Comments on the Draft EIS/EIR	2-2
2.3	Responses to Comments.....	2-4
2.3.1	Master Responses.....	2-6
2.3.2	Federal Government Comments.....	2-23
2.3.3	State Government Comments	2-49
2.3.4	Regional and Local Government Comments	2-80
2.3.5	Organization Comments	2-103

1 2.3.6 Individual/Company Comments 2-148

2 2.3.7 Draft EIS/EIR Public Hearing 2-160

3 2.4 References 2-189

4 **Chapter 3 Modifications to the Draft EIS/EIR 3-1**

5 3.1 Introduction 3-1

6 3.2 Changes to the Draft EIS/EIR 3-2

7 3.2.1 Changes Made to the Executive Summary 3-2

8 3.2.2 Changes Made to Section 3.2, Air Quality and Meteorology 3-15

9 3.2.3 Changes Made to Section 3.3, Biological Resources 3-57

10 3.2.4 Changes Made to Section 3.5, Greenhouse Gas Emissions 3-58

11 3.2.5 Changes Made to Section 3.6, Ground Transportation 3-128

12 3.2.6 Changes Made to Section 3.10, Noise 3-144

13 3.2.7 Changes Made to Chapter 4, Cumulative Analysis 3-144

14 3.2.8 Changes Made to Chapter 6, Comparison of Alternatives 3-146

15 3.2.9 Changes Made to Appendix B.1, Air Quality
16 Regulations/Methodology and Air Quality and GHG
17 Emission 3-147

18 3.2.10 Changes Made to Appendix B.4, Draft General Conformity
19 Determination 3-179

20 3.2.11 Changes Made to Appendix F.2, Evaluation of Dredged
21 Material Disposal Option 3-182

22 3.3 Additional Appendices 3-182

23

Figures

1			
2			Page
3	Figure 1-1	Regional Location Map	1-3
4	Figure 1-2	Project Vicinity Map	1-4
5	Figure 1-3	Project Site Map	1-7
6	Figure 1-4	Proposed Project	1-14
7	Figure 5-1	Percent of Minority Population (by Census Tract)	2-38
8	Figure 5-2	Percent of Low-Income Population (by Census Tract)	2-39
9		Truck Routes	2-40
10	Figure USEPA-7-1	Location of TITP Monitoring Station Relative to Project Site.....	2-41
11	Figure SCAQMD-7-1	Excluded Sources from CEQA Residential Cancer Risk	
12		Receptor	2-96
13	Figure SCAQMD-7-2	CEQA Peak Residential Cancer Risk Receptor with Sources	
14		Modified to Remove Exclusions	2-97
15	Figure SCAQMD-7-3	NEPA Occupational Cancer Risk Receptor and Sources Near	
16		Railyard	2-99
17	Figure SCAQMD-7-4	NEPA Peak Occupational Cancer Risk Receptors with Sources	
18		Modified to Remove Exclusions	2-100

Tables

19			
20			
21			
22			Page
23	Table 2-1	Public Comments Received on the Draft EIS/EIR	2-2
24	Table MR 4-1	Operational Energy Consumption per TEU for Proposed Project	
25		year 2038 and CEQA Baseline and CEQA No Project year	
26		2038	2-17

1	Table MR 4-2	Construction Energy Consumption for Proposed Project Ocean	
2		Disposal.....	2-19
3	Table CARB-3-1	Revised Unmitigated Peak Daily Operational Emissions for the	
4		Proposed Project	2-69
5	Table CARB-3-2	Revised Unmitigated Peak Daily Operational Emissions for	
6		Alternatives 1 & 2.....	2-70
7	Table CARB-3-3	Revised Unmitigated Peak Daily Operational Emissions for	
8		Alternative 3.....	2-70
9	Table CARB-3-4	Revised Unmitigated Peak Daily Operational Emissions for	
10		Alternative 4.....	2-70
11	Table CARB-3-5	Revised Unmitigated Peak Daily Operational Emissions for	
12		Alternative 5.....	2-71
13	Table CARB-3-6	Revised Unmitigated Peak Operational 1-hour NO ₂ Ground-	
14		Level Concentrations (ppm) under CEQA.....	2-71
15	Table CARB-3-7	Revised Unmitigated Peak Operational 1-hour NO ₂ Ground-	
16		Level Concentrations (ppm).....	2-72
17	Table CARB-3-8	Effects of Mitigation Measures on Emissions Source Groups	
18		under NEPA.....	2-72
19	Table CARB-14-1	Construction Dredge Barge and Piling Barge Equipment	
20		Information.....	2-77
21	2019 Construction Emissions (tons per year) Compared to General Conformity de		
22		minimis Thresholds.....	2-103
23	Revised Tables:		
24	Table ES-3	Summary of Potential Significant Impacts and Mitigation for the	
25		Proposed Project and Alternatives.....	3-3
26	Table 3.2-20	Peak Daily Operational Emissions — Proposed Project	
27		(lbs/day).....	3-16
28	Table 3.2-21	Comparison between San Pedro Bay Ports 2010 CAAP Update	
29		Control Measures and Proposed Project Mitigation Measures.....	3-21
30	Table 3.2-35	Peak Daily Operational Emissions—Alternative 1 (lbs/day).....	3-23
31	Table 3.2-52	Peak Daily Operational Emissions — Alternative 3 (lbs/day).....	3-27
32	Table 3.2-69	Peak Daily Operational Emissions — Alternative 4 (lbs/day).....	3-32
33	Table 3.2-86	Peak Daily Operational Emissions — Alternative 5 (lbs/day).....	3-37

1	Table 3.2-93	Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Air Quality Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives.....	3-42
2			
3			
4	Table 3.5-1	Annual Operational GHG Emissions—CEQA Baseline 2013 (mt)	3-58
5			
6	Table 3.5-3	Annual Operational GHG Emissions – NEPA Baseline (mt).....	3-59
7	Table 3.5-7	Construction and Operational GHG Emissions with Mitigation – Proposed Project (mt)	3-69
8			
9	Table 3.5-10	Construction and Operational GHG Emissions without Mitigation – Alternative 1 (mt).....	3-76
10			
11	Table 3.5-11	Construction and Operational GHG Emissions with Mitigation – Alternative 1 (mt).....	3-81
12			
13	Table 3.5-12	Operational GHG Emissions – Alternative 2 (mt)	3-84
14	Table 3.5-14	Construction and Operational GHG Emissions without Mitigation – Alternative 3 (mt).....	3-87
15			
16	Table 3.5-16	Construction and Operational GHG Emissions with Mitigation – Alternative 3 (mt).....	3-93
17			
18	Table 3.5-18	Construction and Operational GHG Emissions without Mitigation – Alternative 4 (mt).....	3-100
19			
20	Table 3.5-20	Construction and Operational GHG Emissions with Mitigation – Alternative 4 (mt).....	3-107
21			
22	Table 3.5-22	Construction and Operational GHG Emissions without Mitigation – Alternative 5 (mt).....	3-114
23			
24	Table 3.5-24	Construction and Operational GHG Emissions with Mitigation – Alternative 5 (mt).....	3-120
25			
26	Table 3.6-32	CEQA Baseline Compared to 2038 With Proposed Project - Freeway Analysis—A.M. Peak.....	3-129
27			
28	Table 3.6-33	CEQA Baseline Compared to 2038 With Proposed Project - Freeway Analysis—P.M. Peak.....	3-131
29			
30	Table 3.6-34	2019 NEPA Baseline Compared to 2019 With Proposed Project - Freeway Analysis—A.M. Peak.....	3-133
31			
32	Table 3.6-35	2019 NEPA Baseline Compared to 2019 With Proposed Project - Freeway Analysis—P.M. Peak.....	3-135
33			
34	Table 3.6-36	2026 NEPA Baseline Compared to 2026 With Proposed Project - Freeway Analysis—A.M. Peak.....	3-137
35			

1 Table 3.6-37 2026 NEPA Baseline Compared to 2026 With Proposed Project
 2 - Freeway Analysis—P.M. Peak.....3-139
 3 Table 3.6-38 2038 NEPA Baseline Compared to 2038 With Proposed Project
 4 - Freeway Analysis—A.M. Peak.....3-141
 5 Table 3.6-39 2038 NEPA Baseline Compared to 2038 With Proposed Project
 6 - Freeway Analysis—P.M. Peak.....3-143
 7 Table 6-2 Summary of CEQA Significance Analysis by Alternative3-146
 8 Table 5-2 General Conformity de minimis Thresholds for the South
 9 Coast Air Basin.....3-180
 10