IN RE THE MATTER OF CITY
DOCK NUMBER 1, MARINE
RESEARCH CENTER PROJECT,
LOS ANGELES HARBOR DEPARTMENT,
SAN PEDRO, CALIFORNIA.

Transcript of the Public Scoping Meeting Thursday, January 13, 2011 San Pedro, California

SNYDER HEATHCOTE INC.

REPORTED BY Jamie L. Apodaca, CSR #10990.

OUR FILE NO.

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS
3055 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD
SUITE 640
LOS ANGELES, CA 90010
TELEPHONE (213) 388-2151

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
     IN RE THE MATTER OF CITY
     DOCK NUMBER 1, MARINE
 7
     RESEARCH CENTER PROJECT,
     LOS ANGELES HARBOR DEPARTMENT,
 8
     SAN PEDRO, CALIFORNIA.
10
11
12
13
               Transcript of the public scoping meeting for the
14
               City Dock Number 1 Marine Science Center,
               Los Angeles Harbor Department, held on Thursday,
15
               January 13, 2011, at 425 South Palos Verdes Street,
               San Pedro, California, transcribed by
16
               Jamie L. Apodaca, CSR #10990.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

MS. GREEN REBSTOCK: Hello. Welcome to the public scoping meeting for the City Dock Marine Science Research Center. I'd like to thank all of you for your patience as we're working with our new security system, but you guys had a chance to enjoy our new lobby coming in.

And I'm going to turn the mic over now to our executive director, Geraldine Knatz, and she's going to give a broad overview about the wonderful vision that we have for this project.

MS. KNATZ: Thank you, Jan.

Happy New Year, everyone. I too also want to apologize for the hassle getting into the building, and I see some of you have the new badges on where you actually have your photo on it, and I guess that that machine must take an awfully long time. So we probably won't use that for future public meetings.

I just wanted to open the meeting and kind of give you a little bit of background about how this project originated and some of the bigger strategies that were kind of at work in the thinking around here that sort of resulted in the idea for this project.

One of the things that we started doing several years ago is trying to look at different areas of the port and see maybe where we had land-use conflicts where we wanted to make changes. You know, it was sort of like playing

dominoes. If we could move all -- some of these around into the perfect spot, where would we like them to be?

And so as we looked on Terminal Island, surprise.

We come across the Southern California Marine Institute,

which of course has been here for at least 30 years, maybe a

little bit longer. Well, actually much longer than that but

under different names.

And over here in Fish Harbor and looking at plans and development around there, we thought, "Gee. You know, over here, they might be in the face of future development.

Maybe someplace else in the port might be a better spot for them." Right now we've got 11 universities that have facilities that use the facility here in the port, and they are on 1.3 acres, and they have their laboratory and research vessels.

Marine Institute was even a tenant of the Port of Los Angeles because it was very difficult to find them. If you had a child in the Port of Los Angeles Maritime Charter School from the very beginning, the students actually went out there and did some of their science lab work out there before the lab facilities were put into the charter school. But a lot of people didn't know about it.

And so at that point, I happened to talk to the director there and said, "What do you think about maybe

moving out of here and moving to San Pedro?" And I can remember his comment. It was, like, "Well, we've been waiting 30 years for someone to ask us this."

And, meanwhile, over on the San Pedro side, a lot of planning going on on the San Pedro waterfront. And what came out of that master planning process was people's affinity for the warehouses there. One of the concepts in the plan was that this area is no longer destined to be used long-term for cargo handling but that it would be good to adaptively reuse the warehouses and put some other things in there.

So it looked like a prime spot for the Southern
California Marine Institue. And they came over and looked at
it and thought, "Wow. This is pretty fabulous."

So in addition to sort of the strategy of trying to do land-use planning and get the things -- right things in the right spot, there's another strategy going on in the San Pedro waterfront. And as you know, we had brought on consultants to help us with planning the waterfront, particularly going out and finding developers to undertake the Ports of Call development.

And one of the things that consultants said, too,
"You've really got to get a lot of activity going along the
waterfront to make it really attractive to a developer." So
they want to know that people -- a lot of people are going to
be down there for various things. So the more activities you

can kind of get underway, the more attractive that would be for the developer. So, of course, you know, that we've got, you know, some activities out there already.

One of the other big things for the San Pedro waterfront is next fiscal year, which begins July, we're going to go to bid on the downtown harbor, start doing that. That's a -- that's a -- you know, kind of a sure indication that we're moving forward with this project, and the developers will see that.

Meanwhile, some of you know we had issued an R.F.P. for warehouses 9 and 10, which are right next to the park on 22nd Street, and there was a lot of publicity about those warehouses, how they might be used. And we recently had developers and interested people come down, and we had 17 different groups go on a tour to look at using those warehouses. So that's another thing in close proximity that would bring people down to the waterfront.

And one of the things the Ports of Call developer said -- "Now, that City Dock thing -- if that got going, that would be another thing that would kind of pique their interest because they'd know, gee, there would be a lot more people that are coming down to the waterfront."

So -- and so we're trying to get a number of activities underway, trying to build that critical mass because we want to go forward and do a subsequent R.F.P. to

select a developer to redo Ports of Call, which is sort of one of the crown jewels in the San Pedro waterfront.

So this particular project -- you know, after we talked to S.C.M.I. -- "Gee. Are you interested?" -- scientists came over. They looked at the warehouses and thought, "Oh, my gosh. There's really a lot of space there."

And so we gave the Southern California Marine

Institute a planning grant, and it was matched by the

Annenberg Foundation. And a couple of people that were very
involved in undertaking that visioning study are here. Tony

Michaels, the former head of the Wrigley Institute -- he was,
you know, one of the people we first met with. And it was

Tony that said, "Oh, there could be business components and
educational components -- all of these great things that
could be there." Dr. Jim Fawcett, who was part of the team
that worked on the visioning study. Larry Allen, who is the
current executive director of Southern California Marine
Institute. And so they all came together, did a lot of
outreach in the community, and they produced a visioning
study.

And so from that visioning study, this project description has been crafted, and we're now to the stage of kicking off the public process to undertake the environmental impact report. And as you'll see in this project, a lot of

different components. It's not just -- it's moving S.C.M.I., allowing them to expand; promoting research as a use in the harbor that takes advantage of the fact that this is a peninsula surrounded by water, deep water.

We sort of boldly in our planning decided, gee, wouldn't it be great if NOAA, the agency that has everything to do with sea-level rise and climate and the oceans, had some presence in Los Angeles? They don't. So we just said, "Oh, there's space. We'll just stick their logo on this." And then we went back to Washington and said, "Oh, you know. Did you ever think of coming to L.A.?"

And they, you know, came out, and they looked at the site and looked up and down our main channel and said, "Los Angeles -- we never thought about being here. But now they asked, we're kind of interested."

And so, actually next week, I'm going back to
Washington to meet with the NOAA administrator because, hey,
this is something really cool. There's space for a business
component and educational facilities -- you know, programs
for K to 12; research programs for graduate students; classes
being taught there; community college involvement. To us,
it's a place where all -- everyone comes together to really
take advantage of what could be there.

But a key component in our waterfront is the promenade all the way around it, because it will be another

thing for people that are walking along that eight miles in San Pedro, is "Oh, let me look into this wave tank. Let me look and see what's going on down here. Let me walk past these research vessels because they're kind of neat to look at." So that was the vision.

We actually got into the wave-tank idea, and after looking at wave tanks around the world and interacting with the researchers, we learned that the three pre-eminent scientists who study ocean waves happen to all be located in the United States, and one of them lives in the L.A. area.

And one of the things we learned is that, gee, all the wave tanks where researchers study use artificial sea water. But in this location, you have the opportunity to use real sea water, and waves behave, from my understanding from the scientists, differetly with real sea water.

So if that component was part of it, that could make this research facility something that would draw researchers from around the world who would want to come here and use that tank for research for things like sea-level rise and tsunami and rogue waves and all of that other cool stuff.

So that's kind of a little bit of the background -you know, how we sort of got to where we are now. So this is
the start of kind of our second public process after the
outreach for the visioning to kick off the environmental
process.

And so I'm going to turn it over to Jan, who is our project manager, and she's going to tell you a little bit more about the project.

MS. GREEN REBSTOCK: Great. Thank you, Geraldine.

And I also wanted to take this opportunity to highlight some of the other staff faces that you're going to see associated with this project over the next year.

So we have Barb Garrett here.

If you want to stand up, Barb.

She's the project lead for the port.

And we also have Adrienne Fedrick, who is the project engineer who is going to be working with me through the environmental review process, and we'll all meet again this summer once we've drafted the draft E.I.R.

So tonight we're going to -- I'm going to give you a broad overview of the CEQA process that we're about to embark on. Adrienne will give you a description of the project a bit further, and then we're -- participation will be if you choose. You can come up here and provide public comment. You'll have three minutes to say whatever you like -- you know, your concerns about the scope of the environmental review, support of the project, you know, and so forth.

If you'd like to speak, please fill out a comment card. They are at the front of the room. And please make sure you sign in so we can track attendance for the meeting

and make sure that you're on the mailing list.

And then I'll give a final summary of kind of what we've heard, and then we'll move forward.

Okay. So the purpose of our meeting this evening is just to identify for you that the Port of Los Angeles is serving as the lead agency in the envionmental review process for this project, and we're going to provide you some more information about the project in a bit more detail. And this process will help us assist the environmental issues that need to be looked at in the review, any mitigation measures that can be identified to reduce environmental impacts and alternatives that need to be considered. And we invite you to participate in this process with us.

So the objectives of the CEQA process -- the California Environmental Quality Act -- is to disclose any environmental effects that could be created as developing the project, identify how to avoid or reduce those impacts, and how to use mitigation measures, maybe to prevent environmental damage, or alternatives that could reduce those impacts.

And at the end when we are faced with making a decision about the project, you'll have an understanding of what alternatives were chosen or why the proposed project is moving forward. And this also gives us an opportunity to interact with other agencies and enhance your participation

in the decision-making process.

Okay. So tonight we are here -- we've released the notice of preparation, which I hope all of you have received, and if you haven't, there's additional copies at the front of the room. We're having a scoping meeting. All of your comments are being recorded by the court reporter. So if you do decide to come up and make a comment, please speak slowly for her benefit and state your name.

We'll take all of the comments that we have received through the mail, through e-mail, and tonight and take those back and inform what the scope of the environmental review is going to be and then present to you a draft E.I.R., hopefully this summer. And then we'll meet back here in this room most likely and talk about some of the findings of the environmental review. And hopefully the end game is to present the Board of Harbor Commissioners with a final document at the end of the year.

Okay. So the environmental review process addresses a range of environmental issues. In this particular case, the cultural resources, historic resources are going to be of interest because we are dealing with some historic structures. Obviously air quality is always something that we need to be concerned about here at the port and mitigation measures for reducing emissions associated with the construction activities, the traffic that might be created

through operations of the project. But you can see that there's a range of environmental issues that are going to be looked at.

And with that, I'm going to turn it over to

Adrienne, who is going to give you some more detail about the project.

MS. FEDRICK: I'm going to speak from up here if that's okay.

So, again, I'm Adrienne. I'm the project engineer, and I'll be speaking to you about the project objectives and the project elements.

So as you can see on this aerial, S.C.M.I. is currently located on Terminal Island at berth 260, and the proposed project would be in San Pedro at the corner of 22nd Street and Signal Street and will encompass berth 56 through 60 and berth 70 and 71.

The existing S.C.M.I. facilities, just as I mentioned before, are located on Terminal Island. This area is obviously constrained for space and depth. They only have 1.3 acres, and they're fitting 11 universities doing research here. They have their building here, which is an old building and it's been there 30 years; and they have their machine shop, warehouse, storage, parking lot, small-boat storage, lab, offices, and docks all crammed into 1.3 acres.

So the proposed project location -- again, as I

mentioned before, on 22nd Street and Signal Street -- it has a total area of 28 acres. So this is very exciting for S.C.M.I. And for the San Pedro waterfront E.I.R., this rendering shows that City Dock will be a part of the San Pedro waterfront, or within the vicinity.

The proposed project -- Geraldine did a great job of introducing the project; so this is -- the main City Dock vision is to become home to a thriving cluster of university researchers, educational facilities, and spin-off technology ventures seeking to solve problems, create jobs, and improve the quality of life in Los Angeles.

The main project objectives: City Dock -- we hope to construct a world-class marine institute at berth 56 through 60 and 70 and 71 with state-of-the-art facilities.

The research here will involve climate change; robotic and remote sensing of oceans and habitat; fisheries; marine resource conservation and management as well as several other studies. I just wanted to mention a few.

The main objective here is to replace S.C.M.I. from berth 60 at Terminal Island to a location that allows for expanded capabilities. We hope to provide dock space for small and large vessels. We have enough space to have 300-foot vessels, or even larger.

Continuing with the project objectives, City Dock will provide public amenities, including public education

classroom space and interpretive exhibits related to marine-related research studies. Also, as Geraldine mentioned, this will provide a continuous waterfront promenade, as approved in the San Pedro waterfront project.

And the most exciting part of this project, I think, is to construct the world's largest salt-water wave tank where we can study tsunamis, rogue waves, and different types of wave energy; and then, lastly, to provide a location for marine-related businesses, to provide a synergy among research and commercial interests for such uses as aquaculture, sustainable energy production, and marine exploration.

This is the proposed site plan. I'll go into this a little bit further on the next few slides, but I just wanted to get you acquainted with the site. So -- I know it's kind of hard to see this pointer, but here at berth 56 will be a new building. Currently at berth 57 is an existing transit shed, or warehouse. Berth 58 through 60 is another huge warehouse, basically three into one. And then the project also encompasses the old former Westways terminal, which is at berth 70 and 71.

So the first project element -- this rendering here is zoomed in of berth 56 and 57. This will be the first phase of the project, which I'll show later on in the phasing slide. But this involves recollecting S.C.M.I. from Terminal

Island to this facility, the warehouse at berth 57 and the new building at berth 56. The project also will include demoing the current S.C.M.I. facility and restoring it back to its original condition. This will include a large wharf retrofit of berth 57 to bring the wharf up to seismic code and make it safe for all who enter.

The main idea of the project is to do adaptive reuse so we can save the old historic buildings and build out inside different classrooms and research facilities and make it look like a really great modern facility.

And lastly, within berth 56 -- which is the orange part here, if you can see here -- this will be new facilities for a 150-seat auditorium and a lecture hall, and then it will have two classrooms inside -- two indoor classrooms and one outdoor classroom.

Next we move on to berth 58 through 60. So this warehouse is 180,000 square feet. So it's actually about four acres. So it's a huge building; so there's lots of space in there. So part of the project elements on this part is to retrofit the wharf as well as same as berth 57 -- do all the seismic upgrades and next do adaptive reuse of the transit shed inside to have research labs and all different teaching labs and classrooms and graduate student cubicles.

And here is a zoomed-in picture, if you can see.

This is just basic from the visioning study, but we've

1 | done -- S.C.M.I. has done some programming space here.

And then lastly at berth 59 and 60 are spaces allotted for marine research businesses that could be associated.

Moving on to the main channel side, the former

Westways terminal, which is in the process of being demoed -demolished -- this will be the new site for the largest wave
tank in the world as well as hopefully NOAA coming to the

Port of L.A. This site actually provides 70 lineal feet of
docking space, and the wharf is in very good condition.

So -- the 80,000 square foot wave tank is, in volume,
36,000 cubic yards.

And my last slide will be phasing. So Phase I for the project is, as you read in the N.O.P., 2012 to 2016, and it will involve berth 57, S.C.M.I. relocation; the berth 56 new building with the lecture hall and classroom space; and a public plaza at berth 57.

Phase II is everything that you see in magenta here, which includes the berth 58 through 60, 180,000 square foot building; the business park within the building at berth 59 and 60; Signal Street improvements; the continuous waterfront promenade, as well as the largest wave tank in the world.

And with that, I'm going to turn it back over to Jan.

MS. GREEN REBSTOCK: Thanks. Okay. So another thing
we're going to be looking at is alternatives. You've heard
Adrienne going into detail about the proposed project, but
under CEQA, we also have to look at alternatives to see if
they will reduce any of the environmental impacts.

So no project will be looked at where S.C.M.I. stays in its current location. The Westways terminal will be demoed because that was analyzed under another project; so that will move forward, but everything else would stay in its existing condition.

Under the reduced project, you'll see S.C.M.I. relocate to berths 57 and 58, but the Westway -- the development proposed for the Westway terminal for future development would not occur and a new building at berth 56 would not occur.

And then under the third alternative under consideration right now would be demolishing the buildings at 57 through 60 with new construction happening.

So, you know, we welcome your comments on the alternatives, any new alternatives that you would like to propose for us to consider through the environmental review process.

And, again, we'd love to take your comments tonight.

You can also send written comments in. They're due at the
end of the month, January 31st, or you can also send an

e-mail to ceqacomments@portla.org. 1 I have received a few comment cards; so we're going 2 to go ahead and get started on the public-comment process. 3 So I'm going to call your name, and I'll call them in twos. So if one person can kind of queue up behind the other and 5 please state your name for the court reporter before you make 6 your comment. You will have three minutes, and there's a 7 little light stick here. So when it hits red, that's when 8 you need to end your turn. 9 10 So with that, the first person I'm going to call is 11 Herb Zimmer. 12 MR. ZIMMER: Are we going to face that way, go up, or 13 what do you want to do, Jan? MS. GREEN REBSTOCK: You can make your comment there, and 14 I'll stand up here so you don't feel like you're talking to 15 16 yourself. MR. ZIMMER: Hi, Jan. 17 18 My name is Herb Zimmer. The Port of Los Angeles is to San Pedro's communities one of our greatest 19 20 assets, and we need to capitalize on that fact at every opportunity if we want to become an economically, 21 environmentally, and socially sustainable community. 22 So I have to praise the port management for their 23 vision and efforts over the last three or four years in 24 25 crafting, first, the waterfront development project and,

second, for joining with the community in founding PortTech

L.A., which is a non-profit corporation bringing companies to
the harbor area that will create jobs and develop new
technologies to clean the air, create new energy sources,
secure the port, and reduce negative effects on surrounding
communities.

Closer?

MS. GREEN REBSTOCK: Please.

MR. ZIMMER: Okay. Now we're going to add to that list the proposed marine research center. As we've recently, experienced with the economic recession, our mainstay industry, international trade, sharply declined and the number of jobs related to cargo movement declined with it. That had a real negative affect on San Pedro's work force and on the community. Thankfully, it's once again showing signs of improving, but being so dependent on just one industry is not a path to community sustainability.

And that's the reason that the diversification represented by the waterfront redevelopment, PortTech L.A., and now the marine research center is so important. It will add well paid, scientific, technical jobs to the mix of longshore, tourism, and manufacturing jobs represented by the other waterfront and maritime industries.

The proposed center's environmental benefits are obvious. Researching how a lush marine environment, a large

industrial port, and a large population center like Los Angeles interact in an era of global warming is an extremely important study, and it sets us on the path to becoming the world center for such research and attracting the world's best scientists to San Pedro. The center will also add great value to our community's social environment through well paid jobs and provide a career ladder for local high school students

Just as any good investment adviser would tell a client, diversification is the key to long-term profitability, and additionally, this project has an excellent triple bottom line -- that is, that it profits the community in all three areas -- economically, environmentally, and socially.

studying marine science at the Port of L.A. High School and

So representing the San Pedro Chamber of Commerce, PortTech L.A., and myself as a downtown business owner, I fully endorse the proposed marine research center and will advocate for its swift completion.

Thank you, Jan.

San Pedro High School.

MS. GREEN REBSTOCK: Thank you, Herb.

MR. ZIMMER: You can have that (indicating).

MS. GREEN REBSTOCK: We're going to have Nancy Richardson, then, followed by Dominick Miretti.

MS. RICHARDSON: My name is Nancy Richardson, and I'm speaking from my local dedication to the L.A. Maritime Institute, Topsail Youth Program, and also from decades-long experience -- excuse me -- with marine education on tall ships nationally and internationally.

Expanded education programs under sail would support marine education and research in innovative ways. We offer the unique educational synergy of the sailing ship, a tradition with a future, and value-added key to the success of this dynamic endeavor. Any of our ships — in fact, we are the only twin brigantines in the world, Irving Johnson and Exy Johnson — could add up to shipboard offerings from City Dock number 1.

In the face of today's economic challenges, collaborations that will fully utilize our ships will multiply positive outcomes for all concerned. LAMI-TYP's emphasis has been on meeting the needs of at-risk youth, especially middle school students, half of whom say they've never seen the ocean. Sailing tall ships, we know, is good for youth of all ages.

I'd like to suggest that we would all benefit from forming a working group of other experiential marine education organizations, including those with tall ships, to explore ways to collaborate with the programs at the marine research center, both as contributors and as customers.

We could add such groups -- Southern California groups with ships as the L.A. Maritime Institute; Ocean Institute, with the Spirit of Dana Point and Pilgrim; Totally More (phonetic) out of Long Beach; Seaward, which comes through here from their home port in San Francisco; Robert C. Seamans, which is actually part of the Sea Education Association in California and in San Pedro -- I mean San Diego. We'd be able to add to COSEE West and L.A.U.S.D. and others in the plan, adding such groups as the Southwest Marine Educators and others not yet mentioned in the project plan.

What we can do is provide sailing ships for maritime research center research expeditions that would be fuel-efficient and provide local excursions as well as distant voyages, including on our ships, for example, overnight accommodations for up to thirty, plus eight crew. We could make LAMI ships and crew available as floating laboratories under sail to complement shoreside classes.

We could offer things like marine-life observations, data gathering, and census-taking in harbor and offshore sites, because we're out there all the time with kids and could gather data. We would offer green -- or I guess if we're talking about the ocean -- blue boat operation and maintenance. I think it would be great if we could exchange more "marine education under sail" curricula, and I think

modeling, testing, and interpreting green technology and practices would be a positive.

Sailing ships are a unique, reality-based setting for adventure education, a natural fit for the new marine research center. We build better sailors, sailing through life skilled and safe; better shipmates, responsive and responsible, getting along with and valuing diversity; and better stewards, competent and committed to making the world a better place. Obviously, we're for it.

MS. GREEN REBSTOCK: Thank you.

Dominick Miretti? You're next.

And he will be followed by Ed Kaufman.

MR. MIRETTI: Thank you for the opportunity to speak this evening. I'm Dominick Miretti, a member of the International Longshore and Warehouse Union, Local 63, and the union's liaison to the ports of San Pedro Bay.

The I.L.W.U. has expressed its interest and support for the City Dock 1 Marine Research Center project during its early inception, and our interest and support continues. The academic, research, and educational component of the project, we believe, will benefit our local schools, colleges, and universities and will generate student interest in pursuing careers in a variety of scientific disciplines.

Always interested in job creation, the I.L.W.U. sees the project offering technology venture opportunities and its

business component as a means of creating a variety of new jobs for our local area. Those of us who work the docks and live in the harbor community hope that the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration will become a key player in the City Dock 1 project. Their presence could provide us with a greater understanding of our oceans and atmosphere and the role they might play in climate change.

Aside from my duties as a marine clerk, I am also chairman of the Earth Science Department at East Los Angeles College, and as such, I can assure you that the Los Angeles Community College District, which includes nine colleges and upwards of 150,000 students, is very interested in and supportive of the City 1 Maritime Research Community project.

Presently, the Los Angeles Community College
District is implementing plans to become a part of a
consortium of colleges and universities that will house the
City Dock research and educational facilities. I have
discussed in the past with the port of Los Angeles the
possibility of expanding City Dock's scope to include issues
dealing with economic and environmental sustainabilities.
This is an important research and educational component for
both growing and greening our ports.

Most seem to agree that the City Dock project will be a great benefit to our community. The I.L.W.U. and the Los Angeles Community College District wish the project

success, and we hope to play an important role in its 1 development, implementation, and use. Thank you. 2 MS. GREEN REBSTOCK: Thank you. 3 We have Ed Kaufman, followed by Robert Gelfan. 4 MR. KAUFMAN: Hello. Good evening, everyone. This will 5 be real interesting. I'm not used to public speaking. 6 My name is Ed Kaufman. You would probably know me 7 through my editorials which appear in the local paper quite 8 often, and I don't know if Geraldine is still here, but 9 they're usually in opposition to you. So --10 The only thing I'd like to say tonight is the most 11 important thing you heard tonight was when Geraldine said she 12 met with developers and they suggested that perhaps if they 13 saw a little activity here at the port, they'd be more 14 15 interested in coming here. Now, let me tell you, I know S.C.M.I. I love 16 S.C.M.I. If you don't know it, they do some of the best 17 environmental work that is being done right now. They're 18 fantastic people. But they're a research institute. 19 not what developers want to see. Developers want to see 20 things like West Marine, an Outback restaurant -- things that 21 are more retail-oriented. 22 Now, having said that, I will say this: I jumped to 23 conclusions. I really didn't realize exactly where this 24

25

institute is going to be put, and I notice -- I think it's

right across from a tank farm. So I'm not sure what kind of retail could go here. You know, I'd have to discuss that with the Harbor Commission.

But my main point of being here tonight -- and I'm surprised that the I.L.W.U. and some of the dock workers here support this project, saying it's going to bring more jobs. It's not going to bring more than 40 or 50 jobs, and I will point out that last week, this commission turned down a project which would have brought 2,500 union jobs to this city.

So I guess -- I'm not putting this project down.

I'm going to have to really go look at the site. I'll have to admit that I wasn't familiar with where it's going, but I think when somebody is in Los Angeles and wants to come to San Pedro, they really don't want to look at wave pools and research. This to me is mainly a project for students, which is great, but I think S.C.M.I. is where it's at because that is an industrial area. I see this as a retail area, and I guess that's mainly what I have to say here tonight. So thank you.

MS. GREEN REBSTOCK: Okay. Thank you.

Next would be Robert Gelfan, followed by Jesse Marquez.

MR. GELFAN: Hi there. I'll introduce myself as

Dr. Robert Gelfan because I'm a molecular biologist and have

an interest in this subject and at one time in my life, even spent some time working at Woods Hole marine biology laboratory working with the horseshoe crab.

A very preliminary part of this project was presented to our neighborhood council, Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council, I think a few years ago, and it received a rousing welcome. We said, "Geraldine, we love it." And the only comment made at the time was it should probably be about four times bigger, and what I see here tonight looks probably about ten times bigger than that original schema. So I think you have got the right idea.

I'll simply point out that -- a couple of things, first of all. I think Harbor-UCLA/L.A. Bio-Med might like to have a little piece of this action too, and I just heard from the community colleges, who have lots and lots of students and almost nowhere to put them.

When you design research laboratories, the design is considerably different from, let's say, a business building or an office building like this. I mean, for example, almost every room has to have access to flowing deionized water. You don't think about that. So what I'm thinking is that you probably did invite a designer who is involved in design of research facilities. You should be willing to carefully criticize and evaluate this and possibly revise it several times in order to make it just right.

And as for whether people come down to San Pedro as tourists to go to the latest Denny's or to see something really different like a container ship or a world-class research institute, I think would take quite a bit of thinking. Thank you.

MS. GREEN REBSTOCK: Thank you.

So Jesse Marquez is going to be followed by Diane Nave.

MR. MARQUEZ: Thank you for this opportunity. My name is Jesse Marquez. I am a lifetime Wilmington resident. I'm also a father of three children and a harbor resident. I will also be speaking as an executive director of the Coalition for Safe Environment. And the reason I'm stating it that way is when I filed a lawsuit against the port last year, the port made a motion to dismiss my court case because I did not state I was a resident of the harbor or of Wilmington.

As you well know, I serve several purposes in my organization, and that is evaluating environmental impact reports and project proposals; so I do that in depth. I always try to look at positive signs in terms of marine research. I'd like to state for the record, since 2001, our organization was one of the first to request and recommend that port property be allocated for marine research institutes and things of that nature, and I'm glad to see

that on our tenth anniversary this year, it's now coming to pass.

2.0

Over the years I've been able to have opportunity to meet with many companies with newly emerging technologies.

So I'm one of the great advocates for innovation and in support of technologies. So that is the nice side of my hat.

On the other side, however, I must also look at the potential dangers and hazards of project proposals. So I'm going to state certain things which are my request and recommendations, and then the second part will be examples of why I'm stating what I'm stating in case people are not going to comprehend why I'm bringing up some of these issues.

Number one, I want no military weapons research or biological weapons research and development to be permitted on these sites.

Number two, I want no military weapons or biological support equipment, parts, systems, or software research and development to be permitted at the site.

Number three, I want no research and development that involves any biological DNA, nonreproduction, termination, genetic modification to be permitted at this facility. I want all research that results in a patent will be held in public domain.

Number five, no public patent can be awarded to any one private business enterprise in exclusivity or in

perpetuity.

Number six, I want an annual signed statement by the C.E.O. of each one of these universities and institutes that will occupy this facility that they have not violated any of these previous five requirements.

Number seven, I want an annual report of all the alleged benefits and contributions that will improve my quality of life as well as everyone else's quality of life, that will improve our environment, improve public health, improve our economic situation and our future sustainability.

Now I'd like to give you some examples of why these concerns. Number one, every port in the United States and every U.S. customs office in the United States denies that they're responsible for the West Nile Virus mosquito causing deaths and illnesses of hundreds of residents and children.

Monsanto has created termination seeds that cannot reproduce themselves. So if you want to plant corn and you want to save some of the seeds and plant them in the future, you cannot. They will not allow that to happen. A good example of that is fish have already been genetically modified.

Dolphins have been used extensively by the military, and they have been used in doing weapons and various type of weapons applications which I'm concerned about, which

1 includes bomb detection, carrying of weapons, carrying of other type of instrumentation. And we talk about the 2 wave-generating machine. Well, they can also stick a dophin 3 in that wave-generating machine to see can it achieve its 4 5 objective. So these are some of the concerns that I have, and I 6 7 want to have those addressed and included in the environmental impact report. I'd also like to have them 8 9 included in the lease agreements. 10 MS. GREEN REBSTOCK: Thank you, Jesse. That's three 11 minutes. And we'll be happy to receive your letter with the 12 rest of --13 MR. MARQUEZ: And I'll just say one last line, and that 14 is people have referred to the economic situation of the United States and our recession. It was the corruption and 15 16 fraud of Fortune 1000 companies' financial sector that caused 17 it. We the public did not. 18 MS. GREEN REBSTOCK: Thank you. And we'll have Diane 19 Nave, followed by Liz Johnson. 20 MS. NAVE: Good evening. I'm Diane Nave. I'm the 21 president of the Northwest San Pedro Neighborhood Council, 22 and I'm speaking in that capacity. 23 Our neighborhood council is very excited about this 24 project, and we think that it has the potential to be a real 25 game-changer for our community. But with that said, we do

have three comments that we would like to submit for consideration with regards to the scope of the E.I.R.

The first one I think several other people have talked about, and that is that the E.I.R. should discuss incorporating linkages to local youth and education programs. Okay. The City Dock 1 project should be viewed as an opportunity to engage the youth and the community in marine science and scientific method.

The second comment is that we would like to see it evaluate linkages to the community itself so that it does not become an enclave in and of itself. And so linkages such as the connectivity to the Red Car -- how do we connect it and involve the people who are working there in the day-to-day life of the community? And we recommend that it include the waterfront walkway enhancement that are similar or the same as those that have been approved as a part of the L.A. waterfront plan.

Our final comment relates to the former Westways facility and the current status of the site. And I believe I understand now that everything is being removed already from there. So that was the first part of our comment, was we wanted to make sure that everything got removed no matter what else happens.

And then as a part of the E.I.R. to actually look at the future of the Westways site and how it fits into the

waterfront plan, should the City Dock 1 project for some reason not occur so that this E.I.R. takes care of that property even if this project doesn't go forward. And that's our last one. Thank you very much.

MS. GREEN REBSTOCK: Thank you.

And next up is Liz Johnson, followed by our last speaker for the evening, which will be John Schafer.

MS. JOHNSON: Hello, Jan.

1.4

My name is Liz Schiller-Johnson, and I run Grand
Vision Foundation, a nonprofit organization in downtown
San Pedro, and I've been very involved with the overall
revitalization of downtown San Pedro. I care very much about
that and very much about the role of the port in creating
jobs and invigorating our community.

This seems like a really exciting project. I think there's very few people that would be, you know, against the concept of bringing education and educators and top-level scientists and expanding a -- growing a much needed -- giving a much-needed expansion to a very small facility that has probably been doing amazing work but in some sense is hobbling along because of its constraints. So of course I came tonight because I'm really for it, and I believe that any type of expansion of education makes a community richer and better and more desirable.

Couple small comments. One is that it does seem

like a bit of a distant outpost, and in your future meetings and in your explanation -- further development explanation of the project, can you do more to help us understand how it won't be a separate enclave and how the people involved will be more connected to our community?

Also -- so what Diana was talking about, about linkages -- let's build those in. Let's not make those afterthoughts. And I can't say tonight what those are, but I'm sure smarter people and people who have more experience with how to blend an educational institution with a community can do that.

And if this doesn't -- I don't fully understand it;

I don't pretend to -- but I believe this sounds like a very
big gift of a -- I don't want to misinterpret it, but it does
seem like a gift of public land and public dollars to private
or possibly public universities. But in some way, it is a
gift. I don't -- and as it should be.

But at the same time, since it is a gift from the citizens of California, it should in some sense give back in more than possibly just the overall good that education provides, and maybe it can give back to our community specifically. And there could be ways that we can connect the people -- maybe the people who work there need to live in our community and need to buy houses in our community and patronize our shops. And maybe there's more to it than that.

1 And just finally, I think what's really important -and it sounds really superficial -- but we just don't want to 3 have it be so isolated that if you come to visit, there's nothing to do. So let's make sure there is at least a small 4 5 cafe on the property. Let's make sure that your zoning and 6 your regulations don't prevent that or require endless security. Let's make sure that people can come and see our 7 giant wave-maker and understand what's good about it and 8 9 what's important. Thank you. 10 MS. GREEN REBSTOCK: Thank you. 11 And, John, you get the last word. 12 Yeah. My name is John Schafer. I'm a San MR. SHAFER: 13 Pedro resident and business manager of the Piledriver's Local

MR. SHAFER: Yeah. My name is John Schafer. I'm a San Pedro resident and business manager of the Piledriver's Local 2375. I just wanted to comment, particularly hearing the other comments, regarding jobs and also the importance of research that goes through there.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Just to provide historical context, I guess at first, 106 years ago -- or 107 years ago now with the new year, the origins of our Pilediver's Local 2375 began in San Pedro in 1904. And we had -- we're accused of bombing the <u>L.A. Times</u> some time ago, and so we had to change it. Went to the Piledrivers Local 2375 in 1923.

In 1928 my grandfather joined the local. Came from Santa Barbara to work on developing Terminal Island. And he had two sons who both went to Todd Shipyard when they got out

of high school and then to the piledrivers.

My dad and his kids all became piledrivers, but my uncle -- I wanted to point out for the research -- my dad and my uncle were piledrivers, and they -- my uncle and them built Hyperion --

So we're talking about 50, 60 years ago. I forgot the date. A little while ago.

-- and he went on to research because he loved the marine environment, fishing, all this other stuff, and he captained the boat that studied the marine biology effects of what was going on with Hyperion.

His sons -- one became a marine biologist who did one of the first comprehensive studies of the effects of pollution and so forth on the outer harbor and the immediate coastline. His other son now captains the boat for Hyperion, and we have worked on projects up and down, as have my members, throughout the coast and throughout particularly the Port of L.A. and the Port of Long Beach.

As you know, through the environmental review process and lawsuits and politics and so forth, there hasn't been much activity down in the port area, particularly in the Ports of Call area — lot of consultants, lot of lawyers and what have you, but not much activity as far as the construction, although now with China Shipping and Rowe Marine (phonetic), many of my members are working right now.

This is a tremendous opportunity for people who care about the marine environment, not only building the state of the art facilities, but also the studies and the jobs that

We also represent hardhat divers, and we were talking to the PortTech group regarding wave-energy possibilities. So we look forward to working with the port and with whoever ends up being selected to inhabit this facility in creating jobs and opportunities to be stewards of the marine environment. Thank you.

MS. GREEN REBSTOCK: Thank you.

are created from the technology.

So just a couple of things I want to respond to.

Hopefully this will help clarify how this project is related to the overall San Pedro waterfront project. In that document, we did assess the demolition of the Westways terminal. So that would move forward regardless of whether this project got approved or not. This is really looking at the future development of that site.

It also assumes that the Red Car extension down to warehouse 1 gets built and the Promenade. So you've heard a little about those physical linkages and connections that you were -- those will be maintained within the overall scope of the waterfront development.

You also heard some comments tonight about how this project fits in with the port sustainability goals and

diversification of port operations and connections with other educational facilities here. And so feel free to continue to give us our comments. And we do have the court reporter here. We're going to be posting a transcript of the meeting on our Web site along with the presentation. If you continue to like to look at that and get your comments in to us by the 31st, and we'll see you again in the summer. Thank you. MS. KNATZ: Jan, I thought there was a cafe in the plans. MS. GREEN REBSTOCK: There is. Thank you. I did want to highlight that. We are including a cafe. Thank you. (Whereupon the proceeding was adjourned at 7:19 P.M.)

1	STATE OF)
2) SS. CALIFORNIA)
3	
4	I, Jamie L. Apodaca, Certified Shorthand Reporter
5	qualified in and for the State of California, do hereby
6	certify:
7	That the foregoing transcript is a true and correct
8	transcription of my original stenographic notes.
9	I further certify that I am neither attorney or
10	counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties
11	to the action in which this proceeding was taken; and
12	furthermore, that I am not a relative or employee of any
13	attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or
14	financially interested in the action.
15	I further certify that I am not interested in the
16	event of the action.
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
18	24th day of January, 2010.
19	
20	LSR NO. 10990
21	DODIT NO. 10330
22	
23	
24	
25	