

Transportation Funding Advocacy Services

The selection committee consisted of three people, including an evaluator from outside the Harbor Department. These individual independently reviewed and rated each proposal. The following are the average scores out of a possible 100 points.

EVALUATOR #1

CRITERIA TO BE RATED	Winning proposal	Proposal B	Proposal C	Proposal D	Proposal E
Firm Qualifications, Experience and References	27	30	30	21	6
Project Organization, Personnel and Staffing	20	20	20	17.5	10
Project Approach, Work Plan, Management and Timeline	13.5	12	9	9	9
Rates, Fees and Budget Control	12	9	6	9	13.5
Quality and Responsiveness of the Proposal	12	1	12	12	12
RATER WEIGHTED TOTAL	84.5	83	77	68.5	50.5

EVALUATOR #2

CRITERIA TO BE RATED	Winning proposal	Proposal B	Proposal C	Proposal D	Proposal E
Firm Qualifications, Experience and References	30	24	24	24	18
Project Organization, Personnel and Staffing	20	20	20	15	10
Project Approach, Work Plan, Management and Timeline	15	15	12	9	6
Rates, Fees and Budget Control	12	9	6	12	9
Quality and Responsiveness of the Proposal	15	15	12	12	9
RATER WEIGHTED TOTAL	92	83	74	72	52

EVALUATOR #3

CRITERIA TO BE RATED	Winning proposal	Proposal B	Proposal C	Proposal D	Proposal E
Firm Qualifications, Experience and References	30	24	24	12	18
Project Organization, Personnel and Staffing	25	15	10	15	15
Project Approach, Work Plan, Management and Timeline	12	9	9	9	9
Rates, Fees and Budget Control	12	6	9	9	9
Quality and Responsiveness of the Proposal	12	9	9	9	9
RATER WEIGHTED TOTAL	91	63	61	54	60

All Raters Weighted Average (out of 100 possible): 89.1 76.3 70.6 64.8 54.1

Out of the five proposals received, the two proposals receiving the highest score were invited to interview with the same panel of three people. The following are the average scores out of a possible 100 points.

EVALUATOR #1

CRITERIA TO BE RATED	Winning Proposal	Proposal B
Firm Qualifications, Experience and References	30	30
Project Organization, Personnel and Staffing	20	20
Project Approach, Work Plan, Management and Timeline	12	10.5
Rates, Fees and Budget Control	12	6
Quality and Responsiveness of the Proposal	15	15
RATER WEIGHTED TOTAL	89	81.5

EVALUATOR #2

CRITERIA TO BE RATED	Winning Proposal	Proposal B
Firm Qualifications, Experience and References	30	30
Project Organization, Personnel and Staffing	20	20
Project Approach, Work Plan, Management and Timeline	12	9
Rates, Fees and Budget Control	12	6
Quality and Responsiveness of the Proposal	15	12
RATER WEIGHTED TOTAL	89	77

EVALUATOR #3

CRITERIA TO BE RATED	Winning Proposal	Proposal B
Firm Qualifications, Experience and References	30	30
Project Organization, Personnel and Staffing	20	20
Project Approach, Work Plan, Management and Timeline	15	12
Rates, Fees and Budget Control	12	9
Quality and Responsiveness of the Proposal	12	12
RATER WEIGHTED TOTAL	89	83

All Raters Weighted Average (out of 100 possible): 89 80.5